REVIEW OF TOXICITY BIOMONITORING REPORT Environmental Toxicology, NPDES Permitting Program/OWQ

Biomor	nitoring R	eview R	eport: I	DEM/	100/29/33	4/137/202	24	Docume	nt Date:	04/22/2	024
Discha		Frankfort Frankfort	WWTP		Count		ES No. ton	<u>IN0</u> Stat	022934 te: <u>IN</u>	Zip:	<u>46041</u>
1		I. Back	ground	Inform	ation: (T	o be Com	inleted h	v the Tes	ting Lab.)		
A Too			6.0		1) 11101111	o oo com	ipiotoa t	y the res	ung Buo.,		
A. Test Material: Effluent/W. Water: Whole Effluent Grab/Composite: 24-hr Composite Date(s) Effluent Collected: 04/08/2024 04/10/2024 04/12/2024 Concentrations Used: Control, 6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, 50%, 100% Dilution Factor: 0.5 Dilution Water: Receiving Water Reconstituted Perrier Name of Receiving Water Body: Prairie Creek Test Date(s): 04/09/2024-04/16/2024											
B. Tes	sting Labo	City:	<u>Indi</u>	MONI anapoli		. 8802 W	est Was	hington S State		Zip <u>4</u>	<u>6231</u>
	Responsi	ble Pers	on(s):								
	Study Director/Manager: Michael Britton Technical Staff: Initials Phone No. 317-297-7713										
C. Toxicity Test Conducted: Acute Test: Short-Term Chronic Test: ✓ 1. Ceriodaphnia dubia / reticulata 2. Daphnia magna or D. pulex Survival & Reproduction test											
	. <i>Dapnna</i> . Pimepha	_	_		10m)				r <i>omelas</i> (I		om)
	. Other: _		-	. 1. 1111111	iow)	<u> </u>			al & Grow		1011)
' '	. oanor								capricorni		owth
								r:	_		
D. Cl	iemical A	nalyses	Checklis	st:	***						
Param	eter		1 2	. ·	D: 3 4	ay 5	6	7	Comme	nt	
1. Con					<u> </u>			,	Commi	, III C	
1, 001	D.O. Ini	tial	✓ v	/	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark			
	1	Final	<u>√</u>		$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$	√	√	√			
	pH I	Initial		7	$\overline{\checkmark}$	√	<u> </u>	√			<u> </u>
	-	Final	√ v	<u></u>	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$	<u> </u>	√	<u></u>			
	Alkalini	tv:	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{?}{?}$ $\frac{?}{?}$			<u>√</u>	<u>√</u> / <u>√</u> / <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>			
	Hardnes	ss:	<u> </u>		- -	- √	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$			
	Conduct	tivity:	- -		<u> </u>						
2. Tes			 -			_ —	-		•		
21 105	2. Test Sample: D.O. Initial ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓							✓			
	1111111111	tial	·		, ,		<u>.</u>	<u></u>			
		tial Final	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	√	√	/	-		
)	tial Final Initial	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{?}{?}$		$\frac{}{}$	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$			
	pH J	tial Final Initial Final	$\frac{\vee}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{\vee}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{\vee}{\checkmark}$		$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$ $\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$	√ √ √	<u>√</u> <u>√</u>	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$	***************************************		
	pH]	tial Final Initial Final	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$			<u>√</u>	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u>			
	pH I l Alkalini	tial Final Initial Final ty:	$\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$	<u>/</u>		$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> —	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> —			
	pH I pH I I Alkalini Hardnes	tial Final Initial Final ty:	$\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$ $\frac{v}{v}$			\frac{\sqrt{\sq}\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u> —	<u>√</u> <u>√</u>			
	pH I l Alkalini	Final Initial Final ty: ss: tivity:	$\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$				<u>√</u> <u>√</u>	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>	100%	Only	

