

## **Indiana Department of Environmental Management**

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204 (800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Eric J. Holcomb

Brian Rockensuess Commissioner

June 21, 2024

<u>Via Email to:</u> dwandersee@town.centerville.in.us Mr. Daniel Wandersee, Town Council President Town of Centerville 204 E Main St Centerville. Indiana 47330

Dear Mr. Wandersee:

Re: Inspection Summary Letter
Centerville WWTP
NPDES Permit No. IN0022535
Centerville, Wayne County

An inspection of the above-referenced facility or location was conducted by a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Water Quality, pursuant to IC 13-18-3-9. A summary of the inspection is provided below:

Date(s) of Inspection: June 20, 2024

Type of Inspection: SSS Inspection

Inspection Results: Potential problems were discovered or observed.

Effective immediately, IDEM is initiating a program strongly encouraging domestic wastewater utilities to perform cybersecurity vulnerability assessments, and to take actions to mitigate identified vulnerabilities and increase the cybersecurity resilience of Indiana's water sector. Utilities can choose any assessment tool appropriate for the water sector, but IDEM is highlighting the following websites for information and helpful vulnerability assessment tools made available from the U.S. EPA and the American Water Works Association: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/waterresilience/epa-cybersecurity-water-sector">https://www.epa.gov/waterresilience/epa-cybersecurity-water-sector</a> and <a href="https://www.awwa.org/Resources-Tools/Resource-Topics/Risk-Resilience/Cybersecurity-Guidance">https://www.awwa.org/Resources-Tools/Resource-Topics/Risk-Resilience/Cybersecurity-Guidance</a>. IDEM will continue to share important updates on the cybersecurity of the water sector.

A copy of the NPDES Wastewater Facility Inspection Report is enclosed for your records. Please direct any response to this letter and any questions to Becky Ruark at 317-691-1909 or by email to bruark@idem.IN.gov.

Sincerely,

Kim Rohr, Chief Wastewater Inspection Section Office of Water Quality

Enclosure



## NPDES Wastewater Facility Inspection Report INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

NPDE	ES Permit Number:	Facility Type	Facility Type:					Facility Classification:		<u> </u>	TEMPO AI ID
	IN0022535 Municipality				Maj	III					
Date	Date(s) of Inspection: June 20, 2024										
Type of Inspection: SSS Inspection											
Name and Location of Facility Inspected:  Receiving Waters:  Permit Expiration Date											
_	terville WWTP										3/31/2029
	N McMinn Road		C	County:		Noland's Fork Creek					gn Flow:
	Centerville IN 47330 Wayne 1.0MGD								1.0MGD		
	te Representative(s):	Titlo		Email					D	hono	
	First Name Last Name Title Email Phone  Donnie Miller Operator centervillewastewater@gmail.com										
	Was a verbal sumr	•					-		Yes		
Certif	ied Operator:	Number:	Class:	Effective Date:	Ex	oiration Date:	Email:	tative:	100		
	Jeff Lohmoeller	20327	IV	7-1-21		6-30-24		ller@ric	hmondind	liana.	gov
Cyb	er Security Contact:										
Name	<b>:</b> :			Email:							
	onsible Official:	0	4			Permittee:	Town of (	Centerv	ille		
	Daniel Wandersee, Tow	n Council Pre	esident			Email:	dwanders	see@to	wn.center	ville.i	n.us
204	E Main St					Phone:					Contacted?
Cen	terville, Indiana 47330					Fax:					No
INSPECTION FINDINGS											
	O Conditions evaluated	were found to	be satis	sfactory at the	e tim	e of the insi	pection. (5	5)			
<ul> <li>Conditions evaluated were found to be satisfactory at the time of the inspection. (5)</li> <li>Violations were discovered but corrected during the inspection. (4)</li> </ul>											
Potential problems were discovered or observed. (3)      Violations were discovered and applies a submitted from the following fallowing in applies by IDEM. (2)											
O Violations were discovered and require a submittal from you and/or a follow-up inspection by IDEM. (2)											
○ Violations were discovered and may subject you to an appropriate enforcement response. (1)  AREAS EVALUATED DURING INSPECTION											
				= Marginal, U				luated			
N	Receiving Waters	N	Facility	y/Site	Ν	Self-Monit	oring	N	Enforcer	nent	
N	Effluent	N	Opera	tion	N	Flow Measurement N Pretreat		ment			
N	Permit	N	Mainte	enance	Ν	Laboratory N Effluent		Limits Compliance			
М	Collection System	N	Sludge	e Disposal	N	Records/R	Reports				
	,			AILED AREA			-				
	ection System:										
San	itary Sewer Survey:										
1.	. How often are lift stations visually inspected?										
	All six lift stations are visually inspected once per week.										
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
	Check both pumps operation, hour meter readings, check alarms, visual appearance of inside and outside of										
	wet well, check generator when applicable.										
	<ol> <li>Are all lift station inspection tasks fully documented?</li> <li>Yes, tasks are documented.</li> </ol>										
	Three lift stations have permanent backup generators. A portable generator is available for the other three lift										
	stations.										
5.											
	How often are manholes	s visually ins	pected?	1							

Manholes are visually inspected when locates are done and otherwise as needed.

