D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC.

401 Lincolnway West Osceola, Indiana 46561 (574) 674-0161

July 2, 20234

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch % Mail Code 61-53, IGCN 1003 100 N. Senate Ave. Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251

Received by State of Indiana IDEM - OAO Via Email 7/3/2024 KB-1

RE: Application for Part 70 Permit Notice Only Change

Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Permit No. 099-44197-00023

Air Permits Branch:

Composite Technology Assembly, LLC (CTA) is submitting the enclosed amendment application for the purpose of adding a monorail system to the Plant 1 lamination area listed in Section A.3 Plant 1(a) of its Part 70 (Title V) Operating Permit 099-46205-00023. CTA also requests corrections to the mix tanks and bulk tank listings contained in Section A.3 Plant 1(i) & (j), and Plant 2 (f).

The Plant 1 operations contain one (1), 800-gallon capacity mix tank (MT1), one (1) 800-gallon capacity day tank (DT1), and three (3) 2000-gallon bulk resin tanks (RT1-RT3). The Plant 2 operations contain one (1) 800-gallon capacity mix tank (MT2), one (1) 800-gallon day tank (DT2), and four (4) 2000-gallon bulk resin tanks (RT4-RT7). Revised descriptive language is provided with this application. This amendment application is provided in accordance with the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7, and Condition B.17 of this permit.

The existing capacities for the Plant 1 lamination area, identified as CA, will remain unchanged. No increase in potential emissions are requested in association with this amendment. Source-wide potential emissions calculations are included with this application for review.

Please review and process this amendment application. Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 574-674-0161.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglas A. Elliott

Project Manager

Enclosure: Air Permit Amendment Application

AIR PERMIT APPLICATION COVER SHEET

State Form 50639 (R3 / 11-07) INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

IDEM - Office of Air Quality - Permits Branch 100 N. Senate Avenue, MC 61-53, Room 1003 Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251 Telephone: (317) 233-0178 or Toll Free: 1-800-451-6027 x30178 (within Indiana) Facsimile Number: (317) 232-6749 www.in.gov/idem/permits/air/index.html

Tax ID Number:

The purpose of this cover sheet is to obtain the core information needed to process the air permit application. This cover sheet is required for <u>all</u> air permit applications submitted to IDEM, OAQ. Place this cover sheet on top of all subsequent forms and attachments that encompass your air permit application packet.

- Submit the completed air permit application packet, including all forms and attachments, to IDEM Air Permits Administration using the address in the upper right hand corner of this page. Also send a copy to the local agency (if applicable).
- IDEM will send a bill to collect the filing fee and any other applicable fees.
- Detailed instructions for this form are available online at www.ln.gov/idem/permits/air/apps/instructions/coverinstructions.html.

	PART A: F	ourpose of	Application	n	
Pa "s	art A identifies the purpose of this air perm source" refers to the plant site as a whole a	it applicatio nd NOT to i	n. For the p ndividual er	ourposes of this form	n, the term
2.	Source / Company Name: Composite Techno	ology Assemb	ly, LLC	3. Plant ID:	099 – 0023
4.	Billing Address: 904 Markley Drive	1400 Pidco I	Orive, & 2900	Gary Drive	
	City: Plymouth	State	e: IN	ZIP Code: 468	563 –
5.	Permit Level: Exemption Registrat	ion SSC	A MS	OP ☐ FESOP ☑ TV	OP □ PBR
6.	Application Summary: Check all that apply. Mu choices selected below.	ltiple permit n	umbers may l	pe assigned as needed	based on the
l	☐ Initial Permit ☐ Renewal of Opera	ating Permit		Asphalt General Pe	rmit
	☐ Review Request ☐ Revocation of Op	erating Permit		☐ Alternate Emission	
	☐ Interim Approval ☐ Relocation of Por	able Source		Acid Deposition (Ph	-
	☐ Site Closure ☐ Emission Reducti	on Credit Reg	istry		,
	☐ Transition (between permit levels) From	7.:		То:	
	☐ Administrative Amendment: ☐ Company	Vame Change		☐ Change of Re	esponsible Official
	☐ Correction	to Non-Technic	al Information	☑ Notice Only C	•
	Other (spe	cify).			
	☐ Modification: ☐ New Emission Unit or Control	Device	Modified Emis	sion Unit or Control Device	9
	☐ New Applicable Permit Requi	rement _	Change to App	olicability of a Permit Requ	irement
	☐ Prevention of Significant Dete	erioration 🗌	Emission Offse	et MACT Preco	nstruction Review
	☐ Minor Source Modification	Significa	nt Source Mod	ification	
	☐ Minor Permit Modification	☐ Significa	nt Permit Modii	fication	
	Other (specify):		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>	
7.	Is this an application for an initial construction and				☐ Yes ⊠ No
8.	Is this an application for construction of a new em	issions unit at	an Existing S	Source?	☐ Yes ☒ No

	PART B: Pre-Application Meeting
Part	B specifies whether a meeting was held or is being requested to discuss the permit application.
9. V	Vas a meeting held between the company and IDEM prior to submitting this application to discuss the details of the roject?
Σ	No ☐ Yes: Date:
10 . V	Vould you like to schedule a meeting with IDEM management and your permit writer to discuss the details of this roject?
Σ	No Yes: Proposed Date for Meeting:
	PART C: Confidential Business Information
infor	C identifies permit applications that require special care to ensure that confidential business mation is kept separate from the public file.
set ou OAQ	s of confidentiality must be made at the time the information is submitted to IDEM, and must follow the requirements in the Indiana Administrative Code (IAC). To ensure that your information remains confidential, refer to the IDEM, information regarding submittal of confidential business information. For more information on confidentiality for types of business information, please review IDEM's Nonrule Policy Document Air-031-NPD regarding Emission
11. ls	any of the information contained within this application being claimed as Confidential usiness Information?
]Yes ⊠ No
	BART D. Contigue of Touris Account of Contigue of Cont
is tru	PART D: Certification Of Truth, Accuracy, and Completeness D is the official certification that the information contained within the air permit application packet thful, accurate, and complete. Any air permit application packet that we receive without a signed ication will be deemed incomplete and may result in denial of the permit.
define	Part 70 Operating Permit (TVOP) or a Source Specific Operating Agreement (SSOA), a "responsible official" as d in 326 IAC 2-7-1(34) must certify the air permit application. For all other applicants, this person is an "authorized dual" as defined in 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).
	I certify under penalty of law that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information contained in this application are true, accurate, and complete.
	Naylor President Title
Signa	7/2/2024 ture Date (month, day, year)



OAQ GENERAL SOURCE DATA APPLICATION GSD-01: Basic Source Level Information

State Form 50640 (R4 / 9-06)
INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

State of Indiana IDEM - OAQ Via Email 7/3/2024 KB-1

NOTES:

IDEM - Office of Air Quality - Permits Branch 100 N. Senate Avenue, Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251

099-48043-00023 AI# 11640

Telephone: (317) 233-0178 or
Toll Free: 1-800-451-6027 x30178 (within Indiana)
Facsimile Number: (317) 232-6749
www.in.gov/idem/permits/air/index.html

- The purpose of GSD-01 is to provide essential information about the entire source of air pollutant emissions. GSD-01 is a required form.
- Detailed instructions for this form are available online at www.in.gov/idem/permits/air/apps/instructions/gsd01instructions.html.
- All information submitted to IDEM will be made available to the public unless it is submitted under a claim of confidentiality. Claims
 of confidentiality must be made at the time the information is submitted to IDEM, and must follow the requirements set out in 326
 IAC 17.1-4-1. Failure to follow these requirements exactly will result in your information becoming a public record, available for
 public inspection.