II. Daphnia or <u>Ceriodaphnia</u> Toxicity Test Information (To be Completed by the Testing Lab.)

A. Data A	nalyses:
-----------	----------

Statistical Test M	ethod Used	Comment					
Normality test: Homogeneity test: Significance test:	Chi-Square Test Hartley Test	Passed. Indicates Normal Distribution for Reprod. Passed. Indicates Equal Variances for Reprod.					
 Parametric Non-Parametric: 	Dunnett's Test Steel Many-One rank Test Fisher's Exact Test	Passed. No Significant Difference for Reprod. Passed. No Significant Difference for Survival					
3. Are the Critical Values of Significance Provided? Yes 4. Other:							
B. Toxicity Test Results:							
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (48-hr): ≥	100% Effluent (<1 TUa)	1					
2. Chronie:							
NOEL: Surv	ival <u>100% (1.0</u> <u>TUc)</u>	Reproduction 100% (1.0 TUc)	Growth				
LOEL: Surv Chronic Value: Surv	•	Reproduction Reproduction	Growth				
C. Permit Limits Requ	irement:						
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (48-hr): 100% Effluent (1 TUc)							
2. Chronic:							
NOEL: Survival LOEL: Survival		Reproduction 100% (1.0 TUc) Reproduction	Growth				
D. Reference Toxicant Da							
 Reference Toxicant: Copper chloride, Reagent Grade, from Carolina Biological Test Date: March 19 – 27, 2024 							
 Results: 48-hr LC₅₀ = 80 μg/L, NOEL (Reprod.) = 40 μg/L, LOEL (Reprod.) = 80 μg/L as Cu Acceptable Range: Within Laboratory Control Limits 							
E. Permit Limits Compliance: (To be Completed by IDEM Staff Only)							
✓ Pass (LC ₅₀) (1 TUa) Fail (LC ₅₀) ✓ Pass (NOEL/Survival) (1 TUc) Fail (NOEL/Survival) ✓ Pass (NOEL/Reprod.) (1 TUc) Fail (NOEL/Reprod.) Pass (NOEL/Growth) Fail (NOEL/Growth)							
Is the Test Acceptable? Yes ✓ No Reason							

III. Fathead Minnow (Pimephales) Toxicity Test Information (To be Completed by the Testing Lab.)

A. Data Analyses:

Statistical Test	Method Used	Comment				
Normality test:	Chi-Square Test	Passed. Indicates Normal Distribution for Growth,				
Homogeneity tes		Passed. Indicates Equal Variances for Growth.				
Significance test:						
1. Parametric	Dunnett's Test	Passed. No Significant Difference for Growth.				
2. Non-Paramet	ric: Steels Many-One Ra	nk Test PASSE No Significant Difference for Survival.				
	cal Values of Significat					
B. Toxicity Test Resu	lts:					
1. Acute: LC ₅₀ (96-hr):	>100% Effluent (<1 TU	J <u>c</u>)				
2. Chronic:						
NOEL:	Survival 100% (1.0	Reproduction Growth 100% (1.0 TUc)				
LOEL:	Survival <u>TUc)</u>	Reproduction Growth				
	Survival					
C. Permit Limits I						
1. Acute:						
LC ₅₀ (96-hr):	>100% Effluent (<1 TU	Jc)				
2. Chronic:						
NOEL: Survi		Reproduction Growth Growth Growth				
D. Reference Toxican	ıt Data:					
 Reference Tox Test Date: 	icant: Potassium chlo March 19 – 26,	oride (KCl) Reagent Grade, from Sigma-Aldrich , 2024				
3. Results: 4. Acceptable Ra						
E. Permit Limits Con	_	Completed by IDEM Staff Only)				
E. I CIMIL LIMIUS CON	upnance. (10 bc c	ompleted by 10 EW Staff Only)				
Pass (LC50)	<u>(1 TUa)</u>	Fail (LC50)				
├	Survival) (1 TUc)	Fail (NOEL/Survival)				
Pass (NOEL/	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Fail (NOEL/Reprod.)				
Pass (NOEL/		Fail (NOEL/Growth)				
Is the Test Accepta		No Reason				
Is the Test Accepta	able? Yes <u>y</u>	No Reason				

IV. GLP and QA/QC Compliance:

(To be completed by IDEM Staff Only)

	oes the Biomonitoring Report provide? 1. GLP Compliance Statement: 2. QA/QC Compliance Statement: 3. Were the required GLPs followed? 4. If not, the Report lacks what major info	Yes Yes Yes ormation:		No No No		
	aboratory Raw Data Sheets: 1. Does the Report enclose raw data sheet 2. Does the raw data sheets provide essen information? 3. If not, the Report lacks what major info	tial Yes		No No		
demonstr promelas respective Reproduc effluent (V. Comments and Recom (To be Completed by IDE) 2023 in the Semi-annual testing whole rate any acute or chronic toxicity tto Ceriodap The 48-hr and the 96-hr LC ₅₀ to both the rely and acceptable. Likewise, the NOEC ration and for Fathead minnow, Pimephales (1 TUc) and acceptable as compared to 100% by NPDES permit.	M Staff Only effluent from dubia test species for Ceria promelas	om Fra or to Fath s was >1 odaphnia Survival	head mi 1 00% e and G	nnow, <i>Pin</i> ffluent (< Survi rowth wa	nephales 1 TUa) val and s 100%
Reviewed by: Signature:		ate:tle: Envir	onmenta	19/	202	24
Electronic con	ov.					

Jerry Dittmer, BC, NPDES Permitting Branch, OWQ Leigh Voss, SC, NPDES Permitting Branch, OWQ

Updated: SMG: 00/2016