Permittee/Location Connersville WWTP Connersville, IN			Permit Number: IN0032336 Fayette Co.			Outfall Number: 001		
Laboratory Name and Contact: Biomonitor Michael Britton			Report <u>Due</u> Date:			Report Date: May 2024		
WETT Reporting Frequency or Type: (mark one)	Monthly	Quarterly	Semi- annual	Annual X	TRE	Post TRE	First (per Reporting Frequency)	

Test Organism	Test	Endpoint [1]	Units	Result	Compliance Value in TUs	Pass/Fail	Reporting
Ceriodaphnia	7-day Survival		%	100			
dubia	and	NOEC Survival	TUc	1			
	Reproduction Definitive		%	100			
	Static-Renewal	NOEC Reproduction	TUc	1			
		1025 0 1 11	%	100			Laboratory Report
		IC25 Reproduction	TUc	1			
		40.1 1.050	%	>100			
		48 hr. LC50	TUa	<1			
		Toxicity (acute)	TUa	<1	1	Pass	Laboratory Report <u>and</u> NetDMR (Parameter Code 61425)
		Toxicity (chronic)	TUc	1	1.7	Pass	Laboratory Report <u>and</u> NetDMR (Parameter Code 61426)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı
Pimephales promelas	7-day Larval Survival and	NOEC Survival	%	100			
promeius	Growth		TUc	1			
	Definitive	NOEC Growth	%	100			
	Static-Renewal		TUc	1			Laboratory Report
		IC25 Growth	%	100			Laboratory Report
		1023 010 1111	TUc	1			
		96 hr. LC50	%	>100			
		30 111. 2030	TUa	<1			
		Toxicity (acute)	TUa	<1	1	Pass	Laboratory Report <u>and</u> NetDMR (Parameter Code 61427)
		Toxicity (chronic)	TUc	1	1.7	Pass	Laboratory Report <u>and</u> NetDMR (Parameter Code 61428)

8802 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46231 (317) 297-7713

Whole Effluent Toxicity Test

CONNERSVILLE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

IN0032336

Connersville, Indiana

May 2024

CONNERSVILLE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

GLP (Good Laboratory Practices)

COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Project Name: Connersville Wastewater Treatment Plant

Project Date: May 2024

This project has been conducted under GLP standards, as stated in 40 CFR Part 160, with the following exceptions:

Quality Assurance Officer

Greg R. Bright

Date: 5/21/24

Michæl Britton

Project Director Date: 5/21/24

Other Participating Personnel:

Mukang'andu Ng'andwe Arizona Fox

Copies of the raw data and final report are maintained in the archives of Biomonitor for five years from the date of completion.

Section 1
Executive Summary

Biomonitor conducted whole effluent toxicity testing for the Connersville, IN Wastewater Treatment Plant during May 2024. The purpose of the testing was to fulfill the biomonitoring requirement for the NPDES permit.

Three samples were collected May 5-9, 2024. The water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, and Fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*, were used as the test organisms.

A total of six toxicity endpoints were measured. The following results were obtained:

Ceriodaphnia dubia test

48-hr LC ₅₀	>	100% effluent	TU _a <	1.0
NOEL for survival	=	100% effluent	TU _c =	1.0
NOEL for reproduction	=	100% effluent	TU _c =	1.0

Pimephales promelas test

48-hr LC ₅₀		>	100% effluent	TU _a <	1.0
NOEL for survival	:	=	100% effluent	TU _c =	1.0
NOEL for growth	:	=	100% effluent	TU _c =	1.0

The acute toxicity limits in the NPDES permit require the 48 and/or 96-hr LC_{50} to be greater than 100% effluent (a TU_a not to exceed 1.0). The effluent samples passed the acute toxicity limits during this testing period for both species.

The chronic toxicity limits in the NPDES permit require a NOEL (No Observable Effect Level) greater than 58.8% effluent (a TU_c not to exceed 1.7). According to the NPDES permit, there was not a "Demonstration of Toxicity" during this sampling period.

CONNERSVILLE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Section 2
Introductory Information

Table I General

Permit number: IN0032336

Toxicity testing requirements: Fathead minnow larval survival and growth test

Ceriodaphnia survival and reproduction test

Plant location: Connersville Wastewater Treatment Plant

1000 South State Road 121 Connersville, Indiana 47331

Name of receiving water body: West Fork of Whitewater River

Name of WET testing laboratory: Biomonitor

8802 West Washington St. Indianapolis, IN 46231

(317) 297-7713

Table II Plant Operations

Type of discharger: Publicly owned treatment works

Wastewater consists of treated sanitary and industrial wastes

Type of waste treatment: Activated sludge

Design flow: 10.8 – MGD (average), MGD (peak)

Volume of wastewater flow during the sampling period: May 5, 2024 -MGD

May 7, 2024 -MGD

May 9, 2024 -MGD

Table III Source of effluent and dilution water

I. Effluent samples

Sampling point: Outfall 001

Collection dates and times: May 5, 2024 11:00 p.m.

May 7, 2024 11:00 p.m. May 9, 2024 11:00 p.m.

