REVIEW OF TOXICITY BIOMONITORNG REPORT

Environmental Toxicology, NPDES Permitting Program/OWQ

Biomonitoring Review Report: IDEM/100/29/334/119/2024 Document Date: 04/242024						<u>24</u>				
Discharger: BP Products North America Inc				<u>c</u>	NPDES	S No.	INO	0000108		
City: Whitin				unty:	Lake		Stat		Zip:	46394
I. Background Information: (To be Completed by the Testing Lab.)										
A. Test Material:										
Effluent/W. Water: Whole Effluent Outfall No(s): 005							<u>05</u>			
Grab/Composite:	24-hr (Composit	e Date	(s) Effl	uent Co	ollected	1: <u>03/04/</u>	2024 03/06	6/2024 03	/08/2024
Concentrations Used:	Cont	rol, 0.675	%, 1.359	%, 2.7%	5, 5.4%,	10.8%	Dilı	ition Facto	or: <u>>0</u> .	.50
	<u>21.6, 43.2%</u>									
Dilution Water:		eiving W				onstitut		Perrier		
Name of Receiving Wat	er Bod	y: <u>Lal</u>	ke Michig	an and L	ake Geor	ge Te	est Date((s): <u>03/05/2</u>	2024-03/	12/2024
								03/05/2	2024-03/	12/2024
B. Testing Laborator	y:]	Enviro Sc	ience In	ıc.						
City:		<u>Stow</u>					State	\underline{OH}	Zip <u>4</u> 4	1224
Responsible Pe	rson(s)) :								
Study Dire	ctor/Ma	mager:	Alexa	ndria Ti	te, Aqua	tic Bio	logist			
Technical			Initial		re, raque		- Sie			
Phone	No.		(330)	688-01	11)					
C. Toxicity Test Con	ducted	:								
Acute Tes	t :						Short-T	erm Chro	onic Test	:
	dubia/	reticular /	ta		1.	Cerio	daphnia	dubia / re	ticulate	
2. Daphnia magi	a or D	. pulex				Survi	val & Re	production	n test	
✓ 3. Pimephales prediction of the predicti	omelas	(FH. min	now)	<u>~</u>	2.	Pime	phales pi	romelas (I	FH. minn	ow)
4. Other: Larval Survival & Growth test										
4. Otner:						Larva	d Surviva	al & Grow	tn test	
4. Otner:				Г	3.					owth
4. Other:						Selen	astrum c	al & Grow c <i>apricorni</i>	utum Gro	
		klist:				Selen	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
		klist:		Day		Selen	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
		klist:	3	Day 4		Selen	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter	s Chec		3		4.	Selen Other	astrum o	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse	s Chec	2	3		4. 5	Selen Other	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	s Chec	2	3		4. 5	Selen Other	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final	s Chec	2	3		4. 5	Selen Other	astrum o	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final	1 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \scrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}} \signignignigned{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sinitita}}}}}}}}} \signignignigned{\sqrt{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sinitita}}}}}}}}}} \signignignigned		3		5 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	Selen Other	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial	1 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \scrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimeseptrimeseptrimese{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimesept\signtifta\sqrt{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sinq}}}}}}}}}} \sintili	2	3 <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>		5 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	Selen Other	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial Final	1 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \scrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimeseptrimeseptrimese{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimesept\signtifta\sqrt{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sinq}}}}}}}}}} \sintili	2 <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	4 \frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\	5 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	Selen Other 6 √ √ √ √ ✓ ✓ ✓	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	1 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \scrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimeseptrimeseptrimese{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimesept\signtifta\sqrt{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sinq}}}}}}}}}} \sintili	2 <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	4 \frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\f{\frac{\frac{\f	5 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	Selen Other 6 √ √ √ √ ✓ ✓ ✓	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	s Chec	2	3 V / V /		4. 5	Selen Other	astrum c	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	1 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \scrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimeseptrimeseptrimese{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \signtimesept\signtifta\sqrt{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sint{\sinq}}}}}}}}}} \sintili	2 <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	4 \frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\f{\frac{\frac{\f	5 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	Selen Other 6 √ √ √ √ ✓ ✓ ✓	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial Final Alkalinity: Hardness: Conductivity: Chlorine:	1	2 ' ' ' ' ' '	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ —	4 ' ' ' ' ' '	5 V V V V V V V V	6	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	1	2 ' ' ' ' ' '	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ —	4 ' ' ' ' ' '	5 V V V V V V V V	6	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	1	2 ' ' ' ' ' '	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ —	4 ' ' ' ' ' '	5 V V V V V V V V	6	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	1	2 ' ' ' ' ' '	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ —	4 ' ' ' ' ' '	5 V V V V V V V V	6	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial Final Alkalinity: Hardness: Conductivity: Chlorine: 2. Test Sample: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial	1	2 ' ' ' ' ' '	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ —	4 ' ' ' ' ' '	5 V V V V V V V V	Selen Other 6 √ √ √ √ ✓ ✓ ✓	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control:	1	2 <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ —	4 \frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\f{\frac{\frac{\f	5 V V V V V V V V	6	7	capricorni	utum Gro	
D. Chemical Analyse Parameter 1. Control: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial Final Alkalinity: Hardness: Conductivity: Chlorine: 2. Test Sample: D.O. Initial Final pH Initial Final Alkalinity:	1	2 ' ' ' ' ' '	<u>√</u>	4 ' ' ' ' ' '	5 \frac{\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	6	7	capricorni	ent	

