

## **Indiana Department of Environmental Management**

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204 (800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

**Eric J. Holcomb** *Governor* 

Brian Rockensuess Commissioner

June 28, 2024

<u>Via Email to:</u> creoch@buckeye.com Mr. Cameron Reoch, HSSE Specialist Buckeye Terminals, LLC Indianapolis Terminal 10700 E. CR 300 N. Indianapolis, Indiana 46234

Dear Mr. Reoch:

Re: Inspection Summary Letter
Buckeye Terminals, LLC Indianapolis Terminal
NPDES Permit No. ING340051
Indianapolis, Marion County

An inspection of the above-referenced facility or location was conducted by a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Water Quality, pursuant to IC 13-18-3-9. A summary of the inspection is provided below:

Date(s) of Inspection: June 27, 2024

Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection

Inspection Results: Potential problems were discovered or observed.

A copy of the NPDES Industrial Facility Inspection Report is enclosed for your records. Please direct any response to this letter and any questions to Kevin Stark at 812-525-9411 or by email to kstark@idem.IN.gov.

Sincerely,

Kim Rohr, Chief

Wastewater Inspection Section

Office of Water Quality

**Enclosure** 



# NPDES Industrial Facility Inspection Report INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

NPDES Permit Number:		Facility Type:			Facility C	lassification:	TEMPO AI ID		
ING340051		Industrial			Minor		NA		
Date	(s) of Inspection: June	27, 2024							
			Inspection						
	and Location of Facility Inspec				Receiving Waters/POTW	<b>/</b> :		Permit Expiration Date	
	keye Terminals, LLC Inc	dianapolis	Terminal					9/30/2025	
	00 E. CR 300 N.	County:			UNT to Mario Creek			Design Flow:	
	napolis	IN 4623	34 Marion					NA	
First I	te Representative(s): Name Last Name	Title		Email			Phon	e	
Micl	hael Gillis	Termina	al Operator	mgillis	@buckeye.com		317	'-417-1739	
Cor	ey Stephenson	Termina	al Operator	mstep	henson@buckeye.	.com	317	'-995-6180	
	Was a verbal sumn	nary of the	e inspection	n given to	the on-site rep?	Yes			
Certifi	ed Operator:			/e Date: Exp	iration Date: Email:				
Cyb	NA NA								
_	er Security Contact								
Name	onsible Official:		E	Email:	Permittee: Buckeve	Termina	ale II C Inc	diananolis Termina	
Mr. 0	Cameron Reoch, HSSE S	pecialist			Permittee: Buckeye Terminals, LLC Indianapolis Termina  Email: creoch@buckeye.com				
1070	00 E. CR 300 N.				Phone: 219-615-7734			Contacted?	
India	napolis, Indiana 46234				Fax:			No	
mule	mapolis, mulana 40204		INSPI	ECTION FI				110	
	Conditions evaluated w	vere found t				5)			
	<ul><li>Conditions evaluated were found to be satisfactory at the time of the inspection. (5)</li><li>Violations were discovered but corrected during the inspection. (4)</li></ul>								
Potential problems were discovered or observed. (3)      Violations were discovered and acquire a submitted form your addition of the problems by IDFM. (3)									
	○ Violations were discovered and require a submittal from you and/or a follow-up inspection by IDEM. (2) ○ Violations were discovered and may subject you to an appropriate enforcement response. (1)								
	Unitations were discovery	ereu anu ma	iy subject you	со ан аррго	риате ептогсентент ге	sponse.	(1)		
AREAS EVALUATED DURING INSPECTION									
-	Deceiving Weters				satisfactory, N = Not Eva		- Francis		
S	Receiving Waters	S	Facility/Site		Self-Monitoring	N	Enforcem	ent	
S	Effluent/Discharge	N	Operation	S	Flow Measurement				
S	Permit	N	Maintenanc		Laboratory	S		imits Compliance	
		N	Sludge	S	Records/Reports	N	Other:		
			DETAILED	AREA E	/ALUATIONS				
	eiving Waters:								
	iewed from the location o	f Outfall 00	1, the unnam	ned ditch a	ppeared free of nota	able foai	m, algae or	solids.	
	ent/Discharge:		·				<u>,                                    </u>		
Comn	nents:								
The facility was not discharging at the time of the inspection; however, the water in the trench and sampling port appeared clean and clear.									
Permit: Comments:									
The facility has a valid permit.									
IT :=	It is noted that the normit incorrectly states Oil 9 Crosses should be a serious its assets. O 9 C mouth a serious								
	It is noted that the permit incorrectly states Oil & Grease should be a composite sample. O & G must be a grab sample. Please make this change immediately and the permit correction will be referred to the Permits branch.								
	•	ango mine	alatory and th	o pomin o	CHOOLOH WIII DO FEICH	.00 10 1	i ciiiilo	DIGITION.	
Facility/Site:									

Comments:

The facility grounds are well maintained. Safe and adequate access was provided for inspection, including the outfall.

## Operation:

Comments:

There are no forms of treatment.

#### Maintenance:

Comments:

No equipment to maintain.

## Sludge:

Comments:

No solids are produced.

### **Self-Monitoring:**

Comments

The Self Monitoring Program was rated as satisfactory. All sampling practices are conducted accurately and at the frequency required by the permit.

#### Flow Measurement:

Comments:

The facility's flow measurement program is adequate and representative.

#### Laboratory:

The following laboratory records were reviewed:

Contract Lab Reports Chain-of-Custody

- N 1. The laboratory practices and protocol reviewed were adequate, including:
  - a. A written laboratory QA/QC manual was available.
  - b. Samples were found to be properly stored.
  - c. Approved analytical methods were used.
  - d. Calibration and maintenance of instruments was adequate.
  - e. QA/QC procedures were adequate.
  - f. Dates of analyses (and times, where required) were recorded.
  - q. Name of person performing analyses was recorded.
- M 2. Review of lab records and/or on-site field testing equipment and protocols was found to be adequate.

Contract Lab Information			
Astbury Laboratory	Indianapolis, IN		

Comments:

The Laboratory evaluation generated a marginal rating. Astbury conducts all sampling and analyses, including field pH. Analysis of pH is recorded on the chain-of-custody. Calibration of the pH meter must be recorded on the chain-of-custody report.

## Records/Reports:

The following records/reports were reviewed:

DMRs for the period of June 2023 to May 2024 were reviewed as part of the inspection.

Comments

The requested records were available and appear complete and accurate.

#### **Enforcement:**

Comments:

There was no Agreed Order at the time of the inspection.

#### **Effluent Limits Compliance:**

Yes 1. Were DMRs reviewed as part of the inspection?

DMRs for the period of June 2023 to May 2024 were reviewed as part of the inspection.

No 2. Were violations noted during the review of DMRs?

Comments:

No violations were noted on DMRs reviewed for this inspection.

IDEM REPRESENTATIVE					
Inspector Name:	Email:	Phone Number:			
Kevin Stark	kstark@idem.IN.gov	812-525-9411			

IDEM MANAGER REVIEW						
IDEM Manager:	Date:					
Kim Rohr	6/27/2024					