Sample collection: 24-hour composite samples

Physical and chemical data: See Tables 9 and 15

II. Dilution water samples

Source: Moderately Hard Synthetic Water (MHSW)

Collection date and time: N/A

Pretreatment: None

Physical and chemical data: See Tables 9 and 15

CONNERSVILLE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Section 3
Test Methods and Results

CERIODAPHNIA SURVIVAL AND REPRODUCTION TEST

Table IV METHODOLOGY Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Toxicity test method used: Ceriodaphnia survival and reproduction test

Endpoints of test: Survival and reproduction

(LC₅₀, NOEL, and LOEL)

Reference method: EPA-821-R-02-013

Deviations from method: Test was completed in six days because control

animals produced an average of greater than 15

young per female by day six.

Date and time test initiated: May 7, 2024 10:15 a.m.

Date and time test terminated May 13, 2024 10:20 a.m.

Type of test chambers: Polyethylene 30 ml

Volume of solution used per chamber: 15 ml

Number of organisms per chamber: 1

Number of replicate chambers per

treatment:

10

Test temperature range: 25°C (no deviations)

Table V ORGANISMS USED Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Scientific name: Ceriodaphnia dubia

Age: <24 hours

<u>Life stage:</u> neonates

Mean length and weight: Not applicable

<u>Source</u> Laboratory culture in moderately hard reconstituted

water

<u>Diseases and treatment</u> Not applicable

Table VI RESULTS Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Raw Data: See Table 8

<u>LC₅₀ or NOEL obtained:</u> 48-hr LC₅₀ = greater than 100% effluent

NOEL for survival = 100% effluent

NOEL for reproduction = 100% effluent

Control survival was 100% after six days. Control reproduction averaged greater than 15 per female.

Methods used to calculate endpoints: Fisher's Exact Test for the survival endpoint.

Dunnett's Test for the reproduction endpoint.

No calculations necessary for the acute endpoint.

Table VII QUALITY ASSURANCE Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Reference Toxicant used and source: Copper chloride, reagent grade, from Carolina

Biological

Date and time of most recent test: April 23-30, 2024

<u>Dilution water used in test:</u> Moderately hard synthetic water

Results: $48-hr LC_{50} = 80 \mu g/L as Cu$

NOEL (reproduction) = $40 \mu g/L$ as Cu

LOEL (reproduction) = 80 μ g/L as Cu

<u>Comparison to recommended range:</u> Within the laboratory control range for both acute

and chronic endpoints (see attachment)

Table VIII TEST DATA Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Effluent	Day		ſ	Numl	oer o	f You	ng R	eproc	duced	t		Young	Total Live
Concentration	No.					Repl	icate					Per	Breeders
Concentration	NO.	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	Female	breeders
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	3	4	0	0	4	4	3	3	2	3	5		10
Control	4	8	0	4	7	0	5	8	0	6	8	23.6	10
	5	0	9	10	10	10	0	0	8	0	12		10
	6	16	13	0	0	16	13	14	16	15	0		10
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	3	4	3	0	3	4	2	3	3	3	3		10
7.35%	4	9	0	4	10	9	6	8	11	8	10	26.5	10
	5	0	7	10	14	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	6	18	15	0	0	16	16	15	17	17	17		10
	ı	ı	ı		ı		ı		ı	ı	ı	,	T
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	3	2	3	0	0	4	2	3	3	3	5		10
14.7%	4	0	6	4	7	12	8	10	8	8	7	23.0	10
	5	4	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	6	12	14	0	0	15	16	15	14	16	14		10

Table VIII (cont.) TEST DATA Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Effluent	Day		ſ	Numl	ber o	f You	ng R	eproc	duced	t		Young	Total Live
Concentration	No.					Repl	icate					Per	Breeders
Concentration	140.	Α	В	C	D	Ε	F	G	Н	I	J	Female	Diecucis
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	3	3	2	0	5	3	2	3	3	3	2		10
29.4%	4	9	0	4	9	0	4	11	7	8	10	21.1	10
	5	0	7	9	0	11	0	0	0	12	0		10
	6	14	8	0	0	0	13	17	17	0	15		10
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	3	3	4	0	2	4	3	3	3	4	2	24.8	10
58.8%	4	9	7	0	7	11	5	9	9	8	8		10
	5	0	0	8	10	0	0	0	0	13	0		10
	6	15	14	0	0	16	19	16	19	0	17		10
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10
	3	2	2	0	2	5	0	4	3	2	5		10
100%	4	0	8	1	4	0	5	8	8	11	7	25.1	10
	5	8	0	8	14	10	0	0	0	0	0		10
	6	14	16	0	0	17	14	18	19	18	18		10

Table IX WATER CHEMISTRY Ceriodaphnia Survival and Reproduction Test

Effluent Concentration	D.O. <u>Range</u> mg/L	Temp. Range °C	pH <u>Range</u> S.U.	Alk. Range CaCO ₃	Hardness Range CaCO ₃	Cond. <u>Range</u> μS
CONTROL	7.8 – 8.4	25	7.5 – 7.9	40-	90-110	330-350
7.35%	7.8 – 8.4	25	7.5 – 7.9			330-350
29.4%	7.6 – 8.5	25	7.4 – 7.9			400-420
100%	7.5 – 9.4	25	7.3 – 7.9	110-120	200-325	580-680

FATHEAD MINNOW LARVAL SURVIVAL AND GROWTH TEST

Table X METHODOLOGY Fathead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test

<u>Toxicity test method used:</u> 7-day fathead minnow larval survival and growth

test

Endpoints of test: 96-hr LC₅₀ and no observable effect level (NOEL) for

survival and growth. TUc for survival and growth.

