REVIEW OF TOXICITY BIOMONITORING REPORT

Environmental Toxicology, NPDES Permitting Program/OWQ

Biomonitoring Review	v Report	: IDE	M/100/29	0/334/	138/2024		Docume	ent Date:	11/21	/2023
Discharger: Shelbyville WWTP NPDES No. IN0032867										
-	-			15				0032867	ry t	46176
City: <u>Shelb</u>	<u>yville</u>	CC	ounty: Sl	<u>ieiby</u>	,	State:	<u>IN</u>		Zip	<u>46176</u>
	I. Back	ground	Inform	ation:	(To be	comple	ted by th	e testing l	ab)	
A. Test Material:	•	9			(,			,	
Effluent/W. Water:	Whole	Efflue	nt				C	utfall No(s):	001
Grab/Composite:	24-hr C	omposi	te Date	(s) Ef	fluent C	ollecte				11/10//202
Concentrations Use			5%, 12.5					ition Facto).5
Dilution Water:		ceiving				onstitut		Perrie	· Ī	
Name of Receiving		_	ig Blue l	River			L	s): 10/07/2	2022- 1	1/14/2023
0		-					\			0/14/2022
B. Testing Laborato	ry: <u>B</u>	<u>iomoni</u>	tor, Inc.	8802	W. Washi	ngton S	t.			
City	': <u>I</u> I	ndianap	<u>olis</u>				State	<u>IN</u> 2	Zip	<u>46231</u>
Responsible I	erson(s):	:								
Study D	irector/M	anager	Mich	ael Bri	lton					
Technic		anagor.	<u>Initia</u>		tton.					
Phone N				<u>::</u> 297-77	13					
1 none 1	0.		<u> </u>		<u></u>					
C. Toxicity Test Co	nducted:									
Acute Te	st:						Short-T	erm Chro	mic Te	est:
✓ 1. Ceriodaphni	a dubia/	reticula	ata		√ 1.	Cerio	daphnia	dubia / rei	ticulat	e
2. Daphnia ma	gna or Da	aphnia j	pulex	_	<u>-</u>	Survi	val & Re	production	n test	
✓ 3. Pimephales j	romelas	(Fathea	id Minno	w) [√ 2.	Pime	phales pi	- romelas (F	athead	d Minnow)
4 0.1		•		, r		-		al & Grow		
4. Other: Larval Survival & Growth test 3. Selenastrum capricornutum Growth										
4. Other:										
D. Chemical Analys	ses Checl	dist:		_	'					
				Day						
Parameter	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Commo	ent	
1. Control:										
D.O. Initial	<u>√</u>	<u> </u>	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	\checkmark	✓			
Final	✓	_	<u> </u>		<u>✓</u>	\checkmark	<u> </u>			
pH Initial	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\checkmark	<u>√</u>	<u> </u>	<u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>			
Final	√ <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	<u> </u>	\checkmark	<u>√</u>	<u>~</u>	\checkmark			
Alkalinity:	✓		$\underline{\checkmark}$		<u> </u>					
Hardness:	<u>✓</u>		✓		<u>~</u>					
Conductivity	r: <u>√</u>		\checkmark		₹					
Chlorine:	***************************************									
2. Test Sample:		_	_	á						
D.O. Initial	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	√ √ √ √ √ √ N. D.	<u> </u>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ N. D.	<u>√</u>	<u> </u>			
Final	×.	<u>~</u>	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>✓</u>			
pH Initial	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	<u> </u>	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>			
Final	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>√</u>	<u>~</u>	<u> </u>	<u>√</u>	✓			
Alkalinity:	<u>√</u>		<u>√</u>		<u>~</u>	#77700000				
Hardness:	<u>√</u>		<u>√</u>		<u> </u>				<u></u>	
Conductivity	_		<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
Chlorine:	<u>N. D.</u>	*	<u>N. D.</u>		<u>N. D.</u>		******	100% C	<u>)nly</u>	

