

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Northern Regional Office • 300 N. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd, Suite 211 • South Bend, IN 46601-1295 (800) 753-5519 • (574) 245-4870 • Fax (574) 245-4877 • www.idem.IN.gov

Eric J. Holcomb

Governor

Commissioner

July 2, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ken Ernsberger
Topeka Graphics, Inc.
428 Hawpatch Drive
Topeka, Indiana 46571
ken.topgrafx@gmail.com

Re: Inspection Summary Letter

Topeka Graphics, Inc. Source ID 087-00082 Topeka, LaGrange County

Dear Ken Ernsberger:

On July 1, 2024, a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ), conducted an inspection of Topeka Graphics, Inc., located at 428 Hawpatch Drive in Topeka, Indiana. This inspection was conducted pursuant to IC 13-14-2-2. For your information, and in accordance with IC 13-14-5, a summary of the inspection is provided below:

Inspection Type: Commitment

Inspection Results: No violations were observed

Please direct any questions to me at 574-261-4003 or by email at jealexan@idem.in.gov.

Sincerely,

John Alexander, Compliance Inspector Northern Regional Office

Office of Air Quality

John & alefad

ACES ID: 299049

ENCLOSURE

cc: John Alexander, Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY FIELD INSPECTION REPORT



Topeka Graphics, Inc.			
428 Hawpatch Drive, Topeka, Indiana			
LaGrange County			
428 Hawpatch Drive, Topeka, Indi	ana 46571		
087-00082			
Permit Type:	FESOP		
Permit Number:	38347		
Permit Expiration Date:	10/06/2027		
VFC Document No.(hyperlink):	<u>80532617</u>		
	ants		
\square Nonattainment for $\square SO_2 \square C$	$O \square O_3 \square NO_2 \square Pb \square PM_{10} \square PM_{2.5}$		
☐ PSD Major (326 IAC 2-2)	☐ Major Source of HAPs		
☐ Emission Offset (326 IAC 2-3)	□ Area Source of HAPs		
☐ Acid Rain (326 IAC 21)			
The Permittee owns and operates	a stationary recreational vehicle (RVs) graphic		
design painting operation.			
	428 Hawpatch Drive, Topeka, Ind LaGrange County 428 Hawpatch Drive, Topeka, Indi 087-00082 Permit Type: Permit Number: Permit Expiration Date: VFC Document No.(hyperlink): ☑ Attainment for all criteria pollut ☐ Nonattainment for ☐SO₂ ☐C ☐ PSD Major (326 IAC 2-2) ☐ Emission Offset (326 IAC 2-3) ☐ Acid Rain (326 IAC 21) The Permittee owns and operates		

INSPECTION INFORMATION				
INSPECTED BY	John Alexander			
INSPECTION DATE AND TIME	July 1, 2024 TIME IN: 9:10 am TIME OUT: 9:15 am			TIME OUT: 9:15 am
REPORTED BY	John Alexander	REPORT DATE: 7/0	01/2024	
COMPLIANCE PERIOD REVIEWED	July 2, 2019 to July 1, 202	24		
INSPECTION NOTIFICATION	☑ UnannouncedAttempted, plant shut□ Announced:down for the week.			
INSPECTION OBJECTIVE(S)	 □ Compliance Monitoring Strategy (CMS) □ Mega-Site: □ FCE □ PCE ☑ Other: Attempted 		☐ Co	mmitment mplaint veillance
ACES TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Inspection: 299049 Complaint: Violation/W			ion/Warning:
RM TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Complaint:			
INSPECTION BACKGROUND	The most recent compliance inspection was conducted on April 3, 2019. No violations were observed. The purpose of this inspection was to determine compliance with the company's permit and any additional state or federal air rules.			

