

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204

(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Eric J. Holcomb Governor Brian C. Rockensuess Commissioner

June 27, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL
Sarah Strain
Madison-Kipp
1600 Rich Road
Richmond, IN 47374
sstrain@Madison-Kipp.com

Re: Inspection Summary Letter

Madison-Kipp

Source ID 177-00125 Richmond, Wayne County

Dear Sarah Strain:

On June 25, 2024, a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ), conducted an inspection of Madison-Kipp, located at 1600 Rich Road in Richmond, Indiana. This inspection was conducted pursuant to IC 13-14-2-2. For your information, and in accordance with IC 13-14-5, a summary of the inspection is provided below:

Inspection Type: Commitment

Inspection Results: No violations were observed

Please direct any questions to me at 317-233-0033 or by email at rhayes@IDEM.IN.gov.

Sincerely,
Rubuca Haylo

Rebecca Hayes, Compliance Inspector Compliance Section 1

Office of Air Quality

ACES ID: 298826

ENCLOSURE

cc: Rebecca Hayes, Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY FIELD INSPECTION REPORT



SOURCE INFORMATION			
SOURCE NAME	Madison-Kipp		
SOURCE LOCATION	1600 Rich Road, Richmond, Indiana		
SOURCE LOCATION	Wayne County		
MAILING ADDRESS	1600 Rich Road, Richmond, IN 47	7374	
PLANT ID	177-00125		
	Permit Type:	MSOP	
PERMIT INFORMATION	Permit Number:	45798	
TERMINI IN OTHER TOTAL	Permit Expiration Date:	12/27/2031 (issued 10/20/2022)	
	VFC Document No.(hyperlink):	<u>83382140</u>	
ATTAINMENT STATUS	□ Attainment for all criteria pollut	ants	
ATTAINWENT STATES	☐ Nonattainment for ☐SO₂ ☐C	$O \square O_3 \square NO_2 \square Pb \square PM_{10} \square PM_{2.5}$	
	☐ PSD Major (326 IAC 2-2)	☐ Major Source of HAPs	
SOURCE STATUS	☐ Emission Offset (326 IAC 2-3)	☐ Area Source of HAPs	
	☐ Acid Rain (326 IAC 21)		
SOURCE DESCRIPTION	stationary new automotive alumin	um die-casting parts manufacturing plant	

INSPECTION INFORMATION				
INSPECTED BY	Rebecca Hayes			
INSPECTION DATE AND TIME	June 25, 2024 TIME IN: 12:00 pm TIME OUT: 2:30 pm			TIME OUT: 2:30 pm
REPORTED BY	Rebecca Hayes REPORT DATE: 6/27/2024			1
COMPLIANCE PERIOD REVIEWED	7/18/2017 to 6/25/2024			
INSPECTION NOTIFICATION	☐ Unannounced ☐ Announced:			
INSPECTION OBJECTIVE(S)	 □ Compliance Monitoring Strategy (CMS) □ Mega-Site: □ FCE □ PCE □ Other: 		□ Co	mmitment mplaint rveillance
ACES TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Inspection: 298826	Complaint:	Violat	tion/Warning:
RM TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Complaint:			
INSPECTION BACKGROUND	Full compliance inspection			

SOURCE PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED			
Name	Title	Phone Number	Email Address
Sarah Strain	Corporate Quality Systems Manager	765-561-4324	sstrain@Madison-Kipp.com

INSPECTION AND COMPLAINT HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)				
Date	Inspection/Complaint Type	Result	Comments	
7/18/2017	Commitment	No Violations Noted		

COMPLIANCE HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)					
Informal Enforc	Informal Enforcement Actions				
Date Issued	Action Taken	Action Taken Describe Violation(s)			
12/27/2022	Violation Letter	Late permit renewal application Construction and operation of grit blaster without a permit			
Formal Enforce	Formal Enforcement Actions				
Case Number	Enforcement Type	Civil Penalty	Describe Violation(s)		
none		\$			
Other Relevant Actions					
Action Taken	Comments				
none					

PERMIT SECTION D.1

Emission Units and Control Devices:

Three (3) natural gas-fired aluminum melting reverberatory furnace, each using flux and no controls