II. Daphnia or <u>Ceriodaphnia</u> Toxicity Test Information (To be Completed by the Testing Lab.)

A. Data Analyses:

Statistical Test Method Use	d	Comment					
Normality test:	Shapiro-Wilk's Test	Failed. Indicates Non-Normal Distribution for Reprod.					
Homogeneity test:	Bartlett's Test_	Failed. Indicates Un-Equal Variances for Reprod.					
	<u>Dunnett's Test</u> Bonferroni Adj t Test	Providence and the control of the co					
	Steel's Many-One rank 'I						
3. Are the Critical Value 4. Other:	Fisher's Exact Test es of Significance Provid	Passed. No Significant Difference for Survival led? Yes					
B. Toxicity Test Results:							
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (48-hr): ≥43	.2% Effluent (2.32 TU _c)						
2. Chronic:							
NOEC: Surviv	ral 43.2% (2.32 TU₀)	Reproduction $\frac{43.2\% (2.32 \text{ TU}_c)}{\text{IC}_{25} = 43.2\%}$ Growth (2.32 TU_c)					
LOEC: Surviv		Reproduction Growth					
Chiome value. Surviv	'aı	Keproduction Growin					
C. Permit Limits Requires	nent:						
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (48-hr): <u>9.0</u> 9	9% Effluent = 11 TUa						
2. Chronic:							
NOEC: Survival LOEC: Survival	-	eproduction 2.7% (37 TU _c) Growth eproduction Growth					
D. Reference Toxicant Data:							
 Reference Toxicant: Test Date: Results: Acceptable Range: E. Permit Limits Compliance	Sodium chloride (Nat Febtuary 20 - 26, 202 IC ₂₅ = 0336 g/L NaCl, Within Laboratory	4					
E. Termit Limits Comphance	. (10 be completed t	y IDEM Statt Only)					
Pass (LC ₅₀ [48-hr]) Pass (NOEC/Surviva Pass (NOEC/Reprod) Pass (NOEC/Growth	2.32 TUc	Fail (LC ₅₀ [48-hr]) Fail (NOEC/Survival) Fail (NOEC/Reprod) Fail (NOEC/Growth)					
Is the Test Acceptable?	Yes <u>✓</u> No	Reason					