PART A: Source / Comp	pany Location Information
Source / Company Name: Composite Technology Ass	semblies, LLC 2. Plant ID : 099 – 00023
Location Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Driv	e, & 2900 Gary Drive
City: Plymouth	State: IN ZIP Code: 46563 -
County Name: Marshall	5. Township Name: Center
Geographic Coordinates:	
Latitude: 41 21' 00"	Longitude: -086 19' 00"
Universal Transferal Mercadum Coordinates (if know	m):
Zone: 18 Horizontal:	Vertical:
Adjacent States: Is the source located within 50 miles of	of an adjacent state?
No ⊠ Yes - Indicate Adjacent State(s): ☐ Illinois (IL	.) 🗵 Michigan (MI) 🗌 Ohio (OH) 🔲 Kentucky (KY)
Attainment Area Designation: is the source located within	n a non-attainment area for any of the criteria air pollutants?
No ☐ Yes – Indicate Nonattainment Pollutant(s): ☐	CO Pb NO _x O ₃ PM PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO ₂
. Portable / Stationary: Is this a portable or stationary so	urce? ☐ Portable ☐ Stationary
PART B: Sou	irce Summary
. Company Internet Address (optional):	
. Company Name History: Has this source operated und	ler any other name(s)?
☐ No ☐ Yes – Provide information regarding pass.	t company names in Part I, Company Name History.
. Portable Source Location History: Will the location of	the portable source be changing in the near future?
Not Applicable ☐ No ☐ Yes – Complete	Part J, Portable Source Location History, and Part K, Request to Change Location of Portable Source.
Existing Approvals: Have any exemptions, registrations	s, or permits been issued to this source?
☐ No ☐ Yes – List these permits and their corres	ponding emissions units in Part M, Existing Approvals.
. Unpermitted Emissions Units: Does this source have a	any unpermitted emissions units?
No ☐ Yes – List all unpermitted emissions units	s in Part N, Unpermitted Emissions Units.
. New Source Review: Is this source proposing to constru	uct or modify any emissions units?
☑ No ☐ Yes – List all proposed new construction	in Part O, New or Modified Emissions Units.
. Risk Management Plan: Has this source submitted a R	isk Management Plan?
tusk management rian. Has this source submitted a N	ion management rian:
	Location Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive City: Plymouth County Name: Marshall Geographic Coordinates: Latitude: 41 21' 00" Universal Transferal Mercadum Coordinates (if know. Zone: 18

PART C: Source C	Contact Information	
IDEM will send the original, signed permit deci This person MUST be an employee of the permitte	sion to the person	identified in this section.
18. Name of Source Contact Person: Andrew Aker	d source.	
19. Title (optional): Vice President		
20. Mailing Address: P.O. Box 82	· .	
City: Plymouth	State: IN	ZIP Code : 46563 – 0082
21. Electronic Mail Address (optional):	State. III	ZIF Code, 40303 - 0082
22. Telephone Number : (574) 936 - 3196	23. Facsimile Numb	or (ontional): ()
22. 1000 From Rumber: (074) 300 - 3130	23. racsilline Nullib	ei (optional). () –
PART D: Authorized Individual/	Responsible Official I	nformation
IDEM will send a copy of the permit decision to the	person indicated in	this section, if the Authorized
Individual or Responsible Official is different from t	he Source Contact	specified in Part C
24. Name of Authorized Individual or Responsible Officia	i: Mark Naylor	
25. Title. President		
26. Mailing Address: P.O. Box 82		
City: Plymouth	State: IN	ZIP Code : 46563 – 0082
27. Telephone Number : (574) 936 - 3196	28. Facsimile Numb	er (optional): () -
29. Request to Change the Authorized Individual or Responses the person designated as the Authorized Individual IDEM, OAQ? The permit may list the title of the Authorized Individual IDEM, OAQ?	al or Responsible Offic	ial in the official documents issued by
PART E: Own		
30. Company Name of Owner: Composite Technology Asse	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
31. Name of Owner Contact Person: Andrew Aker, Vice F	resident	
32. Mailing Address: P.O. Box 82		
City: Plymouth	State: IN	ZIP Code: 46563 – 0082
33. Telephone Number (574) 936 - 3196	34. Facsimile Number	and the second second
35. Operator: Does the "Owner" company also operate the s	ource to which this app	lication applies?
No − Proceed to Part F below. Yes − Enter "SAM.	IE AS OWNER" on line 35 a	and proceed to Part G below.
PART F: Opera	tor Information	
36. Company Name of Operator: Same as Owner	tor information	
37. Name of Operator Contact Person:		
38. Mailing Address:		
City:	Ctata:	7ID Code
	State:	ZIP Code: -
39. Telephone Number: () –	40. Facsimile Numbe	er (optional): () —

PART G: Age	ent Information	
41. Company Name of Agent: D&B Environmental Consul	ting, LLC	
42. Type of Agent:	Attorney	er (specify):
43. Name of Agent Contact Person: Doug Elliott		
44. Mailing Address: 401 Lincoln Way West	r	
City: Osceola	State: IN	ZIP Code: 46561 -
45. Electronic Mail Address (optional): dougelliotto	@dbesi.com	
46. Telephone Number: (574) 674 - 0161	47. Facsimile Nui	mber (optional): (574) 674 - 2778
48. Request for Follow-up: Does the "Agent" wish to receive		
during the public notice period (if applicable) and a copy	of the final determin	ation?
PART H: Local L	ibrary Information	
49. Date application packet was filed with the local libra	ry:	
50. Name of Library: Plymouth Public Library		
51. Name of Librarian (optional): Reference Desk		
52. Mailing Address: 201 N Center St.		
City: Plymouth	State: IN	ZIP Code: 46563 -
53. Internet Address (optional):		
54. Electronic Mail Address (optional):		
55. Telephone Number: (574) 936 - 2324	56. Facsimile Nur	nber (optional): () –
		7.
PART I: Company Nar Complete this section only if the source has previously opera		1 To 1 1 To 1 88 F T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
above in Section A.		
57. Legal Name of Company		58. Dates of Use
Eagle Craft, Inc.		02/03/1999 to 2/28/2013
Aker Composite Solutions, Inc.		02/28/2013 to 3/7/2017
		to
	·	to
		to
59. Company Name Change Request: Is the source official on all official documents issued by IDEM, OAQ?	Illy requesting to char	nge the legal name that will be printed
The war of holds accommente located by IDEM, Office		