- Describe the checklist or inspection sheet used to document manhole inspections.
   Currently manhole inspections are not documented. Facility uses iWorks software for documenting locates so could also document manhole inspections there.
- 7. Does the facility have maps of the entire sewer system, that detail lift stations and manholes? Yes, both paper and digital maps are available.
- 8. How often are sewer lines cleaned, and is there a schedule or is the cleaning done as-needed? There are approximately 125,000 ft of sewer lines. Between April and October each year approximately 30-40% of sewers are cleaned. Others as needed. The permittee has a portable jetter and a camera.
- 9. Is there a plan for fixing known infiltration/inflow (I&I) sources, how many are fixed per year, and what documentation is kept?
  - Sub Basin 3 is a known area of I&I. There is no current plan for repairs.
- 10. Describe smoke or dye testing, televising, or other I&I investigations, percentage of the system studied, and documentation.
  - Smoke testing occurred in 1978. Flow monitoring in several basins occurred in approximately 2015. This data was used for design of the CSO storage tank.
- 11. Describe chemicals that are used in the collection system, their purpose, and how often they are used. Inferno is used for root control as needed.
- 12. Is the Sewer Use Ordinance (SUO) up-to-date, enforced, and does the SUO prohibit discharge of clear water into sewers?
  - The SUO available at the WWTP had a date of 2006. Operator indicated the town enforces it when needed. It does prohibit the discharge of clear water into sewers.
- 13. How many sewer overflows have occurred during the past 12 months? Detail whether they were rain or maintenance related.
  - One basement backup/overflow occurred in the last 12 months.
- 14. Were problems noted in the way that overflows have been reported to IDEM? No problems noted.
- 15. Is there evidence that overflows have occurred that have not been reported to IDEM? None observed.
- 16. Is there a plan for regular inspection of locations where previous overflows have occurred in the past? Not applicable.
- Detail any satellite sewer collection systems that discharge into the facilities sewers, including approximate daily flows from each.
- No satellite systems.
- 18. Describe the protocol for inspection of satellite systems, including how the SUO is enforced in satellite systems.

Not applicable.					
Lift Station Inspection:					
Name or Location:		Size:			
CYL Lift Station		Two 2.4 HP pumps			
Type of Alarm:	Backup Pe	ower:			
CADA and Audible/Visual A portable gene		portable generator would be used			
Visual Condition and State of Repair:					
Small buildup of grease, no noticeable	corrosion.				
Name or Location:		Size:			
Centerville Road Lift Station		Two 10 HP pumps			
Type of Alarm:	Backup Po	ower:			
SCADA and Audible/Visual Permane		ermanent generator	nt generator		
Visual Condition and State of Repair:					
Good condition, no debris or grease ev	vident				
Name or Location:		Size:			
McMinn Lift Station		Two 10 HP pumps			
Type of Alarm:	Backup Pe	ower:			
SCADA and Audible/Visual	P	ermanent generator			

Visual Condition and State of Repair:						
Good condition, no debris or grease evident						
Manhole Inspection:						
Number or Location:	Construction:					
South Street between 3rd & 4th	Lined					
Visual Condition:						
Satisfactory						
Number or Location:	Construction:					
Winding Brook Drive	Concrete					
Visual Condition:						
Satisfactory						

## Comments:

The Collection System evaluation generated a marginal rating.

a. There was one basement backup reported to IDEM.

- The Centerville Road and McMinn Road lift station alarms are not checked/tested on a regular basis. Without a test button, the floats would have to be pulled to check the alarms.
- c. Manhole inspections should be documented.

## **Effluent Limits Compliance:**

No 1. Were DMRs reviewed as part of the inspection?

Comments:

IDEM REPRESENTATIVE						
Inspector Name:	Email:	Phone Number:				
Becky Ruark	bruark@idem.IN.gov	317-691-1909				
IDEM MANAGER REVIEW						
IDEM Manager:		Date:				
Kim Rohr		6/21/2024				