



## Indiana Department of Environmental Management

*We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.*

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204  
(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

**Eric J. Holcomb**  
*Governor*

**Brian Rockensuess**  
*Commissioner*

June 21, 2024

Via Email to: JBL1331@gmail.com  
Mr. Jason Love, Town Manager  
Town of Pittsboro  
PO Box 185  
Pittsboro, Indiana 46167

Dear Mr. Love:

**Re: Inspection Summary/ Noncompliance Letter**  
Pittsboro WWTP  
NPDES Permit No. IN0020401  
Pittsboro, Hendricks County

An inspection of the above-referenced facility or location was conducted by a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Water Quality, pursuant to IC 13-18-3-9. A summary of the inspection is provided below:

Date(s) of Inspection: June 19, 2024  
Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection  
Inspection Results: Violations were observed.

The following concerns were noted:

The Collection System evaluation generated an unsatisfactory rating. Part II. B. 2 of the permit prohibits overflows, pursuant to 327 IAC 5-2-8(11). A records review indicates that two SSO events occurred during the previous three months. Additionally, the facility shows large increases in flow during and immediately following wet-weather events indicating that the collection system has large amount of inflow/infiltration (I/I). The town completed smoke testing in 2019 and did some sewer lining projects as a result of that data, but I/I continues to be an ongoing issue. The town must devise a plan to investigate and begin reducing the presence of I/I throughout the collection system and submit that plan with their response to this inspection report.

Part II. A. 1. of your permit requires you to comply with its terms and conditions. Any noncompliance with the terms of your permit may subject you to an enforcement action which can include the imposition of penalties. You are required to immediately take all necessary measures to comply with the terms and conditions of your NPDES Permit, specifically those violations identified above.

Effective immediately, IDEM is initiating a program strongly encouraging domestic wastewater utilities to perform cybersecurity vulnerability assessments,

and to take actions to mitigate identified vulnerabilities and increase the cybersecurity resilience of Indiana's water sector. Utilities can choose any assessment tool appropriate for the water sector, but IDEM is highlighting the following websites for information and helpful vulnerability assessment tools made available from the U.S. EPA and the American Water Works Association: <https://www.epa.gov/waterresilience/epa-cybersecurity-water-sector> and <https://www.awwa.org/Resources-Tools/Resource-Topics/Risk-Resilience/Cybersecurity-Guidance>. IDEM will continue to share important updates on the cybersecurity of the water sector.

Within 30 days of receipt of this letter, a written detailed response documenting correction of the concerns listed above and/or a plan for assuring future compliance must be submitted to this office. Failure to respond adequately to this letter may result in formal enforcement action. Please direct your response to this letter to our letterhead address or via email to [wwViolationResponse@idem.IN.gov](mailto:wwViolationResponse@idem.IN.gov). Any questions should be directed to Jason Palin at 317-504-0007 or by email to [japalin@idem.IN.gov](mailto:japalin@idem.IN.gov). Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Kim Rohr in black ink.