Reference method: EPA-821-R-02-013

<u>Deviations from method:</u> No Deviations

<u>Date and time test initiated:</u> May 7, 2024 10:30 a.m.

Date and time test terminated May 14, 2024 10:30 a.m.

<u>Type of test chambers:</u> Polyethylene 300 ml

Volume of solution used per chamber: 250 ml

Number of organisms per chamber: ten

Number of replicate chambers per four

treatment:

<u>Test temperature range:</u> 25°C (no deviations)

Table XI ORGANISMS USED Fathead Minnow Survival and Growth Test

<u>Scientific name:</u> Pimephales promelas

Age: <24 hours

<u>Life stage:</u> larvae

Mean length and weight: Not applicable

Source Biomonitor Laboratory Cultures

Diseases and treatment Not applicable

Table XII RESULTS

Fathead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test

Raw Data: See Table 14

<u>LC₅₀ or NOEL obtained:</u> 96-hr $LC_{50} = >100\%$ effluent

NOEL for survival = 100% effluent

NOEL for growth = 100% effluent

Control survival and growth fell within the acceptable

range

Methods used to calculate

endpoints:

Dunnett's Test for the growth endpoint.

Steel's Many-One Rank Test was required for the survival

endpoint because the homogeneity of variance

assumptions could not be met.

No calculations needed for the acute endpoint.

Table XIII QUALITY ASSURANCE

Fathead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test

Reference Toxicant used and source: Potassium chloride, reagent grade,

from Sigma-Aldrich

Date and time of most recent test: April 23-30, 2024

<u>Dilution water used in test:</u> Moderately Hard Synthetic Water

Results: 96-hr $LC_{50} = 1189 \text{ mg /L as KCl}$

NOEL (growth) = 1000 mg/L as KCl

LOEL (growth) = 2000 mg/L as KCl

<u>Comparison to recommended range:</u> Within the laboratory control range for both acute

and chronic endpoints (see attachment)

Table XIV TEST DATA Fathead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test

Effluent Concentration	<u>% Sı</u>	ırvival in I	Each Repli	<u>cate</u>	<u>Average Dry Weight (μg) in Each</u> <u>Replicate</u>				
Concentration	Α	В	С	D	Α	В	С	D	
Control	90	100	100	100	300	300	450	340	
7.35%	100	90	100	90	370	340	400	360	
14.7%	100	100	100	100	390	410	430	370	
29.4%	100	100	100	100	390	410	400	380	
58.8%	100	90	100	100	400	350	370	340	
100%	100	90	100	100	300	350	340	380	

Table XV WATER CHEMISTRY Fathead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test

Effluent Concentration	D.O. <u>Range</u> mg/L	Temp. Range °C	pH <u>Range</u> S.U.	Alk. Range CaCO ₃	Hardness Range CaCO ₃	Cond. <u>Range</u> μS
CONTROL	5.5 – 9.0	25	7.3 – 7.9	40-	90-110	330-360
7.35%	5.5 – 9.1	25	7.3 – 7.9			340-360
29.4%	5.3 – 9.2	25	7.4 – 7.8			400-420
100%	5.2 – 9.8	25	7.4 – 7.7	110-120	200-325	600-690

8802 W. Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46231 317-297-7713 www.biomonitor.com

SAMPLE SUMMARY AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

CLIENT NAME:	Conne	rsville WWTP			
PURPOSE OF SAMPLE:	Whole	Effluent Toxicity			
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	N:	Connersville - 1	Mon	day	May 2024
DESCRIPTION: Outfall	1				
DATE SAMPLE COLLECT	ED:	Start Date <u>5-5-</u>	24	Start Time 12:00	Am
		End Date 5	24 5-5-24	End Time 77	m pm
NAME OF PERSON COLLI	ECTIN	G SAMPLE: <u>Ma</u>	rc FRa	nk_	
SAMPLE VOLUME:		8 Liters			
NUMBER OF CONTAINER	RS:	Two, HDPE			
SAMPLE STORAGE:		Refrigerated/iced			
PRESERVATIVES:		none			
Relinquished by: Marc	SE	Rank			
Date: 5 - 6 - 24		<u>1</u>	Time:(0	:20 c	
Received by:	7.7				
Date:	6/2	4	Time:(O	:20 -	
Relinquished by:				-	
Date:			Time:		
Received by:					
Date:			Time:		
TEMP:°C					

COMMENTS:

8802 W. Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46231 317-297-7713 www.biomonitor.com

SAMPLE SUMMARY AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

CLIENT NAME: Conn	nersville WWTP			
PURPOSE OF SAMPLE: Who	le Effluent Toxicity			
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION:	Connersville - 2	Wed	Inesday	May 2024
DESCRIPTION: Outfall				
DATE SAMPLE COLLECTED:			Start Time	
	End Date <u>5-7-20</u>	24	End Time	pm
NAME OF PERSON COLLECTI	NG SAMPLE: Woth	s then	dall	
SAMPLE VOLUME:	8 Liters			
NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	Two, HDPE			
SAMPLE STORAGE:	Refrigerated/iced			
PRESERVATIVES:	none			
Relinquished by:				
Date: 5/8/2024	- 	Гіте:_ <u>[О</u>	:05 c	-
Received by:	fl.			
Date:	124	Time: (C):05a	_
Relinquished by:				
Date:		Time:		_
Received by:				
		Time:		_
$_{\text{TEMP}}$: 5.4° C				