II. Daphnia or <u>Ceriodaphnia</u> Toxicity Test Information (To be completed by the testing lab)

A.	Data	Analyses:
----	------	-----------

Statistical Test M	lethod Used	Comment			
Normality test:	Chi-Square Test	Passed. Indicates Normal Distribution for			
Homogeneity test:	Hartley Test	Passed. Indicates Equal Variances for Rep	orod.		
Significance test:					
1. Parametric:	<u>Dunnett's Test</u>	Passed. No Significant Difference	for Reprod		
Non-Parametric	Steel Many-One Rank Test				
:	Fisher's Exact test	Passed. No Significant Difference	for Survival.		
	Values of Significance Provid				
4. Other: No Calc	ulations were necessary for a	cute endpoints.			
B. Toxicity Test Results:					
1. Acute:					
LC50 (48-hr): ≥	100% Effluent (1 TUa)				
2. Chronic:					
NOEL: Surv	vival 100% (1 TUc) Rep	roduction 100% (ITUc) Growth			
LOEL: Surv	vival Rep	roduction Growth			
Chronic Value: Surv	vival Rep	roduction Growth			
C. Permit Limits Require	ement:				
1. Acute:					
LC50 (48-hr): 10	00% Effluent (1 TUa)				
2. Chronic:					
NOEL: Survival LOEL: Survival		duction <u>53% (1.9 TUc)</u> Grov duction <u> </u>	· —		
D. Reference Toxicant Da	ıta:				
1. Reference Toxican	t: Conner chloride Reaga	ent Grade, from Carolina Biological			
2. Test Date:	October 3 – 10, 2023	AR STAGO, MOIN SALOMED			
3. Results: $48-\text{hr LC}_{50} = 113 \mu\text{g/L}$, NOEL (Reprod.) = $40 \mu\text{g/L}$, LOEL (Reprod.) = $80 \mu\text{g/L}$ as Cu.					
4. Acceptable Range:	Within Laboratory Con	itrol Limits.			
E. Permit Limits Complia	ance: (To be completed b	oy IDEM Staff only)			
[7] 		D & & 1403 3			
Pass (LC ₅₀ [48-hr]	•	nil (LC ₅₀ [48-hr])			
Pass (NOEL/Surv	,	nil (NOEL/Survival)			
Pass (NOEL/Repr		nil (NOEL/Reprod.)	_		
Pass (NOEL/Grov		nil (NOEL/Growth)			
Is the Test Acceptable	? Yes 🗸 No _	Reason			

III. Fathead Minnow (Pimephales promelas) Toxicity Test Information (To be completed by the testing lab)

A.	Data	Anal	yses:
	~	2 3 11 16 2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

				,			
Statistical Test	Method Used	Comment					
Normality test: <u>Chi-Square Test</u> Homogeneity test: Hartley Test Significance test:			Passed. Indicates Normal Distribution for Growth. Passed. Indicates Equal Variances for Growth.				
 Parametric: Non-Parametric: Are the Critical 	Dunnett's Test Steels Many-One Rar Values of Significance	e Provided? Ye	ignificant Difference Significant differenc s.				
B. Toxicity Test Results		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (96-hr):	>100% Effluent (1 TU	<u>(a)</u>					
2. Chronic:							
NOEL: Su	rvival 100% (1 TUc)	Reproduction	Growth	100% (1 TUc) IC ₂₅ =58,8% (1.7 TUc)			
	rvival	Reproduction Reproduction	Growth Growth				
C. Permit Limits Requi	rement:						
1. Acute: LC50 (96-hr):	100% Effluent (1 TUa)					
2. Chronic:							
NOEL: Surviva LOEL: Surviva		Reproduction	Growth 539 Growth	% (1.9 TUc)			
D. Reference Toxicant I	Data:						
 Reference Toxica Test Date: Results: Acceptable Rang Permit Limits Comp 	October 3 – 10, 20 96-hr LC ₅ = 1225 mg/ Within Laborato	ide, Reagent Grade, fro 023 L, NOEL (Growth.) = 500 mg/ ory Control Limits. pleted by IDEM Staff	L, LOEL (Growth.) = 1000	mg/L as KCI			
Pass (LC ₅₀ [96-h Pass (NOEL/Su Pass (NOEL/Re Pass (NOEL/Gr Is the Test Acceptabl	rvival) (1 TUc) prod.) owth) (1 TUc)	Fail (LC ₅₀ [96-lemonth] Fail (NOEL/Su Fail (NOEL/Re Fail (NOEL/Gr No Reason	rvival) prod.)				

					Page	4 of
	IV. GLP and QA/QC Com (To be completed by IDEM S	_				
A.	Does the Biomonitoring Report provide?					
	1. GLP Compliance Statement:	Yes	\checkmark	No		
	2. QA/QC Compliance Statement:	Yes	<u>√</u> <u>√</u>	No		
	3. Were the required GLPs followed?	Yes	\checkmark	No		
	4. If not, the Report lacks what major inform	nation:				
В.	Laboratory Raw Data Sheets: 1. Does the Report enclose raw data sheets? 2. Does the raw data sheets provide essential	Yes	<u>√</u>	No _		
	information?3. If not, the Report lacks what major inform	Yes	\checkmark	No _		
	V. Comments and Recomme (To be completed by IDEM S					_
	(10 be completed by IDEM IS	nagj omy)				
emo ime <1 '	ovember 2023 in the Semi-annual testing whole expressions and acute or chronic toxicity to <i>Cerio phales promelas</i> . The 48-hr and the 96-hr LC ₅₀ to TUa). Likewise, the NOEL for <i>Ceriodaphnia acutalistica</i> phales promelas Survival and Growth was 100	<i>daphnia</i> both the <i>lubia</i> Su	<i>dubia</i> test sp vival	or to Fa becies was and Repro-	nthead mi >100% ef duction a	nnow fluen nd fo

1. In de compared to 53% effluent (1.9 TUc) WET compliance limit in the facility NPDES permit.

Reviewed by:

Syed GhiasUddin

Title: Environmental Toxicologist

NPDES Permits Branch, OWQ

Electronic copy:

Jerry Dittmer, BC, NPDES Permits Branch, OWQ Leigh Voss, SC, NPDES Permits Branch, OWQ

Updated: SMG: 00/2016