THE CUITERIC ROCALION OF	the source should be listed in Section A.	
60. Plant ID	61. Location of the Portable Source	62. Dates at this Location
	Not Applicable	to
		to
<u> </u>		to
_		to
<u> </u>		to
		to
<u> </u>		to
		to
<u> </u>		to
		to
<u> </u>		to
		to_
<u> </u>		to
		to
		to
_ <u>_</u> _		to
		to

Complete this section to request a char	est to Change Loca	of a state		
63. Current Location:				3.00
Address: Not Applicable				
City:		State:	ZIP Code:	
County Name:				
64. New Location:				
Address:				
City:		State:	ZIP Code:	_
County Name:				

PART	.: Source Process Description	1							
Complete this section to summarize the main processes at the source.									
65. Process Description	66. Products	67. SIC Code	68. NAICS Code						
RPC Open Molded and RTM Parts	RPC Parts	3792	326199						

PART M: Existing Approvals (if applicable) Complete this section to summarize the approvals issued to the source since issuance of the main operating permit.						
69. Permit ID	70. Emissions Unit IDs	71. Expiration Date				
42116	RPC and Surface Coating Operations	10/13/2025				
44197		10/13/2025				
44 <u>32</u> 1		10/13/2025				
46205		10/13/2025				
46242		10/13/2025				

<u>- 1870 -</u> J	74. Actual Dates			e this section only if the source has emission units that are not list			
egan eration		Completed Construction	Began Construction		128	73. Type of Emissions Unit	72. Emissions Unit ID
	_						
_							

PART O: New or Modified Emissions Units (if applicable) Complete this section only if the source is proposing to add new emission units or modify existing emission units.								
	NEW	MOD			79. Estimated Dates			
75. Emissions Unit ID	76. NE	77. MK	78. Type of Emissions Unit	<u> </u>	Begin Construction	Complete Construction	Begin Operation	
				ALI				
							<u>.</u>	

Permit Reviewer: Nicholas Walters

DRAFT

SECTION A

SOURCE SUMMARY

This permit is based on information requested by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ). The information describing the source contained in conditions A.1 through A.3 is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions. However, the Permittee should be aware that a physical change or a change in the method of operation that may render this descriptive information obsolete or inaccurate may trigger requirements for the Permittee to obtain additional permits or seek modification of this permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2, or change other applicable requirements presented in the permit application.

A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)][326 IAC 2-7-5(14)][326 IAC 2-7-1(22)]

The Permittee owns and operates a stationary fiberglass products manufacturing and painting company.

Source Address:

904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, and 2900 Gary

Drive, Plymouth, Indiana 46563

General Source Phone Number:

(574) 948-0004

SIC Code:

3089 (Plastics Products, not elsewhere classified)

Marshall

County Location: Source Location Status:

Attainment for all criteria pollutants
Part 70 Operating Permit Program

Source Status:

Major Source, under PSD Rules

Major Source, Section 112 of the Clean Air Act

Not 1 of 28 Source Categories

A.2 Source Definition

This operation of a stationary fiberglass products manufacturing and painting company consists of three (3) plants:

- (a) Plant 1 is located at 904 Markley Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563,
- (b) Plant 2 is located at 1400 Pidco Drive, Plymouth, Indiana 46563, and
- (c) Plant 3 is located at 2900 Gary Drive, Plymouth, Indiana 46563.

These three plants are located on adjacent properties, have the same SIC codes and are under common control, therefore they are considered one (1) source, as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(22).

Plant 1 and Plant 2 were determined to be one source under Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal No. T099-29072-00023, issued on January 14, 2011.

Plant 3 was determined to be one source with Plant 1 and Plant 2 under Significant Source Modification No. 099-44197-00023 and Significant Permit Modification No. 099-44321-00023.

A.3 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)(3)][326 IAC 2-7-5(14)]

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

Plant 1

(a) One (1) chopper area, identified as CA, equipped with the following, each FIT applicator has a maximum capacity of 588 pounds of resin per hour, no control and exhausting to stacks #1 and #2:

Permit Reviewer: Nicholas Walters

DRAFT

- (1) One (1) FIT applicator for filled and neat resin, installed in 1976, and modified in 2014, which is used to manufacture filled and neat resin parts.
- (2) One (1) FIT applicator for filled and neat resin, identified as CANRA1, constructed in 2013, which is used to manufacture filled and neat resin parts.
- (3) One (1) FIT applicator for filled and neat resin, identified as CANRA2, constructed in 2015, which is used to manufacture filled and neat resin parts.

CA is approved in 2018 to apply both filled and neat resin.

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the chopper area, identified as CA, is considered an existing affected source.

- (b) One (1) gel area, identified as GA, with a maximum capacity of twenty-five (25) fiberglass parts per hour, equipped with airless spray guns, using dry filters for particulate control, installed in 1976 and modified in 2014, and exhausting to stacks #3 and #4.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the gel area, identified as GA, is considered an existing affected source.
- (c) One (1) high volume, low pressure (HVLP) tooling gel coat applicator booth, identified as MSG, for mold shop activities, with a maximum capacity of 0.01 fiberglass molds per hour, constructed in 2013, using dry filters for particulate control and exhausting to stack MSGS.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, subpart WWWW), the tooling gel coat applicator, identified as MSG, is considered an existing affected source.
- (d) One (1) FIT non-atomizing tooling resin applicator, identified as MSR, for mold shop activities, with a maximum capacity of 0.01 fiberglass molds per hour, constructed in 2013, no control, and exhausting to stack MSRS.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, subpart WWWW), the tooling resin applicator, identified as MSR, is considered an existing affected source.
- (e) One (1) resin transfer molding applicator, identified as RTM1, constructed in 2014, with a maximum capacity of 8 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the resin transfer molding applicator, identified as RTM1, is considered an existing affected source.
- (f) One (1) HVLP portable gel coat applicator, identified as RTMG1, constructed in 2014, with a maximum capacity of 8 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control, and exhausting to stack SVRTMG1.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the HVLP gel coat applicator, identified as RTMG1, is considered an existing affected source.