COMMENTS:

8802 W. Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46231 317-297-7713 www.biomonitor.com

SAMPLE SUMMARY AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

CLIENT NAME:	Connersville WWTP		
PURPOSE OF SAMPLE: V	Whole Effluent Toxicity		
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION:	Connersville - 3	Friday	May 2024
DESCRIPTION: Outfall			
DATE SAMPLE COLLECTE		1/2024 Start Tim	
	End Date 5/0	1/2024 End Time	e 11:00 pm
NAME OF PERSON COLLEC		and the second s	_
SAMPLE VOLUME:	8 Liters		
NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	: Two, HDPE		
SAMPLE STORAGE:	Refrigerated/iced		
PRESERVATIVES:	none		
Relinquished by:	2		
Date: 5/10/202	24	Time: 0 ! [5	<u> </u>
Received by:	THE		
Date:	>10/24	Time: 0 '. (5	<u>~</u>
Relinquished by:			
Date:		Time:	
Received by:			
_		Time:	
TEMP:°C			

COMMENTS:

Ceriodaphnia dubia

Reference Toxicant - Copper sulfate/chloride as Cu

Dilution Water - Moderately Hard Reconstituted Water

Date	LC ₅₀	NOEL	LOEL	IC ₂₅
mm/yy	48-hr μg/L	μg/L (repro.)	μg/L (repro.)	μg/L (repro.)
07/21	98	40	80	50
08/21	87	40	80	23
09/21	92	40	80	49
10/21	73	40	80	52
11/21	113	40	160	59
12/21	75	40	80	48
2/22	105	40	80	54
3/22	75	40	80	51
4/22	113	40	80	57
5/22	95	40	80	30
6/22	113	40	80	41
7/22	75	40	80	33
8/22	86	40	40	30
9/22	80	40	80	32
11/22	70	40	80	40
12/22	77	40	80	48
1/23	75	40	80	48
2/23	86	40	80	52
4/23	80	40	80	37
5/23	80	40	80	39
06/23	113	40	160	59
07/23	75	40	80	55
09/23	80	40	80	15
10/23	113	40	80	58
11/23	86	40	80	50
01/24	99	40	40	30
02/24	86	40	80	48
03/24	80	40	80	48
04/24	80	40	80	51
Average	88	Mode 40	80	44
St. Dev.	14			11
Upper Limit	116	80	160	67
Lower Limit	- 60	20	40	22

Pimephales promelas

Reference Toxicant - Potassium chloride

Dilution Water - Moderately Hard Reconstituted Water

Date	LC ₅₀	NOEL	LOEL	IC ₂₅
mm/yy	96-hr mg/L	mg/L (grwth)	mg/L (grwth)	mg/L (grwth)
11/21	1129	100	0 2000	939
12/21	1129	50	0 1000	810
02/22	812	50	0 1000	612
03/22	946	50	0 1000	707
04/22	917	50	0 1000	703
05/22	1110	100	0 2000	1223
06/22	856	50	0 1000	710
07/22	1130	50	0 1000	736
08/22	1093	50	0 1000	925
09/22	1278	100	0 2000	950
11/22	1035	50	0 1000	684
12/22	1053	100	0 2000	805
01/23	795	50	0 1000	664
02/23	1091	50	0 1000	741
04/23	1231	100	0 2000	1121
05/23	1189	100	0 2000	1110
06/23	951	50	0 1000	669
07/23	1091	50	0 1000	1091
09/23	1000	50	0 1000	702
10/23	1124	50	0 1000	768
11/23	1253	50	0 1000	849
01/24	1128	50	0 1000	699
02/24	952	100	0 2000	798
03/24	1189	50	0 1000	908
04/24	1189	100	2000	1037
Average	1067	Mode 50	0 1000	838
St. Dev.	1 31			166
Upper Limit	1328	100	0 2000	1171
Lower Limit	806	25		506

Client:	Conne	rsville WWTP
Project #		
Analysts:	ммв,	MN, AF, MMK
	Start Date:	5/7/2024
ites	Start Time:	1015
Test Dates	End Date:	5/13/2024
	End Time:	1 020
Template #		4

0 = Number of Live Young / = Test Organism Dead y = Male M = Lost or Missing

Comments:

