

## **Indiana Department of Environmental Management**

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204 (800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Eric J. Holcomb Governor Brian Rockensuess Commissioner

June 25, 2024

<u>Via Email to:</u> venturini@dot-coatingusa.com Mr. Michael Venturini, President DOT America, Inc. 335 Towerview Drive Columbia City, Indiana46725

Dear Mr. Venturini:

Re: Inspection Summary Letter DOT America, Inc. NPDES Permit No. INP000711 Columbia City, Whitely County

An inspection of the above-referenced facility or location was conducted by a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Northern Regional Office, pursuant to IC 13-18-3-9. A summary of the inspection is provided below:

Date(s) of Inspection:June 25, 2024Type of Inspection:Compliance Evaluation InspectionInspection Results:Conditions evaluated were found to be satisfactory at the time of<br/>the inspection.

A copy of the NPDES Industrial Facility Inspection Report is enclosed for your records. Please direct any response to this letter and any questions to Lynn Stackhouse at 317-691-0099 or by email to lstack@idem.IN.gov.

Sincerely,

Jam E. Weingart

James E. Weingart, Director Northern Regional Office

Enclosure



# NPDES Industrial Facility Inspection Report INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

NPDES Permit Number:		Facility Type:	Facility Type:			Facility C	lassification:	TEMPO AI ID
INP000711		Industrial		Minor		A-SO		
Date	(s) of Inspection: Jun	e 25, 2024						
Туре	of Inspection: Com	pliance Eval	uation Inspection	on				
	Name and Location of Facility Inspected: Receiving Waters/POTW: Permit Expiration Date:							
DOT	America, Inc.							12/31/2025
335 -	Fowerview Drive		County:		Columbia City WW	TP	De	esign Flow:
Colu	mbia City	IN 4672	,				NA	
	e Representative(s):						I	
				Email Phone Phone 260-244-5700				
			244-5700					
		Enginee						
Deel	o alla Diabandaan	Coordin		ui ele e u	daan Q dat aa atin a			
ROCI	nelle Richardson	Manage	-	richard	dson@dot-coating	Jusaco	om 260-	244-5700
Stephanie Martinez Office Manager/HR martinez@dot-coatingusa.com 260-244-5700 Generalist					244-5700			
	Was a verbal sumi			iven to	the on-site ren?	Yes		
Certifie			lass: Effective I		iration Date: Email:			
	Robert Kahle	21449	C 7-1-2	23	6-30-26 b.kahle(	@sesad\	/antage.com	
Cybe	er Security Contact							
Name:			Ema	ail:				
	nsible Official:	4			Permittee: DOT Am	erica, Ind	С.	
	1ichael Venturini, Presid Fowerview Drive	ent		Email: venturini@dot-coatingusa.com				
335			Phone:			_	Contacted?	
Colu	mbia City, Indiana 46725	5	Fax:					No
			INSPEC	TION FI	NDINGS			
	Conditions evaluated	were found to	be satisfactory	at the tir	me of the inspection.	(5)		
	$\bigcirc$ Violations were discov	vered but cori	rected during the	e inspecti	on. (4)			
	$\bigcirc$ Potential problems we	ere discovered	d or observed. (3	3)				
	O Violations were discov	vered and req	uire a submittal	from you	ı and/or a follow-up ir	spection	by IDEM. (2)	
$\bigcirc$ Violations were discovered and require a submittal from you and/or a follow-up inspection by IDEM. (2) $\bigcirc$ Violations were discovered and may subject you to an appropriate enforcement response. (1)								
AREAS EVALUATED DURING INSPECTION (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated								
Ν	Receiving Waters	S - Salislac	Facility/Site	<u>s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s </u>	Self-Monitoring	N	Enforceme	nt
	Effluent/Discharge	S	Operation	S	Flow Measuremen			
	Permit	S	Maintenance	S	Laboratory	s	Effluent Lin	nits Compliance
<u> </u>	1 on m	N	Sludge	s	Records/Reports		Other:	
			•					
DETAILED AREA EVALUATIONS Receiving Waters:								
<ul> <li>1. The receiving stream was visibly free of excessive deposits of settled solids, floating debris, oil, scum, or</li> <li>— billowy foam.</li> </ul>								
Comments: The facility discharges to the City of Columbia City sanitary sewer system.								
Effluent/Discharge:								
N 1. Final effluent was essentially free of excessive solids, floating debris, oil, scum, or billowy foam.								
N 2. Drotrostment discharge inte conitery covers encoured free of evenesive eile, greece, colide, or feem and did								
2. Pretreatment discharge into sanitary sewers appeared free of excessive oils, grease, solids, or foam and did								