Permit Reviewer: Nicholas Walters

DRAFT

- (g) One (1) grinding area, identified as GR, with a maximum capacity of twenty five (25) fiberglass parts per hour, using an internal return-air recirculating dust collection system for particulate control, installed in 1976, and modified in 2014 and 2020 to increase maximum capacity.
- (h) One (1) cut out area, identified as CO, with a maximum capacity of four (4) fiberglass parts per hour, using an internal dust collection system for particulate control, installed in 1976, and modified in 2014 exhausting to stack SVCO1.
 - Four (4) bulk resin tanks, identified as RT1, RT2, RT3, and RT4 constructed in 2014, each with a maximum capacity of 2,200 gallons, exhausting inside the building.
- (i) Three (3) bulk resin tanks, identified as RT1, RT2, and RT3, constructed in 2014, each with a maximum capacity of 2,000 gallons, exhausting inside the building.

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the bulk resin tanks, identified as RT1, RT2, RT3 and RT4, are considered existing affected source.

The four (4) bulk resin tanks (RT1, RT2, RT3, and RT4) were moved from Plant 2 to Plant 1 in 2020.

Three (3) mix tanks, identified as MT1 MT2, and MT3 constructed in 2014, each with a maximum capacity of 800 gallons and each with a maximum throughput of 5,314 tons of filler and powder per year, utilizing no control, and exhausting inside the building.

(j) One (1) mix tank, identified as MT1, and one (1) day tank, identified as DT1, constructed in 2014, each with a maximum capacity of 800 gallons and each with a maximum throughput of 5,314 tons of filler and powder per year, utilizing no control, and exhausting inside the building.

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the mix tanks, identified as MT1 MT2, and MT3, are considered existing affected source.

The three (3) mix tanks (MT1, MT2, and MT3) were moved from Plant 2 to Plant 1 in 2020.

- (k) One (1) assembly adhesive application operation, identified as AO-A, approved in 2021 for construction, with a maximum adhesive usage of 45 gallons/day, applied by hand, using acetone for clean-up, uncontrolled, and exhausting indoors.
- (I) Two (2) resin transfer molding applicators, identified as RTM2 and RTM3, constructed in 2014, each with a maximum capacity of 8 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors.

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the resin transfer molding applicators, identified as RTM2 and RTM3, are considered an existing affected source.

- (m) Four (4) HVLP gel coat applicators, exhausting to stacks SVP2-05 and SVP2-06, consisting with the following:
 - (1) Two (2) HVLP gel coat applicators, identified as RTMG2 through RTMG3, constructed in 2014, with a maximum combined capacity of 8 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control.

DRAFT

(2) Two (2) HVLP gel coat applicators, identified as RTMG4 through RTMG5, constructed in 2014, with a maximum combined capacity of 8 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control.

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the HVLP gel coat applicators, identified as RTMG2 through RTMG5, are considered existing affected source.

Plant 2

- (a) Seven (7) FIT gel coat applicators, identified as P2-01 through P2-07, constructed in 2014, with a combined maximum capacity of 30 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control, and exhausting to stack SVP2-01.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the gel coat applicators, identified as P2-01 through P2-07, are considered existing affected source.
- (b) Four (4) non-atomizing resin applicators, identified as P2-08 through P2-11, constructed in 2014, with a combined maximum capacity of 30 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control, and exhausting to stacks SVP2-02 through SVP2-04.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the non-atomizing resin applicators, identified as P2-08 through P2-11, are considered existing affected source.
- (c) One (1) flow-coat applicator for filled and neat resin, identified as AL2, constructed in 2017, with a maximum capacity of 400 pounds of resin per hour, utilizing no control, and exhausting to stack ALSV2.
 - AL2 is approved in 2018 to relocate from Plant 1 to Plant 2 and to apply both filled and neat resin.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the above unit is considered an existing affected source.
- (d) One (1) HVLP barrier coat resin applicator, identified as P2-12, constructed in 2014, with a maximum capacity of 10 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control, and exhausting to stack SVP2-01.
 - Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the HVLP barrier coat resin applicator, identified as P2-12, is considered an existing affected source.
- (e) Specialty bath manufacturing area:
 - (1) One (1) flow-coat applicator for filled and neat resin, identified as AL1. constructed in 2017, with a maximum capacity of 400 pounds of resin per hour, utilizing no control, and exhausting to stack ALSV1.
 - AL1 is approved in 2018 to apply both filled and neat resin.
 - (2)One (1) FIT applicator for gelcoat, identified as AG1, constructed in 2017, with a maximum capacity of 100 pounds of gel coat per hour, utilizing dry filters for particulate control, and exhausting to stack AGSV1.

DRAFT

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the above units are considered an existing affected source.

The specialty bath manufacturing area was moved from Plant 1 to Plant 2 in 2020.

(f) Two (2) day tanks, identified as DT1 and DT2, constructed in 2014, each with a maximum capacity of 500 gallons, exhausting inside the building.

One (1) 800 gallon capacity mix tank, identified as MT2, one (1) 800 gallon capacity Day tank, identified as DT2, and four (4) 2000 gallon capacity resin tanks, identified as RT4-RT7, constructed in 2014, exhausting inside the building.

Under the NESHAP for Reinforced Plastic Composites Production (40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW), the day tanks, identified as DT1 and DT2, are considered existing affected source.

(g) Two (2) FRP parts trimming booths, identified as TB1 and TB2, constructed in 2014, with a combined maximum capacity of 30 fiberglass parts per hour, utilizing internal return-air recirculating dust collection system for particulate control.

The trimming booths are equipped with eight (8) hand grinders, six (6) hand saws, and three (3) stationary saws.

- (h) Twenty-six (26) Natural gas combustion units, including the following:
 - (1) One (1) air make-up unit, identified as AM1, with a maximum heat input capacity of 5.04 MMBtu/hr, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors;
 - (2) One (1) air make-up unit, identified as AM2, with a maximum heat input capacity of 2.31 MMBtu/hr, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors;
 - One (1) radiant heater, identified as H1, with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.24 MMBtu/hr, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors;
 - (4) One (1) radiant heater, identified as H2, with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.125 MMBtu/hr, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors;
 - (5) Seventeen (17) radiant heaters, identified as H3 through H19, each with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.01 MMBtu/hr, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors;
 - (6) Five (5) forced-air heaters, identified as H20 through H25, each with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.06 MMBtu/hr, utilizing no control, and exhausting indoors.

Plant 3

(a) One (1) robotic gelcoat application station, identified as P3-GB1, constructed in 2021, consisting of two (2) robotic applicators, with a maximum bottleneck throughput of 30 units/hr, using dry filters, identified as P3-GB1DF, as particulate control, and exhausting through Stack SVP3-GB1.

This station is approved in 2023 for modification to add a second robotic applicator.