		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ì -	2	0 5	0	0	0 3	0 2	0 5 7
Row 10	<u> </u>	3 4 5 6 7	-	5	7 10	10	8	7
₹	a –	5	8 12	7		0	٩	
8		6	0	0	0 15	TT 1	17	0
- 10	-	7						
	- T							
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2	0 0 7	0 3 8 12 0	0 4 8 13	0	0 3 0 0 15	0 3 8 0
		3 4 5 6		3	4	3 8 0	3	3
Row 9	Day -	4	- []	8	8	8	6	8
2		5	18	12	13	17	15	$\frac{0}{1}$
	-	7	-18		0	1 1	13	طا
								-
<u> </u>	7,728.5		1			<u>. </u>		
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2	0	0	0	0 3 8 0	0 Z 0 8	0 3 7
	Mer.	3	3	3	3	3	2	3
3	À.	4	11	٥	8	8	<u> </u>	7
Row 8	Δ.	5	Q	0	1 0	1	\$	1 0
		6 7		17	19	112	طا ا	1
	Wi.				-		-	
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 3
	装	3	3	3	0 3 8	4	3	3
Row 7	Оау	4	((8	8	0	10	9
2		5	0 17	0 15	14	1 0	15	0
		6 7	17	12	14	110	12	طل
			 		 	<u> </u>	 	
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 2
		2	0	0	0	0 0 3 5	0 0 3 5 0	0
		3	2	٥	2	3	3	
Row 6	Day	3 4 5 6 7	4	5 0	8 0	15) b	٥
8			13	14	10	19	12	0
		- 6	12	17	1-16	+	13	16
			 		+	+	—	
	Val. Sy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1 2 3 4 5 6	0 0 4 9 0	0	0 4 12 0	0 7 0	0	0 5
		3	4	11 0 16	4	14	3	1 5
Row 5	Day	4	19	1 11	12	10	+	+ 0
1 2	1 -	5	 	10	1 5	10	0	10
				1 114	1 1. 1	1 110	1 ()	1 1 1
		7	14	10	+ '~	+ '-	- 	1 ' '

		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2	0 5 9	0 3	0	0	0	0
		3	5	- 5	2	7	0	7 10 0
Row 4	δ. Da	4		10	14			12
2	Α.	5 6	0	141	19	10	12	$\frac{1}{2}$
		6	9	0	0	0	U_	
		7						
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2	0_	0	0	0	0	0
		3	0	0	0 0 4	٥	0	0
Row 3	Aeg	4	Ц	8	4	0 4 50	0	0 4 10 0
Ş	ä	5	3 0	8	9	10	8	10
		6	0	٥	0	0	0	0
		7_						
	1							<u> </u>
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2	0 3 4 0	0 0 4	0 Z 8	0 2 0 2	0	0 3 0
		3	3		7	2	٥	3
Row 2	Day	4	6	7	8	O 28 AZ		0
٤	ă	5 6 7	0	0	0	1 +	١ ٦	7- 15
		6	14	14	16	8	13	15
						ļ		-
		·	1 0	1 0	 	<u> </u>	1 0	<u> </u>
		1	0	0	0	0 0 3 9 0	0 0 7	0 0 て
		2	3	4	 	7	1 7	1 7
.		3	1 3	a	4	13		1 3
Row 1) A	3 4 5 6 7	+ 🔨	0	 ^	+ ->	0 8	12
Ž			15	11	18	1 1ŭ -	ऻऻॎ॔	17
		7	112	طا	1 10	+ , , ,		1
All di	1 2202		+	+-	 	+	+	+

	Discharger:	ا	8	Connersville WWTP	WWTP			An	Analyst:			MMB, r	MMB, MN, AF, MMK	×
	Location:	1		Connersville, IN	lle, IN		Test St	Test Start- Date/Time:	Time:			5/7/24		1030
	Date Sam	Date Sample Collected:	ij	2/	5,7,9/24		Test St	Test Stop- Date/Time:	Time:			5/13/24		1020
						Repl	Replicate					No. of	No. of	Young per
Conc.	Day	H	2	3	4	. 5	9	7	8	6	10	Young	Adults	Adult
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	m	4	0	0	4	4	æ	3	2	က	5	87	10	2.8
	4	8	0	4	7	0	5	8	0	9	8	46	10	4.6
Control	2	0	6	10	10	10	0	0	8	0	12	29	10	5.9
	9	16	13	0	0	16	13	14	16	15	0	103	10	10.3
	Total	28	22	14	21	30	21	25	56	24	25	236	10	23.6
•						Repl	Replicate					Jo.oN	No. of	Young per
Conc.	Day	T	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	Young	Adults	Adult
	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.0
T L T	2	4	3	0	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	28	10	2.8
<u>.</u> ကွဲ့	4	6	0	4	10	6	9	8	11	8	10	75	10	7.5
2	Ŋ	0	7	10	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	9	3.1
	9	18	15	0	0	16	16	15	17	17	17	131	91	13.1
	Total	31	25	14	27	29	24	26	31	28	30	265	10	26.5
			3 S			Rep	Replicate					No. of	No. of	Young per
Conc.	Day	H	7	m	7	2	9	7	*	6	10	Young	Adults	Adult
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
T =	8	2	3	0	0	4	7	3	3	3	2	22	10	2.5
-} :	7	0	9	4	2	12	8	10	8	8	7	70	10	7.0
27	2	4	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	10	1.9
	9	12	14	0	0	15	16	15	14	16	14	116	10	11.6
		9	5	r		č	96	ç	20	7,	20	000	6	0.00
	lotal.	18	23		13	31	97	97	62	/7	07	000	OT	7.00