SOURCE PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED				
Name	Title	Phone Number	Email Address	
N/A				

INSPECTION AND COMPLAINT HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)			
Date	Inspection/Complaint Type	Result	Comments
N/A			

COMPLIANCE HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)					
Informal Enforce	ement Actions				
Date Issued	Action Taken	Describe Viola	Describe Violation(s)		
N/A					
Formal Enforcement Actions					
Case Number	Enforcement Type	Civil Penalty	Describe Violation(s)		
N/A		\$			
Other Relevant Actions					
Action Taken	Comments				
N/A					

PERMIT SECTION D.1

Emission Units and Control Devices:

Emissions Unit Description:

- (a) One (1) spray booth, identified as SB1, constructed in 2000, utilizing HVLP spray application, using fabric filters, identified as PF1, as particulate control, exhausting to stack 1 (S1) and stack 2 (S2), and equipped with 4 spray guns (SG1 through SG4), with a total maximum capacity of 0.68 vehicles per hour.
 - Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray booth SB1 is considered an affected facility.
- (b) One (1) spray booth, identified as SB2, constructed in 2000, utilizing HVLP spray application, using fabric filters, identified as PF2, as particulate control, exhausting to stack 3 (S3) and stack 4 (S4), and equipped with 4 spray guns (SG5 through SG8), with a total maximum capacity of 0.68 vehicles per hour.
 - Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray booth SB2 is considered an affected facility.
- (c) One (1) spray booth, identified as SB3, constructed in 2000, utilizing HVLP spray application, using fabric filters, identified as PF3, as particulate control, exhausting to stack 5 (S5), and equipped with 1 spray gun (SG9), with a maximum capacity of 2.33 parts per hour.
 - Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray booth SB3 is considered an affected facility.
- (d) One (1) touch up spray gun, identified as SG10, constructed in 2000, utilizing HVLP spray application, with a maximum capacity of 0.17 vehicles per hour, using no controls, and exhausting indoors.
 - Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray gun SG10 is considered an affected facility.

Insignificant Activities:

(a) Two (2) natural gas fired air makeup unit, identified as AMU1 and AMU2, constructed in 2000, each with a heat input rate of 2.5 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, using no controls, and exhausting to stack 6 and stack 7. Stacks are 24' high (from ground) with a diameter of 8".

Topeka Graphics, Inc. (Plant ID 087-00082) Inspection Report Page 3 of 5 $\,$

PERMIT SECTION D.1				
	1.25 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, using no controls, and exhausting to Stack 8. Stack is 24'			
	One (1) natural gas fired air makeup unit, identified as AMU4, constructed in 2000, with a heat input rate of 0.2 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, using no controls, and exhausting to stack 9. Stack is 24' high with a diameter of 8".			
input rate of 0.4 million British the	Two (2) natural gas fired radiant heaters, identified as RH1 and RH2, constructed in 2000, each with a heat input rate of 0.4 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, using no controls, and exhausting to stacks 10 and stack 11. Stacks are 24' high with a 4" diameter.			
(The information describing the proce and does not constitute enforceable of		it des	scription box is descri	ptive information
Pollutants with Emission Limits or Applica	able Standards:			
\square SO ₂ \square NO _X \square CO \boxtimes VOC \boxtimes	$PM \square PM_{10} \square PM_{2.5} \boxtimes HAPS$	3		
Applicable Rules:				
• 326 IAC 6-3-2, 326 IAC 8-1-6, 326 IA	C 2-8-4, 326 IAC 6-2-4			
Requirement:			Applicable	Violation Noted
Emission Limitations and Standards				☐ Yes ⊠ No
Preventive Maintenance Plan				☐ Yes ⊠ No
Compliance Determination Requirem			☐ Yes ⋈ No	
Testing Requirements ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No				
Compliance Monitoring Requirements ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☒ No				
1 0 1				☐ Yes ☒ No
Types of Records Reviewed: VOC, HAP, Weekly stack overspray observations, daily filter checks and monthly rooftop and ground overspray inspections				
Reporting Requirements				☐ Yes ☒ No
Observations and Comments:				
Upon arrival at Topeka Graphics, Inc., the plant was closed. A person on the property stated that the plant was closed for the week and would be operating again the following week.				
Emission Unit or Control Device	Parameter	Peri	mitted Value/Range	Observation
Dry filter	Overspray	Nor	mal	Did not observe. Attempted
Permit Section Compliance Status:				
oxtimes No violations were observed or determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection.				
☐ The following violations were determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection:				