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations PTE Summary

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC
Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563
Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023
Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC
Date: 7/1/2024

			Unlimited Po	tential to Emit (tons/	/r)					
Emission Unit(s)	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO ₂	NOx	Voc	co	Total HAPs	Worst Cas	e Single HAP
Plant 1										
Chopper area (CA)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	99.32	0.00	45.68	45.68	Styrene
Gel area (GA)	5.84	5,84	5.84	0.00	0.00	13.05	0.00	13.11	13.05	Styrene
Mold Shop: HVLP tooling gel coat applicator booth (MSG)	2.71	2.71	2.71	0.00	0.00	2.32	0.00	2.32	2.10	Styrene
Mold Shop: FIT non-atomizing tooling resin applicator (MSR)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.55	0.00	5.54	5.54	Styrene
Tanks (RT1 through RT3, MT1 & DT1)	4.04	4.04	4.04	0.00	0.00	8.73	0.00	8.73	8.73	Styrene
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM1)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.45	0.00	15.45	15.45	Styrene
RTM1 HVLP Gelcoat applicator (RTMG1)	6.35	6.35	6.35	0.00	0.00	33.66	0.00	33.66	33.66	Styrene
Flow-coat applicators (AL1-AL2)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.19	0.00	62.19	62,19	Styrene
F/T application (AG1)	15,58	15.58	15.58	0.00	0.00	34.80	0.00	34.80	34.80	Styrene
Grinding area (GR), and cut out area (CO)	164.25	164.25	164.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Assembly Adhesive Application Operation (AO-	-	-	1011.20	0.00		3.43	-	3.43	3.43	ММА
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM2)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.45	0.00	15.45	15.45	Styrene
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM3)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.45	0.00	15.45	15.45	Styrene
RTM2 HVLP Gelcoat applicators (RTMG2, RTMG3)	6.35	6.35	6.35	0.00	0.00	33.66	0.00	33.66	33.66	Styrene
RTM3 HVLP Gelcoat applicators (RTMG4, RTMG5)	6.35	6.35	6.35	0.00	0.00	33.66	0.00	33,66	33.66	Styrene
Plant 2					 				+	
FIT Gelcoat area (P2-01 through P2-07)	51.43	51.43	51,43	0.00	0.00	114.84	0.00	115.36	114.84	Styrene
Lamination area, non-atomizing restri applicators (P2-08 through P2-11)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	267.40	0.00	267,40	267.40	Styrene
HVLP barrier coat resin applicator (P2-12)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.09	Charana
Tanks (RT4 through RT7, MT2 & DT2)	4.04	4.04	4.04	0.00	0.00	8.80	0.00	8.80	8.80	Styrene
	2.36	2.36	2.36	0.00	0.00	0.00				Styrene
Trimming Booth 1 (TB1)	2.36	2,36	2.36	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	- -
Trimming Booth 2 (TB2)	0.07		0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 2.95	0.00	0.00	
Natural Gas Combustion	0.07	0.27	0.27	0.02	3,51	0.19	2.95	0,07	0.06	Hexane
Plant 3					Ļ -			101.00	100.00	
Robotic Gelcoat Application Station (P3-GB1)	55.19	55.19	55.19	 -		133,52		134.08_	133.52	Styrene
Non-Atomized Resin Application/Open Molding Lamination Stations (P3-L1 through P3-L4)						247.45		247.45	247.45	Styrene
Barrier Coat Area (P3-BC)			·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0,07		0.07	0.07	Styrene
Polyester Resin Storage Tanks (P3-RT1 through i P3-RT3) and Day Resin Storage Tank (P3-DT1)	-	-	-	-	-	0.22	-	0.22	0.22	Styrene
Mixing Tanks (P3-MT1 and P3-MT2)	5.49	5.49	5.49	+	 	11.56		11,56	11.56	Styrene
Grinding Station (P3-GR1)	2.36	2.36	2,36	 	 	11.00		11.00	1130	- Styrene
Natural Gas Combustion (P3-AM, P3-RH1				 	 	 - 		+	 	
through P3-RH7, P3-TC1, and P3-OH1)	0.03	0.13	0.13	0.01	1.68	0.09	1.41	0.03	0.03	Hexane
Total Excluding Fugitives	334.81	335.11	335.11	0.03	5.19	1160.99	4.36	1108.27	1103.39	Styrene
Plant 1 & Plant 2 Fugitives: Paved roads	negi.	negl.	negl,	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	atyrene
P3 Paved Roads	0.15	0.03	0.01			0.00	0.00	0,00	1 0.00	
P3 Paved Roads Source-wide Total						1 100 00	-100	4 400 455	7 400 75	
annice-wine Lorai	334.97	335.14	335.12	0.03	5.19_	1,160.99	4.36	1,108,27	1,103,39	Styrene

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations PTE Summary

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC
Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563
Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42118-00023
Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC
Date: 7/1/2024