	では、日本のでは、日本に				Ren	Replicate					No. of	No. of	Young per
1.3	-	7.7	m	4	2	9		8	o,	10	Young	Adults	Adult
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	3	2	0	5	3	2	3	3	е	2	56	10	2.6
	6	0	4	6	0	4	11	7	8	10	29	10	6.2
	0	7	6	0	11	0	0	0	12	0	39	10	3.9
	14	8	0	0	0	13	17	17	0	15	84	10	8.4
Total	26	17	13	14	14	19	31	27	23	27	211	10	21.1
					Repl	Replicate					No. of	No. of	Young per
Day		7	m	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	Young	Adults	Adult
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	3	4	0	2	4	3	3	3	4	2	28	10	2.8
1	6	7	0	7	11	2	6	6	8	8	73	10	7.3
1	0	0	8	10	0	0	0	0	13	0	31	10	3.1
1.	15	14	0	0	16	19	16	19	0	17	116	9	11.6
													A E
Total	27	25	∞	19	31	77	28	31	25	27	248	10	24.8
					Repl	Replicate					No. of	No. of	Young per
Dav		7	m	4		9		∞	6	10	Young	Adults	Adult
l.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.0
	7	2	0	2	5	0	4	٣	2	2	25	10	2.5
	0	8	1	4	0	2	8	8	11	2	52	10	5.2
	∞	0	8	14	10	0	0	0	0	0	40	10	4.0
1.46.	14	16	0	0	17	14	18	19	18	18	134	92	13.4
65 T													
Total	24	56	6	20	32	19	30	30	31	30	251	10	25.1

Connersville 5.24

File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED OBSERVED	4.020	14.520 11	22.920 24	14.520 19	4.020

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 4.7941
Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Connersville 5.24

File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 2.68 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 12.1 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H \Longrightarrow R (# groups) = 6, df (# reps-1) = 9 Actual values \Longrightarrow R (# groups) = 6, df (# avg reps-1) = 9.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

SUMMARY OF FISHERS EXACT TESTS

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD	SIG (P=.05)
1 2 3 4 5	CONTROL 7.35% 14.7% 29.4% 58.8% 100%	10 10 10 10 10 10	0 0 0 0 0 0	

Connersville 5.24

File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 7.35% 14.7% 29.4% 58.8% 100%	10 10 10 10 10	14.000 14.000 7.000 13.000 8.000 9.000	30.000 31.000 31.000 31.000 31.000 32.000	23.600 26.500 23.000 21.100 24.800 25.100

Connersville 5.24

File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

1 control 19.822 4.452 1.408 2 7.35% 25.167 5.017 1.586 3 14.7% 47.111 6.864 2.171 4 29.4% 42.544 6.523 2.063 5 58.8% 46.400 6.812 2.154 6 100% 53.211 7.295 2.307

Connersville 5.24

File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	176.683	35.337	0.905
Within (Error)	54	2108.300	39.043	
Total	59	2284.983		

Critical F value = 2.45 (0.05,5,40) Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups equal

Connersville 5.24
File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

			Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>					
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T ST AT	sig			
1 2 3 4 5	control 7.35% 14.7% 29.4% 58.8% 100%	23.600 26.500 23.000 21.100 24.800 25.100	23.600 26.500 23.000 21.100 24.800 25.100	-1.038 0.215 0.895 -0.429 -0.537				

Dunnett table value = 2.31 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,5)

Connersville 5.24
File: ceriorep Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reat me nt
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4 5	control 7.35% 14.7% 29.4% 58.8% 100%	10 10 10 10	6.455 6.455 6.455 6.455 6.455	27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4	-2.900 0.600 2.500 -1.200 -1.500

Discharger:	Connersville WWTP	Test Dates:	5/7/24 - 5/(3/24
Location:	Connersville, IN	Analysts:	MMB, MN, AF, MMK

Į.	en la estada de la composição de la comp			Day				
Conc : Control	1	2 1	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O. Initial	8.2	8.0	8.8	7.8	8.0	4.3		Template A
Final	8.0	80	8.0	8.0	8.4	8.1		
pH Initial	7.6	7.5	1.5	7.9	لباط	4.9		
Final	7.5	7.5	7.8	7.6	3.3	7.9		
Alkalinity	40		40		40			
Hardness	100		110		90			
Conductivity	350		330		350	A8		
Chlorine		3 3		WHO ARE		14.0		<u> </u>

	ett i.,			Day				
Conc: 7.35%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O. Initial	8.3	81	8.0	7-8	8.0	8.3		
Final	7.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	4.4	8.1		
pH Initial	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.9	4.9	7.9		
Final	7.5	7.5	7.8	7.6	3.3	7.9		
Alkalinity								
Hardness		1.00		5 (145), 15 (15)				
Conductivity	350		330		350_			
Chlorine								

				Day	4			
Conc: 14.7%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O. Initia	1 8.4	8.2	8.1	7.8	8.1	8.4		
Final	_	8.0	4.0	8.0	8.4	8.1		
р н Initia		7.5	7.4	7,9	7.8	7.8		
Final		7.5	7.8	7.6	4.4	7.8		
Alkalinity	, m							
Hardness	1 1					1 3		
Conductivity	360		350		360			
Chlorine	100							

Discharger:	Connersville WWTP	Test Dates:	5/7/24 - 5/13 /24
	Connersville, IN	Analysts:	MMB, MN, AF, MMK
Location:	Connersyme, iii	-	