not appear to be in violation of the local Sewer Use Ordinance. <u>N</u> 3. Pretreatment discharge into sanitary sewers did not contain materials that pass through or interfere with the
operation of the POTW. Comments:
The facility was not discharging at the time of the inspection.
Permit:
S 1. Did the facility have a copy of the current permit available for reference.
N 2. If the permit expires within 180 days, has a renewal application been submitted?
S 3. Receiving waters are accurately described in the permit.
N 4. The permit has been properly transferred if there is a new owner.
N 5. The NPDES Permit Schedule of Compliance monitoring and reporting milestones have been met.
<sup>Comments:</sup> The facility has a valid permit.
Facility/Site:
S 1. The facility was found to have standby power or equivalent provision. If required.
2. An adequate alarm or notification system for power or equipment failure was available for the treatment failure was available for the treatment
S 3. Safe and adequate access was provided for inspection of all treatment units and outfalls.
S 4. Facilities and equipment did not appear beyond their useful life.
<ol> <li>List any safety concerns noted during the inspection in the box below: Comments:</li> </ol>
Comments: The facility processes the surfaces of medical devices. Metal treatment processes include PVD coatings, titanium
plasma spray, titanium anodizing, passivation, and electro-polishing. All processes are located in individual areas
and easily accessible. All treatment lines flow to a common tank where pH is adjusted if necessary.
Operation:
S 1. All facilities and systems necessary for achieving compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit
were operated efficiently, including an anticipated bypass report for steps of treatment taken out of service.
S 2. An adequate, qualified operating staff was found to be provided to carry out the operation of the facility, including:
a. Certified Operator's on-site attendance and/or qualified operations personnel attendance was adequate.
b. Adequate documentation of operational activities, including system monitoring and cleaning.
c. Adequate funding to ensure proper operation.
N 3. Solids handling procedures were adequate.
N 4. Documentation of solids removal, handling, and disposal was adequate.
Comments:
Each process line is monitored when in use and prior to being drained to the final pH adjustment tank. Each
cleaning, plating, and rinse tank, in all lines, is marked with a tank cleaning schedule. No operational issues were noted in any workspace. The only treatment provided to the wastestream is pH adjustment.
Maintenance:
N 1. A maintenance record system has been established and includes maintenance/repair history and
preventative maintenance plan.
<u>S</u> 2. Facility maintenance activities appeared adequate.
Comments:
The overall maintenance of the process treatment areas appears very good. A new final pH adjustment tank has
been installed and little to no maintenance is required.
Sludge:
<u>N</u> 1. Sludges, screenings, and slurries were found to be handled and disposed of properly.
No sludge is generated in the pH adjustment tank. Any settled/removed solids in the metal coating process
tanks are hauled off site for disposal.
Self-Monitoring:
S 1. Samples were found to be taken at pre-designated locations and were found to be representative.
N 2. Flow-proportioned samples were found to be obtained where needed.
S 3. The facility was found to conduct sampling of all waste streams, including type and frequency, as required
in the permit.
S 4. Sample collection procedures, including automatic sampling, include:
<ol> <li>Sample collection procedures, including automatic sampling, include:</li> <li>a. Samples refrigerated during compositing.</li> </ol>
b. Proper preservation techniques used.

с.	Containers and	holding	times	conform	to 40	CFR	136.3.
----	----------------	---------	-------	---------	-------	-----	--------

- S 5. Sample documentation was adequate and includes:
  - a. Dates, times, and locations of sampling.
  - b. Name of individual performing sampling.
  - c. Instantaneous flow for flow-weighted aliquots.
  - d. Chain of Custody records.
- S 6. NPDES Permit Total Toxic Organic (TTO) requirements were being met.
- N 7. NPDES Permit Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing requirements were being met.

#### Comments:

All sampling practices are conducted accurately and at the frequency required by the permit. All required samples are grab samples. The Chain of Custody serves as the sample log for metals testing and the on-site pH testing date/time/person is well documented. TTO sampling was completed twice in 2023 as required. The development of a Solvent Management Plan was discussed and likely will be completed with the 2025 permit renewal.

#### Flow Measurement:

- N 1. Flow was found to be properly monitored as required by the permit.
- N 2. Flow data and calibration records were available for review, and document that monitoring equipment has been calibrated at the frequency required in the permit.

#### Comments<sup>.</sup>

Effluent is discharged in 200 gallon batches. The effluent flow meter was last calibrated in December 2023.

## Laboratory:

The following laboratory records were reviewed:

Contract Lab Reports Chain-of-Custody pH Bench Sheets

N 1. The laboratory practices and protocol reviewed were adequate, including:

- a. A written laboratory QA/QC manual was available.
- b. Samples were found to be properly stored.
- c. Approved analytical methods were used.
- d. Calibration and maintenance of instruments was adequate.
- e. QA/QC procedures were adequate.
- f. Dates of analyses (and times, where required) were recorded.
- g. Name of person performing analyses was recorded.

S 2. Review of lab records and/or on-site field testing equipment and protocols was found to be adequate.

Contract Lab Information				
CF Environmental	Ft. Wayne, IN			

#### Comments:

The pH bench sheets and contract laboratory records reviewed during the inspection appeared to be accurate and complete. The pH meter is calibrated daily using fresh buffers. The sample collection/analysis and calibration information is very well documented. The buffer solutions were well within expiration.

### **Records/Reports:**

The following records/reports were reviewed:

DMRs for the period of May 2023 to April 2024 were reviewed as part of the inspection.

S 1. All facility records for the period including the previous three years were available for review.

- S 2. DMRs and MMRs were completed properly and accurately including:
  - a. "No Ex" column was accurate.
  - b. Signatory requirements were met.
  - c. Reports were prepared by or under the direction of a certified operator.

N 3. Bypass and Noncompliance reporting are adequate.

Comments:

The requested records were available and appear complete and accurate.

## Enforcement:

Ν 1. Agreed Order compliance milestones have been met.

Comments: The facility is not in enforcement.

**Effluent Limits Compliance:** 

Yes 1. Were DMRs reviewed as part of the inspection?

DMRs for the period of May 2023 to April 2024 were reviewed as part of the inspection.

No 2. Were violations noted during the review of DMRs?

Comments:

No effluent limitation violations were reported during the period reviewed.

IDEM REPRESENTATIVE					
Inspector Name:	Email:	Phone Number:			
Lynn Stackhouse	lstack@idem.IN.gov	317-691-0099			
IDEM MANAGER REVIEW					
IDEM Manager:		Date:			
James E. Weingart		6/25/2024			