PERMIT SECTION E.1				
Emission Units and Control Devices:				
Emissi	ons Unit Description:			
(a)	One (1) spray booth, identified as SB1, constructed in 2000, utilizing HV filters, identified as PF1, as particulate control, exhausting to stack 1 (S 4 spray guns (SG1 through SG4), with a total maximum capacity of 0.68	1) and stack 2 (S2),		
	Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray booth SB1 is considered	ed an affected facility	/.	
(b)	One (1) spray booth, identified as SB2, constructed in 2000, utilizing HV filters, identified as PF2, as particulate control, exhausting to stack 3 (S 4 spray guns (SG5 through SG8), with a total maximum capacity of 0.68	3) and stack 4 (S4),		
	Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray booth SB2 is considered	ed an affected facility	/ .	
(c)	One (1) spray booth, identified as SB3, constructed in 2000, utilizing H\ filters, identified as PF3, as particulate control, exhausting to stack 5 (SG9), with a maximum capacity of 2.33 parts per hour.			
	Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray booth SB3 is considered	ed an affected facility	/.	
(d)	One (1) touch up spray gun, identified as SG10, constructed in 2000, ut maximum capacity of 0.17 vehicles per hour, using no controls, and exh		pplication, with a	
	Under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH, spray gun SG10 is consider	red an affected facilit	y	
	(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)			
Pollutants with Emission Limits or Applicable Standards:				
	\square SO ₂ \square NO _X \square CO \square VOC \square PM \square PM ₁₀ \square PM _{2.5} \boxtimes HAPS			
<u>Applica</u>	ble Rule:			
40	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHHHHH			
Applicability Information:				
Topeka Graphics, Inc. is an existing affected source because they are an area source of HAPs, they perform spray application of coatings to motor vehicles, and they constructed prior to September 17, 2007.				
Coi	mpliance date: January 10, 2011			
Require	ement:	Applicable	Violation Noted	
Em	ission Limitations/Standards	☐ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⋈ No	
Wo	rk Practice/Operating Requirements		□ Yes ⋈ No	
Cor	mpliance Monitoring Requirements	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Tes	sting Requirements	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Red	cord Keeping Requirements		☐ Yes ☒ No	
Types of Records Reviewed: Initial and refresher training certifications, Spray booth filter efficiency documentation, documentation that spray guns meet transfer efficiency of HVLP if HVLP not used, notifications, deviations				
Rep	porting Requirements		☐ Yes ☒ No	
Pre	ventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3]		☐ Yes ☒ No	
	ations and Comments:			
	rrival at Topeka Graphics, Inc., the plant was closed. A person on the pro week and would be operating again the following week.	operty stated that the	plant was closed	

PERMIT SECTION E.1					
Emission Unit or Control Dev	rice	Parameter		Permitted Value/Rang	e Observation
Dry filters		N/A		N/A	Not observed. Attempted
Permit Section Compliance St	atus:				
No violations were observed.		ed for this permit	t section at th	ne time of the inspection	on.
☐ The following violations w	ere determined	for this permit se	ection at the	time of the inspection:	
ADDITIONAL SOURCE COM					
The following reports are requ					
	ertification(s)		•	nce Monitoring Report	(s)
☐ Annual Notification(s)		☐ Emission	Statement(,	
The reports are consistent wit					Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
The permit accurately represe	nts emission ur	nits observed on s	site.		Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
Compliance assistance was p	<u>~</u>	•			Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
The source is required to have a Risk Management Plan [40 CFR 68]. □ Yes ☑ No			Yes ⊠ No		
If yes, the source has a plan. □ Yes □ No ⋈ N/			Yes □ No ⊠ N/A		
If yes, the employees have been trained. $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \boxtimes$ N/A			Yes □ No ⊠ N/A		
Additional Information and Co					
Upon arrival at Topeka Graph				he property stated that	the plant was
closed for the week and would be operating again the following week.					
Additional Source Compliance	Review Status	:			
⋈ No violations were observed.	ved or determin	ed for this permit	t section at th	ne time of the inspection	n.
☐ The following violations w	ere determined	for this permit se	ection at the	time of the inspection:	
INSPECTION FINDINGS					
oximes No violations were observed or determined at the time of the inspection.					
☐ The following violations were determined at the time of the inspection:					
RECOMMENDED ACTION	Issue inspection	n summary letter	r.		
EXIT INTERVIEW		tion was attemp	pted. Anoth	er attempt to inspect	will be made at a
	later date.				

ATTACHMENTS	
None	