		A section of the sect	er de la company		Day				
Conc:	29.4%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	23.470	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O.	Initial	8.5	8.5	8.2	7.9	8.1	8.5		
	Final	7.6	7.8	7.9	4.0	8.3	8.1		
рН	Initial	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.8	7.8	7.8		
	Final	7.4	7.6	7.8	7.0	7,7	7.9		
Alkalin					National States			14.	
Hardne									
Condu		420		410	7 Jan Sa	400			
Chlorin									

ĺ				Day	17 2			
Conc: 58.8%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O. Initial	8.7	8.6	8.3	8.0	4.3	8.7		
Final	7.6	7.8	7.9	7.9	8.3	8.1		
pH Initial	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.7	£.£	7.7		
Final	7.5	7.6	7.4	7.6	ф Т	7.9		
Alkalinity								
Hardness								
Conductivity	510		490		440			
Chlorine								

	ſ				Day				
Conc: 10	0%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
	nitial	9.0	9.4	9.3	8.4	8.8	9.3		
_	Final	7.5	7.8	79	7.8	8.2	8.1		
	nitial	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.5	4.5		
-	Final	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.8	7.9		
Alkalinity	A	170		(10		110			
Hardness		325		275		200			
Conductivi	ty	640		680		580		<u> </u>	
Chlorine		N.D.		27		N.D.			
Ammonia		N.D.		20		N.D		<u> </u>	

Discharger: Connersville WWTP Test Dates 5/7/24-5/14/24
Location: Connersville, IN Analysts: MMB, MN, AF, MMK

			No.	Survi	ving C	rgani	sms		
					Day				Remarks
Conc:	Rep. #	1	2_	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
	Α	10	0	10	10	lo	10	9	
		10	10	10	10	lo	10	10	
Control	С	lo	10	ın_	10	10	10	_10	
	Ь	10	10	10	10	lo	10	10_	
	Α	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
→ 2E%	В	10	10	10	9	9	9	1	
7.35%	C	10	10	10	10	10	10	(0	
	Ь	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	
56.	A	10	10	10	10	lo	10	<u> 10</u>	
	В	10	10	10	10	lo	10	10	
14.7%	C	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
	D	10	10	Ю	lo	10	10	10	
	A	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
00%	В	10	10	0	10	10	10	10	
29%	C	10	10	10	10	10	_10	10	
	Ь	10	10	10	lo	10	10	0	
	A	10	10	10	10	0	10	10	
E00/	В	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	
59%	C	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
in tal Aanti A	Ď	10	10	10	lo	10	10	10	
	A	10	10	10	10	10	0	10_	
100%	В	9*	9	2	9	9	9	9	
	C	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
	<u> </u>	10	16	10	10	10	10	10	

Comments: Start Time: 1030

FHM Source: Biomonitor Lab Cultures

Connersville 5.24

File: fhmsurv Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Shapiro Wilks test for normality

D = 0.086

W = 0.823

Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 24) = 0.916 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 24) = 0.884

Data FAIL normality test. Try another transformation.

Warning - The two homogeneity tests are sensitive to non-normal data and should not be performed.

Connersville 5.24

File: fhmsurv Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

These two tests can not be performed because at least one group has zero variance.

Data FAIL to meet homogeneity of variance assumption. Additional transformations are useless.

Connersville 5.24
File: fhmsurv Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

	STEELS MANY-ONE RAI	NK TEST -	Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>					
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	RANK SUM	CRIT. VALUE	df	SIG		
1 2 3 4 5	control 7.35% 14.7% 29% 59% 100%	1.371 1.331 1.412 1.412 1.371	16.00 20.00 20.00 18.00 18.00	10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00			

Critical values use k = 5, are 1 tailed, and alpha = 0.05

Test Date(s):
Weighing Date: Connersville WWTP
Connersville, IN
MMB, MN, AF Discharge: Location: Analyst:

5/7 -14/24 5/15/24

9

Remarks											0													
Mean dry wgt of Iarvae (g)	0.300	0.300	0.450	0.340	0.370	0.340	0.400	098:0	0.390	0.410	0.430	0.370	0.390	0.410	0.400	0.380	0.400	0.350	0.370	0.340	0.300	0.350	0.340	0.380
No. of larvae	6	10	10	10	10	6	10	6	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	10	10	10	6	10	10
Total dry wgt of larvae (mg)	3.00	3.00	4.50	3.40	3.70	3.40	4.00	3.60	3.90	4.10	4.30	3.70	3.90	4.10	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.50	3.70	3.40	3.00	3.50	3.40	3.80
Dry wgt: foil and larvae (g)	0.92090	0.91770	0.92010	0.92290	0.91920	0.92910	0.93120	0.92070	0.91910	0.91890	0.92550	0.91620	0.92480	0.524.70	0.92730	0.91890	0.92000	0.91950	0.92550	0.91410	0.92910	0.90880	0.91510	0.91570
Wgt. of boat (g)	0.91790	0.91470	0.91560	0.91950	0.91550	0.92570	0.92720	0.91710	0.91520	0.91480	0.92120	0.91250	0.92090	0.92260	0.92330	0.91510	0.91600	0.91600	0.92180	0.91070	0.92610	0.90530	0.91170	0.91190
Rep. No.	A	8	ပ	٥	A	8	J	۵	A	82	J	۵	A		J	3	A	В	J	۵	4	~^		2
Conc:			Control			Conc:	7.35%			Conc:	14.7%			1994	%57			Conc:	29%		٠	is Co	100%	