III. Fathead Minnow (Pimephales) Toxicity Test Information (To be Completed by the Testing Lab.)

A. Data Analyse	S
-----------------	---

Statistical Test M	Iethod Used	Comment					
Normality test: Homogeneity test:	Shapiro-Wilk's Test	Passed. Indicates Normal Distribution for Growth. Passed. Indicates Equal Variance for Growth.					
Significance test:	Bartlett's Test	Passed, Indicates Equal Va	riance for Growth.				
1. Parametric	Dunnett's Test	Passed. No Significant Difference for Growth. Passed. No Significant Difference for Survival.					
2. Non-Parametric	2. Non-Parametric Bonferroni Adj t Test		rassed. No significant difference for Survival.				
4 0.4	Values of Significance						
B. Toxicity Test Results:							
1. Acute:							
LC ₅₀ (96-hr): ≥	43.2% Effluent (2.32 TU	(c)					
2. Chronic:							
NOEL: Sur	vival 43.2% (2.32TU	Reproduction	Growth 21.6% (4.6 TU IC25 = 41.469				
LOEL: Sur- Chronic Value: Sur-	vival	Reproduction	Growth				
C. Permit Limits Requ	iirement:						
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (96-hr): 9.	09% Effluent = 11 TUa						
2. Chronic:							
NOEL: Survival LOEL: Survival		Z. Sommer and S. San	cowth <u>2.7% (37 TU.)</u> cowth				
D. Reference Toxicant Da	nta:						
 Reference Toxican Test Date: Results: Acceptable Range: 	Febtuary 20 - 27, 2 $IC_{25} = 0.969$ g/L Nac	2024					
E. Permit Limits Complia Pass (LC50) Pass (NOEL/Surv Pass (NOEL/Repr Pass (NOEL/Grow	2.32 TU _c [rival) 2.32 TU _c [rod.)	pleted by IDEM Staff Only) Fail (LC50) Fail (NOEL/Survival) Fail (NOEL/Reprod.) Fail (NOEL/Growth)					
Is the Test Acceptable	? Yes ⊻ N	o Reason					

IV. GLP and QA/QC Compliance: (To be completed by IDEM Staff Only)

	 A. Does the Biomonitoring Report provide? 1. GLP Compliance Statement: 2. QA/QC Compliance Statement: 3. Were the required GLPs followed? 4. If not, the Report lacks what major informations. 	Yes Yes Yes	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	No No No	=	
	 B. Laboratory Raw Data Sheets: 1. Does the Report enclose raw data sheets? 2. Does the raw data sheets provide essential information? 3. If not, the Report lacks what major information? 	Yes Yes	<u>√</u> <u>√</u>	No No		_
sh pr an to 43 ef T in	V. Comments and Recommentary (To be Completed by IDEM Stages March 2024) in the Quarterly testing whole effluent from how any acute or chronic toxicity to Ceriodaphnia due to comelas. The 48-hr and the 96-hr LC50 to both the test so and acceptable as compared to 9.09% effluent (11 Toxicity. Likewise, the NOEC for Ceriodaphnia dubia Society. Likewise, the NOEC for Ceriodaphnia dubia Society. Likewise, and 21.6% effluent (4.6 TUc) results for Growth, and acceptable as compared to 2.7% efforts the facility NPDES permit.	m BP P bia or t pecies v Tua) W Survival as for S pectivel	roduct to Fathe was >4. ET com and Re turvival	ead minn 3.2% effl mpliance eproducti l and Gro n an <u>IC25</u>	ow, Pimeph luent (2.32 I limit for a on Survival with was 43 = 41.46%	rales FUc) cute was .2% (2.4
Reviewe Signatu	So Hair	Envir	<u>C/</u> onmen	12 /	2024 cologist	

Electronic copy:

1.

Jerry Dittmer, BC, NPDES Permits Branch, OWQ Richard Hamilton, SC, NPDES Permits Branch, OWQ

Updated: SMG: 00/2016