			Limited Po	tential to Emit (tons/yr)						
Emission Unit(s)	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO ₂	NOx	VOC	CO	Total HAPs	Worst Case	Single HAF
Plant 1										
Grinding area (GR), and cut out area (CO)	122.64	122,64	122.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ī-
Chopper area (CA)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	45.68	45,68	Styrene
Gel area (GA)	5.84	5.84	5,84	0.00	00.0	100	0.00	13,11	13.05	Styrene
Mold Shop: HVLP tooling gel coat applicator booth (MSG)	2.71	2.71	2.71	0.00	0.00		0.00	2.32	2.10	Styrene
Mold Shop: FIT non-atomizing tooling resin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	5.54	5,54	Styrene
Tanks (RT1 through RT3, MT1 & DT1)	4.04	4.04	4.04	0.00	0.00		0.00	8.73	8.73	Styrene
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM1)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	15.45	15,45	Styrene
HVLP Gelcoat applicator (RTMG1)	6.35	6.35	6,35	0.00	0.00		0.00	33.66	33.66	Styrene
Flow-coat applicators (AL1-AL2)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	62.19	62.19	Styrene
FIT application (AG1)	15.58	15.58	15,58	0.00	0.00		0.00	34.80	34.80	Styrene
Assembly Adhesive Application Operation (AO-	-	-				2/9.08	-	3.43	3.43	MMA
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM2)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	15.45	15,45	Styrene
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM3)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	15.45	15.45	Styrene
HVLP Gelcoat applicators (RTMG2, RTMG3)	6.35	6.35	6.35	0.00	0.00		0.00	33.66	33.66	Styrene
HVLP Gelcoat applicators (RTMG4, RTMG5)	6.35	6.35	6,35	0.00	0.00	- 33 4 3 S	0.00	33.66	33.66	Styrene
Plant 2	0.00	0.50	0,00		0.00		0.00	55.00	33.00	Jayrene _
FIT Gelcoat area (P2-01 through P2-07)	51.43	51.43	51.43	0.00	0.00		0.00	115.36	114.84	Styrene
Lamination area, non-atomizing resin applicators			· · · · · ·	1						
(P2-08 through P2-11)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	267.40	267.40	Styrene
HVLP barrier coat resin applicator (P2-12)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.09	0.09	Styrene
Tanks (RT4 through RT7, MT2 & DT2)	4.04	4.04	4.04	0.00	0.00		0.00	8.80	8.80	Styrene
Trimming Booth 1 (TB1)	2.36	2.36	2.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	- Other
Trimming Booth 2 (TB2)	2.36	2.36	2.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	+
Natural Gas Combustion	0.07	0.27	0.27	0.00	3,51	0.00	2.95	0.07	0.06	Hexane
Plant 3	0.01	0.27		0.02	3,01	0.18	2.90	0.07	0.06	riexane
				+				+	+=	
Robotic Gelcoat Application Station (P3-GB1)	55.19	55.19	55.19					134.08	133.52	Styrene
Non-Atomized Resin Application/Open Molding _emination Stations (P3-L1 through P3-L4)	-	-	-	-				247.45	247.45	Styrene
Barrier Coat Area (P3-BC)						Z. j		0.07	0.07	Styrene
Polyester Resin Storage Tanks (P3-RT1 through P3-RT3) and Day Resin Storage Tank (P3-DT1)	-	-	-	-				0.22	0.22	Styrene
Mixing Tanks (P3-MT1 and P3-MT2)	5.49	5.49	5.49	+				11.56	11.56	Styrene
Grinding Station (P3-GR1)	2.36	2.36	2.36			CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE		11.00	11,00	- CONTONIO
Natural Gas Combustion (P3-AM, P3-RH1 through P3-RH7, P3-TC1, P3-OH1)	0.03	0.13	0.13	0.01	1.68	0.09	1.41	0.03	0.03	Hexane
Total Excluding Fugitives	293.20	293.50	293,50	0.03	5.19	498.29	4.36	1108.27	1103.39	Styrene
Plant 1 and Plant 2 Fugitives; Paved roads	negi.	negl.	negl	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Styliene
P3 Paved Roads	0,15	0.03	0.01	<u> </u>	0.00	- 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Source-wide Total	293,36	293.53	293.51	0.03	5.19	498.29	4.36	>25	>10	100
OUTUP HIGS VIOL	293.30	Z33.33	293.31	0.03	3.19	490.29	4.30	225	210	Styrene

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Robotic Gelcoat Applicator (P3-GB1) with Two Applicators

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material (gel пате)	Weight % Styrene		Maximum throughput (units/hr)	1 1	UEF (lbs styrene/ton gel)	PTE of styrene (tons/yr)	PTE of VOC (tons/yr)	Transfer efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)		Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	Weight % Cobalt compounds	PTE of Cobalt compounds (tons/yr)
P3 Robotic Gelcoat Area		White gelcoat*	30.0%	12.0	30.0	3,153,600	169.36	133.52	133.52	95%	55.19	90%	5.52	1%	0.55

Notes:

*Maximum monimer content for this material

Calculations for the gelcoat area is based on maximum gelcoat usage, maximum unit throughput, and worst-case gelcoat for this process.

Unified emission factors (UEF) are from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 13, 2009).

Available at: http://www.in.gov/idem/ctap/files/plastics_unified_emission_factors.pdf

Assume PM = PM₁₀ = PM_{2.5}.

Application method for gelcoat is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

Methodology

Maximum throughput (lb/yr) = Maximum usage (lb/unit) * Maximum throughput (units/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr

PTE of styrene (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * 1 ton gel /2000 lbs gel * UEF (lbs styrene/ton gel) * 1 ton styrene/2000 lbs styrene

PTE of VOC (tons/yr) = PTE of styrene (tons/yr)

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * (1- {Weight % Styrene)) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

PTE of Cobalt compounds = Weight % Cobalt Compounds * Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Reinforced Plastics and Composites Open Molding Operations Chopper Area

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission Unit ID	Material (Resin or Gel	Density	Weight %	Gal of Mat.	Maximum	UEF (lbs	Potential VOC	Potential VOC	Potential HAP as	Application	Transfer	Potential PM (tons/ year)
Chopper Area	Name)	(Lb/Gal)	Monomer	(gal/unit)	usage	monomer/ton resin	(pounds per day)	(tons per year)	Styrene (tons per	Method	Efficiency	1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		(unit/hour)	or gel)	L <u></u> -		year)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
(Filled Resin)	Resin (COR54-AA-115) -											
	Styrene (HAP)	9.18	33.0%	8.00	4.00	71.00	250.28	45.68	45.68	Flow Coater	100%	0.00
	COR61-AA-267S - Styrene	ĺ	1 1						[FIT Mechanical		
Nont Deals	(HAP)	9.18_	33.00%	8.00	4.00	71.00	250.28	45.68	45.68	Non-Atomized	100%_	0.00
Neat Resin)	1	1 1		ì	1)		'		Ì	1
Applicator (CANRA1)			1 1		ļ				1			
(CANKAT)	COR61-AA-267S - Alpha	ļ	ļ ļ		(Į Į					ļ
	Methyl Styrene (Non-HAP)	9.18	2,20%	8.00	4.00	5.00	17.63	3.22	0.00		100%	0.00
					otal PTE VO	C/HAP and PM from		48.89	45.68		L	0.00
						**Worst Case F	Potential to Emit	48.89	45.68			0.00
lew applicator C	ANRA2					**Worst Case F	Potential to Emit	48.89	45.68			0.00
	ANRA2 Material (Resin or Gel	Density	Weight %	Gal of Mat.	Maximum	**Worst Case F	Potential to Emit	48.89 Potential VOC	45.68 Potential HAP as	Application	Transfer	
		Density (Lb/Gal)	Weight %	Gal of Mat. (gal/unit)	Maximum usage		Potential VOC	Potential VOC		Application Method	Transfer Efficiency	
Emission Unit ID	Material (Resin or Gel Name)					UEF (!bs	Potential VOC	Potential VOC	Potential HAP as	Method		0.00 Potential PM (tons/ year)
Emission Unit ID	Material (Resin or Gel				usage	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin	Potential VOC	Potential VOC	Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per			
Emission Unit ID Chopper Area	Material (Resin or Gel Name)				usage	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin	Potential VOC	Potential VOC	Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per	Method		
Emission Unit ID Chopper Area Neat Resin	Material (Resin or Gel Name) COR61-AA-267S - Styrene	(Lb/Gal)	Monomer	(gal/unit)	usage (unit/hour)	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin or gel)	Potential VOC (pounds per day)	Potential VOC (tons per year)	Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per year)	Method FIT Mechanical	Efficiency	Potential PM (tons/ year)
Neat Resin Applicator	Material (Resin or Gel Name) COR61-AA-267S - Styrene	(Lb/Gal)	Monomer	(gal/unit)	usage (unit/hour)	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin or gel)	Potential VOC (pounds per day)	Potential VOC (tons per year)	Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per year)	Method FIT Mechanical	Efficiency	Potential PM (tons/ year)
Emission Unit ID Chopper Area Neat Resin	Material (Resin or Gel Name) COR61-AA-2675 - Styrene (HAP)	(Lb/Gal)	Monomer	(gal/unit)	usage (unit/hour)	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin or gel)	Potential VOC (pounds per day)	Potential VOC (tons per year)	Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per year)	Method FIT Mechanical	Efficiency	Potential PM (tons/ year)
Emission Unit ID Chopper Area Neat Resin Applicator	Material (Resin or Gel Name) COR61-AA-267S - Styrene	(Lb/Gal)	Monomer	(gal/unit)	usage (unit/hour)	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin or gel)	Potential VOC (pounds per day)	Potential VOC (tons per year)	Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per year)	Method FIT Mechanical	Efficiency	Potential PM (tons/ year)