Kim Rohr, Chief  
Wastewater Inspection Section  
Office of Water Quality

Enclosure



# NPDES Wastewater Facility Inspection Report

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

NPDES Permit Number: <b>IN0020401</b>		Facility Type: Municipality		Facility Classification: Major		TEMPO AI ID III	
Date(s) of Inspection: June 19, 2024							
Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection							
Name and Location of Facility Inspected: <b>Pittsboro WWTP</b> 700 E. Wall St. Pittsboro IN 46167				Receiving Waters: W. Fork of White Lick Creek		Permit Expiration Date: 1/31/2028	
County: Hendricks				Design Flow: 1.2MGD			
On Site Representative(s): First Name: Chris, Last Name: York, Title: Operator, Email: cyork@townofpittsboro.org, Phone: _____							
Was a verbal summary of findings presented to the on-site representative? <b>Yes</b>							
Certified Operator: Chris York		Number: 18479	Class: III	Effective Date: 7-1-22	Expiration Date: 6-30-25	Email: cyork@townofpittsboro.org	
Cyber Security Contact: Name: _____ Email: _____							
Responsible Official: Mr. Jason Love, Town Manager PO Box 185 Pittsboro, Indiana 46167				Permittee: Town of Pittsboro Email: JBL1331@gmail.com Phone: _____ Fax: _____		Contacted? No	
<b>INSPECTION FINDINGS</b>							
<input type="radio"/> Conditions evaluated were found to be satisfactory at the time of the inspection. (5) <input type="radio"/> Violations were discovered but corrected during the inspection. (4) <input type="radio"/> Potential problems were discovered or observed. (3) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Violations were discovered and require a submittal from you and/or a follow-up inspection by IDEM. (2) <input type="radio"/> Violations were discovered and may subject you to an appropriate enforcement response. (1)							
<b>AREAS EVALUATED DURING INSPECTION</b>							
<i>(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)</i>							
S	Receiving Waters	S	Facility/Site	S	Self-Monitoring	N	Enforcement
S	Effluent	S	Operation	N	Flow Measurement	N	Pretreatment
S	Permit	M	Maintenance	S	Laboratory	S	Effluent Limits Compliance
U	Collection System	N	Sludge Disposal	S	Records/Reports	N	Other:
<b>DETAILED AREA EVALUATIONS</b>							
<b>Receiving Waters:</b> Comments: The receiving stream was free of notable foam, algae or solids.							
<b>Effluent:</b> Comments: The effluent was clear and free of color at the time of the inspection.							
<b>Permit:</b> Comments: The facility was found to have a valid permit and the facility description, including units of treatment and receiving stream, is accurate.							
<b>Collection System:</b> Comments: The Collection System evaluation generated an unsatisfactory rating. Part II. B. 2 of the permit prohibits overflows, pursuant to 327 IAC 5-2-8(11). A records review indicates that two SSO events occurred during the previous three months. Additionally, the facility shows large increases in flow during and immediately following							

wet-weather events indicating that the collection system has large amount of inflow/infiltration (I/I). The town completed smoke testing in 2019 and did some sewer lining projects as a result of that data, but I/I continues to be an ongoing issue. The town must devise a plan to investigate and begin reducing the presence of I/I throughout the collection system and submit that plan with their response to this inspection report.

**Facility/Site:**

Comments:

The facility grounds appeared to be well maintained and the standby generator cycles on a normal schedule to make sure it can handle the power demands of the facility.

**Operation:**

Comments:

All units of treatment appeared to be operated efficiently.

**Maintenance:**

Comments:

Maintenance was rated as marginal due to the need for better documentation of preventative maintenance tasks. The operator utilizes a daily log book to record all treatment related maintenance activities that are completed, but the daily/weekly PM checklists were not available for review. The PM check lists must be stored at the facility for review in all subsequent IDEM inspections.

**Self-Monitoring:**

Comments:

The Self Monitoring Program was rated as satisfactory. All sampling practices, including raw and intermediate unit process testing, are conducted accurately and at the frequency required by the permit.

**Laboratory:**

The following laboratory records were reviewed:

D. O. Bench Sheets                      pH Bench Sheets                      E. coli Bench Sheets

CBOD Bench Sheets

S 1. The laboratory practices and protocol reviewed were adequate, including:

- a. A written laboratory QA/QC manual was available.
- b. Samples were found to be properly stored.
- c. Approved analytical methods were found to be used.
- d. Calibration and maintenance of instruments was found to be adequate.
- e. QA/QC procedures were found to be adequate.
- f. Dates of analyses (and times where required) were recorded.
- g. Name of person performing analyses was recorded.

N 2. Review of lab records and/or on-site field testing equipment and protocols was found to be adequate.

Comments:

The bench sheets reviewed during the inspection appeared to be accurate and complete.

**Records/Reports:**

The following records/reports were reviewed:

DMRs for the period of February 2024 to April 2024 were reviewed as part of the inspection.

Comments:

The requested records were available and appeared to be complete and accurate. Records were reviewed in NetDMR prior to arrival on site but paper copies were also reviewed.

**Effluent Limits Compliance:**

Yes 1. Were DMRs reviewed as part of the inspection?

DMRs for the period of February 2024 to April 2024 were reviewed as part of the inspection.

No 2. Were violations noted during the review of DMRs?

Comments:

**IDEM REPRESENTATIVE**

Inspector Name:  
Jason Palin

Email:  
japalin@idem.IN.gov

Phone Number:  
317-504-0007

**IDEM MANAGER REVIEW**

IDEM Manager:

Kim Rohr

Date:

6/21/2024