- identified as M80001, constructed in 2016, with a maximum melt rate of 1.65 tons of clean aluminum charge per hour, heat input capacity of 3.97 MMBtu/hr; exhausting to stack MF-1S
- identified as M80002, constructed in 2016, with a maximum melt rate of 1.34 tons of clean aluminum charge per hour, heat input capacity of 1.99 MMBtu/hr; exhausting to stack MF-2S
- identified as M80003, approved in 2022 for construction, with a maximum melt rate of 3.0 tons of clean aluminum charge per hour, heat input capacity of 3.06 MMBtu/hr; exhausting to stack MF-3S

Seven (7) high pressure die casting machines along with each of their associated electric holding furnaces, identified as RCC01 through RCC07, each constructed in 2016 with maximum capacity of 1,800 lbs aluminum per hour, using no control and exhausting inside

One (1) In-Line Grit Blaster, identified as RGB01, constructed in 2019, with a maximum capacity of 6,250 pounds of aluminum casting per hour, with a maximum shot usage rate of 608 pounds per hour, controlled by dust collector, exhausting to a stack

Pollutants with Emission Limits or Applicable Standards:					
\square SO ₂ \square NO _X \square CO \square VOC \boxtimes PM \square PM ₁₀ \square PM _{2.5} \square HAPS					
Applicable Rules:					
326 IAC 6.5-1-2					
Requirement:	Applicable	Violation Noted			
Emission Limitations and Standards		☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Preventive Maintenance Plan		☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Compliance Determination Requirements		☐ Yes ☒ No			
Testing Requirements	☐ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes □ No			
Compliance Monitoring Requirements		☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Recordkeeping Requirements		☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Types of Records Reviewed: VE notations on grit blaster					
Reporting Requirements	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Observations and Comments:					

This facility was issued MSOP 37366 on 10/20/2016 as a new source under the name of Omen Casting Group. And during the previous inspection on 7/18/2017, most of the equipment had not been constructed yet. The 2 original melting furnaces started operations in late 2017 and early 2018. The facility then recently installed a third larger furnace. Operation of the larger furnace is meeting all the current business needs, so the 2 older furnaces are currently idle. Furnace M80003 and various casting stations were operating during the inspection.

The grit blaster is operated on a batch type of schedule and was not operating during the inspection. They are currently tracking daily visible emissions notations when the unit is operating on a manual log sheet. They are also doing pressure drop monitoring; however, this is not required by the permit. I was able to review historical record of the visible emission notations during the inspection as well and they were found to be adequate.

Emission Unit or Control Device	Parameter	Permitted Value/Range	Observation
Grit Blaster (RGB01)	Visible emissions notations	Normal/abnormal	Not operating during inspection

Permit Section Compliance Status:

Madison-Kipp (Plant ID 177-00125) Inspection Report Page 4 of 4

PERMIT SECTION D.1					
	ved or determined for t	this permit section at the time of the	inspection		
☑ No violations were observed or determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection.☐ The following violations were determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection:					
The following violations v	vere determined for this	5 permit section at the time of the ma	pedion.		
ADDITIONAL SOURCE COM	IPLIANCE REVIEW:				
The following reports are requ	ired and were reviewe	ed:			
☐ Annual Compliance Co	ertification(s)	Deviation & Compliance Monitoring	g Report(s)		
		Emission Statement(s)			
The reports are consistent wi	h inspection observation	ons.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A		
The permit accurately represe	ents emission units obs	served on site.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A		
Compliance assistance was p	rovided during the insp	pection.	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A		
The source is required to hav	e a Risk Management	Plan [40 CFR 68].	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
If yes, the source has a p	an.		☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A		
If yes, the employees hav	e been trained.		☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A		
Additional Information and Co	mments:				
Additional Source Compliance	e Review Status:				
⋈ No violations were obser	ved or determined for t	this permit section at the time of the	inspection.		
☐ The following violations v	vere determined for this	s permit section at the time of the ins	spection:		
<u>L</u>					
INSPECTION FINDINGS					
⊠ No violations were observe		•			
☐ The following violations we	re determined at the tir	me of the inspection:			
RECOMMENDED ACTION	Issue inspection sumi	mary letter.			
EXIT INTERVIEW	I explained my finding to exiting the facility.	gs, recommendations, and conclusio	ns with source contacts prior		