Control Efficiency*

0.00%

97,79

0.00%

0.00

0.00

*Existing Permitted Source Controls and Rated Efficiency

Acetone as cleanup solvent

METHODOLOGY

Emission factors based on the type of application from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 2009) to calculate resin emissions.

***Worst Case Potential to Emit Before Control after Modification of CA area:

Potential VOC (lb/day) for resin = Density (lb material/gal material/ Gal. of material (gal material/unit) * Maximum usage (unit/hr) * UEF (lb styrene/ton material) * 24 hrs/day * 1 ton material/2000 lbs material

Potential VOC (ton/year) = Potential VOC (lb/day) * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential PM (tor/year) = Density * (1 - Weight % monomer or VOC) * Gal. of Material * Maximum Usage * (1 - transfer efficiency) * 24 hrs/day * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential HAP (ton/year) = Potential VOC (ton/year) as Styrene Only

^{**}Worst Case Potential to Emit Before Controlfor Chopper Area before Modification.

^{***}Worst Case Potential to Emit Before Controlfor Chopper Area after Modification.

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations VQC Emissions From Miscellaneous Solvent Use - Chopper Area

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Material	Density (Lb/Gal)	& Organics)	Exempt	Organics	Volume % Water & Exempt	Volume % Non- Volatiles (solids)	Gal of Mat. (gal/unit)	Maximum (unit/hour)	Pounds VOC per gallon of solvent less water			Potential VOC pounds per day	Potential VOC tons per year
Chopper Area	Cadox D-30 MEKP - Catalyst ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾		3,06K		1.0%	90%	CLOUS-	1285	P.10	8.34	0.08	0.35	8.41	1.53
Solvent Cleanup	Acetone	131 61614 Y G	£100.00%	\$46100T0%	0.0%	C-000%	34.76.20.00.25.15.15.	20 mily	2-00m 200 mm/s	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00

Total PTE VOC/HAP & PM from Chopper Area Miscellaneous Use 0.35 8.41 Control Efficiency* 0.00 0.00 Total PTE VOC/HAP and PM from Chopper Area Miscellaneous Use

0.35

8.41

1.53

0.00

1.53

METHODOLOGY

Above information provided by source during this modification and renewal process.

Pounds of VCC per Gallon Coating less Water = (Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics) / (1-Volume % water)

Pounds of VCC per Gallon Coating = (Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics)

Potential VOC Pounds per Hour = Pounds of VOC per Gallon coating (lb/gal) * Gal of Material (gal/unit) * Maximum (units/nr)

Potential VOC Pounds per Day = Pounds of VOC per Gallon coaling (lb/gal) * Gall of Material (gal/unit) * Maximum (units/hr) * (24 hr/dsy)

Potential VCC Tons per Year = Pounds of VOC per Gallon coating (lb/gal) * Gal of Material (gal/unit) * Maximum (arits/hr) * (8760 hr/yr) * (1 ton/2000 lbs)

Materials do not contain Hazardous Air Pollutants

⁽¹⁾ MEKP catalyst solution Cadox D-30; 2,2,4-Trimethy-1,3-pentanediol dissolutancete, 79% max, MEK, 2% max, water, 2% max

⁽²⁾MEKP Catalyst used in both Filled and Neat resin processes.

⁽³⁾MEKP Catalyst does not contain solids. Cleaning solvent hand applied.

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Open Molding Gelcoat (GA)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material (resin or gel name)	Weight % Styrene	Weight % MMA	Maximum usage (lb/unit)			UEF (lbs styrene/ton resin or gel)	UEF (lbs MMA/ton resin or gel)	PTE of styrene (tons/yr)		PTE of VOC (tons/yr)	Transfer efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	PM	Weight % Cobalt compounds	compounds
GA Gelcoat area	GA	White gelcoat*	28.8%	-	1.5	25.0	328,500	158.91	-	13.05	-	13.05	95%	5.84	90%	0.58	1%	0.06

Notes:

*Source provided MSDS sheet for this material

Calculations for the gelcoat and lamination areas are based on maximum gelcoat and resin usages, maximum unit throughput, and worst-case gelcoat and resin for this process.

These maximum usages and throughputs represent the throughputs when the gelcoat and resin processes are operating at maximum line speeds and do not represent actual rates.

Unified emission factors (UEF) are from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 13, 2009). Available at: http://www.in.gov/idem/ctap/files/plastics_unified_emission_factors.pdf

MMA is methyl methacrylate

Assume all non-MMA VOC is styrene and PM = PM₁₀ = PM_{2.5}.

Application method for all resins and gelcoats is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

¹UEFs are not provided for MMA in resins. Therefore, MMA UEF for gelcoats is assumed to be zero

Although the resin areas (lamination, barrier coat, and tooling booth) are equipped with dry filters, the transfer efficiency is 100% so there are no particulate emissions to control from these processes

Methodology

Maximum throughput (lb/yr) = Maximum usage (lb/unit) * Maximum throughput (units/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr

PTE of styrene or MMA (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * 1 ton resin or gel /2000 lbs resin or gel * UEF (lbs styrene or MMA/ton resin or gel) * 1 ton styrene or MMA/2000 lbs styrene or MMA/20 PTE of VOC (tons/yr) = PTE of styrene (tons/yr) + PTE of MMA (tons/yr)

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * (1- (Weight % Styrene +Weight % MMA)) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

PTE of Cobalt compounds = Weight % Cobalt Compounds * Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Reinforced Plastics and Composites Open Molding Operations