Connersville 5.24

File: fhm grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	1.608	5.808	9.168	5.808	1.608
OBSERVED	0	8	10	6	0

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 4.1251 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Connersville 5.24

File: fhm grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 30.15
Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 184.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H \Longrightarrow R (# groups) = 6, df (# reps-1) = 3 Actual values \Longrightarrow R (# groups) = 6, df (# avg reps-1) = 3.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Connersville 5.24

File: fhm_grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	control	4	0.300	0.450	0.348
2	7.35%	4	0.340	0.400	0.368
3	14.7%	4	0.370	0.430	0.400
4	29%	4	0.380	0.410	0.395
5	59%	4	0.340	0.400	0.365
6	100%	4	0.300	0.380	0.343

Connersville 5.24

File: fhm_grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

1 control 0.005 0.071 0.035 2 7.35% 0.001 0.025 0.012 3 14.7% 0.001 0.026 0.013 4 29% 0.000 0.013 0.006 5 59% 0.001 0.026 0.013
6 100% 0.001 0.033 0.017

Connersville 5.24

File: fhm_grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	0.011	0.002	2.000
Within (Error)	18	0.025	0.001	
Total	23	0.036		

Critical F value = 2.77 (0.05, 5, 18)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All groups equal

Connersville 5.24
File: fhm_grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	BLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eat me nt	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	sig
1 2 3 4 · 5	control 7.35% 14.7% 29% 59% 100%	0.348 0.368 0.400 0.395 0.365 0.343	0.348 0.368 0.400 0.395 0.365 0.343	-0.894 -2.348 -2.124 -0.783 0.224	
					

Dunnett table value = 2.41 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=18,5)

Connersville 5.24
File: fhm_grow Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatm e nt
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	control	. 4			
2	7.35%	4	0.054	15.5	-0.020
3	14.7%	*	0.054	15.5	-0.052
4	29%	· •	0.054	15.5	-0.048
5	59%	-	0.054	15.5	-0.017
6 - -	100% 	4	0.054	15.5	0.005

Discharger:	Connersville WWTP	Test Dates:	5/7/24 -5/14/24
Location:	Connersville, IN	Analysts:	MMB, MN, AF, MMK

	20 10 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		10. A. A.	Day				
Conc : Control	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
n O. Initial	8.7	7.6	7,3	7.7	7.6	7.9	9.0	
Final	6.4	5.5	(0.4	6.8	6.9	6.9	6.6	
Initial سیرا	7.6	7.6	7,7	7.8	ن ب	J. 7	7.8	
Final	7.6	7.7	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.9	7.3	
Alkalinity	40		40		40			
Hardness	100		110		90			
Conductivity	350		330	2/	360			
Chlorine				1-17-17				

			4 1 3 7		Day	- A 1996			
Conc:	7.35%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
	Initial	8.3	7.7	7.4	7.7	7.6	8.0	9.1	
d ^a	Final	6.4	5.5	40)	6.8	4.9	6.8	6.6	
 	Initial	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.7	4.4		
	Final	7.6	7.7	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.9	7.3	
Alkalir					13.54, 15.75 1.75				
Hardn									
Condu		350		340	. V. N.	360	1 2120		
Chlori							100		

!				Day				
Conc: 14.7%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O. Initial	8.4	7.8	7.5	76	4.4	8.(9.2	
Final	6.3	5.4	64	6.8	6.9	ما.ط	ما.ما	
рн Initial	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.6	7,7		
Final	7.6	7.7	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.3	
Alkalinity		1						
Hardness								
Conductivity	360		360		360			
Chlorine							·	

Discharger:	Connersville WWTP	Test Dates:	5/7/24 -5/14/24
Location:	Connersville, IN	Analysts:	MMB, MN, AF, MMK

	1								
Conc:	29.4%	1	2	3	Day 4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	231470	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O.	Initial	8.5	7,9	7.6	7.7	7.8	4.3	9.2	
	Final	6.2	5.3	6.3	6.3	6.9	6.5	6.4	
рН	Initial	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.6	760	7.6	
	Final	7.6	7.6	۵.۲	7.6	ナユ	7.8	7.4	
Alkalin									
Hardne									
Conductivity		420		420		400		<u> </u>	
Chlorine									

Conc: 58.8%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O. Initial	8.7	4.0	7.7	7.7	٦.٦	4.5	9.4	
Final	6.1	5.3	6.3	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.5	
p H Initial	7.4	75	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.5	7,5	
Final	7.6	7.6	ما.٦	7.6	4.7	7.7	7.4	
Alkalinity						<u> </u>		
Hardness								
Conductivity	510		530		490	<u> </u>	ļ	
Chlorine						<u> </u>		

		- 54 e e							
Conc:	100%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Remarks
Temp.	20070	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
D.O.	Initial	9.0	4.3	4.1	8.1	4.3	9.0	9.8	
	Final	5.7	5.2	6.3	6.7	6.9	6.8	6.5	
рН	Initial	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.4	9.4	
	Final	7.5	7.5	7.6	3.7	7.6	7.7	74	
Alkalini		120		110		110			
Hardness		325	17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	175		200			
Conduc		640		690		600			
Chlorin		N.D.		04		N.A.			
Ammo		N.D.	1917 171	20	4 %	0.N			