Mold Shop

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563 Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission Unit	Material (Resin or Gel	Density	Weight %	Gal of Mat.	Maximum	UEF (lbs	Potential VOC	Potential VOC		Potential HAP as	Potential Total	Application	Transfer	Potential PM (tons/ year)
ID :	Name)	(Lb/Gal)	Monomer	(gal/unit)	usage	monomer/ton	(pounds per day)	(tons per year)	Styrene (tons per	MMA (tons per	HAP (tons per	Method	Efficiency	
		1	<u> </u>		(unit/hour)	resin or gel)	<u> </u>		year)	year)	year)			
Mold Shop Gel														_
Coat Applicator	Tooling Gel Coat - Styrene											HVLP Atomized	f	
(MSG)	(HAP)	9.54	38.97%	24.00	0.01	418.00	11.48	2.10	<u>2.</u> 10	0.00	2.10	Applicator	75%	1.45
Mold Shop Gel		-						-						
Coat Applicator	Tooling Gel Coat - MMA]]]]]]]		HVLP Atomized]	
(MSG)	(HAP)	9.54	3.00%	24.00	0.01	45.00	1.24	0.23	0.00	0.23	0.23	Applicator	75%	1.26
Mold Shop							1							***************************************
Resin														
Applicator	Aropol 7241T-15 - Styrene						ļ					FIT Mechanical		
(MSR)	(HAP)	9.17	46.87%	240.00	0.01	115.00	30.37	5.54	5.54	0.00	5.54	Non-Atomized	100%	0.00
		Total P	TE VOC/HA	P and PM fror	n Tooling Gel	Coat and Resin	Use Before Control	7.87	7.64	0.23	7.87			2.71
							Control Efficiency'	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			95.00%
		Total	PTE VOCA	IAD and PM fo	om Tooling G	at Cost and Back	Ilse After Control	7 8 7	7 64	0.23	7.87			0.14

METHODOLOGY

*Existing Permitted Source Controls and Rated Efficiency

Acetone as cleanup solvent

Emission factors based on the type of application from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 2009) to calculate gel coat and resin emissions. Emission ractors based on the type of application from formed emission ractors for open motining of composities, Composities, Composities Patriciators Associator (October 2009) to calculate general resin emission.

Potential VOC (Ib/day) for gel coat and resin = Density (Ib material) * Gal. of material (gal material) * Maximum usage (unit/hr) * UEF (Ib HAP emitted/fron material) * 24 hrs/day * 1 ton material/2000 lbs material

Potential VOC (ton/year) = Potential VOC (Ib/day) * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential PM (ton/year) = Density * (1 - Weight % monomer or VOC) * Gal. of Material * Maximum Usage * (1 - transfer efficiency) * 24 hrs/day * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential HAP (ton/year) = Potential VOC (ton/year) as Styrene and MMA

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations VOC Emissions

From Miscellaneous Solvent Use - Mold Shop

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Material	Density (Lb/Gal)	& Organics)	Weight % Water	Weight % Organics	Volume % Water	Volume % Non- Volatiles (solids)	Gal of Mat. (gal/unit)	Maximum (unit/hour)	Pounds VOC per gallon of solvent less water	Pounds VOC per gallon of solvent	Potential VOC pounds per hour	Potential VOC pounds per day	Potential VOC tons : per year
Mold Shop Gel Coat Applicator (MSG)	Cadox D-30 MEKP - Catalyst ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		8300%		81.0%	200a	- 41,00 E/A	- 0.00/1	106	6.89	5.76	0.00027	0.0065	0.00118
Mold Shop Resin Applicator (MSR)	Cadox D-30 MEKP - Catalyst ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	1000	33 00 %	2 717	81.0%	2034	0.038	0.86	i iline	6.89	6.76	0.0027	0.065	0.0118
Solvent Cleanup	Acetone		200 DO 160 165	並約0000%	0.0%	\$30000 B	A SECOND OF THE	100 0 50 0 50 0 50 0 50 0 50 0 50 0 50	ACCION NAMED IN	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Total PTE VOC/HAP and PM from Mold Shop Miscellaneous Use Before Control 0.0030 0.071 0.0130 0.00 0.00 0.00 Total PTE VOC/HAP and PM from Mold Shop Miscellaneous Use After Control 0.0030 0.071 0.0130

METHODOLOGY

⁽¹⁾ MEKP catalyst solution Cadox D-30: 2,2,4-Trimethyl-1,3-pentanediol dilsobutanoate, 79% max, MEK, 2% max, water, 2% max

[2] MEKP Catalyst does not contain solids. Cleaning solvent hand applied.

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating less Water = (Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics) / (1-Volume % water)

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating = (Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics)

Potential VCC Pounds per Hour = Pounds of VCC per Gallon coating (lb/gal) * Gal of Material (gal/unit) * Maximum (units/hr)

Potential VOC Pounds per Day = Pounds of VOC per Gallon coating (lb/gal) * Gat of Material (gal/unit) * Maximum (units/hr) * (24 hr/day)

Potential VCC Tons per Year = Pounds of VOC per Gallon coating (lb(gal) * Gal of Material (gal/unit) * Maximum (units/hr) * (8760 hr/yr) * (1 ton/2000 lbs)

Materials do not contain Hazardous Air Pollutants

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Resin Transfer Molding (Closed Molding) (RTM1)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission Unit ID	Material	Weight % styrene	Max coating usage (lb/unit)	Max production rate (unit/hr)	Max usage (lb/hr)	Styrene emission factor (% emitted) ¹	PTE of styrene (lb/hr)	PTE of styrene (lb/day)	PTE of styrene (ton/yr)
RTM 1	Altek RTM Polyester Resin	42.00%	35.0	8.00	280	3%	3.53	84.67	15.45

Notes:

These calculations are derived from the Title V Source Modification application received by IDEM on July 1, 2013

Styrene is the only VOC/HAP in the resin. Therefore, PTE of styrene = PTE of total HAPs = PTE of VOC

Particulate emissions are only emitted from spray-type operations. Therefore transfer efficiency and PTE of PM are not applicable for closed resin molding.

Methodology:

Max usage (lb/hr) = Max coating usage (lb/unit) * Max production rate (unit/hr)

PTE of styrene (lb/hr) = Weight % styrene * Max usage (lb/hr) * Styrene emission factor (% emitted)

PTE of styrene (lb/day) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 24 hrs/day

PTE of styrene (ton/yr) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 8700 hrs/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

¹ Styrene emission factor (% emitted) is the % of styrene (by weight) emitted from closed molding, from AP-42 Section 4.4, Table 4.4-2

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Resin transfer molding - Gelcoat applicators (RTMG 1)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Styrene	Max coating usage (gal/unit)	Max production rate (unit/hr)	Max usage (ton/hr)	NESHAP emission limit (lb/ton) ¹				Transfer efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)		Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)
RTM 1 - Gel Coat applicators	RTMG 1	Gelcoat	7.84	28.84%	0.65	8	0.020	377	7.68	184.43	33.66	95%	6.35	95%	0.318

Notes:

These calculations are derived from the Title V Source Modification application received by IDEM on July 1, 2013

Styrene is the only VOC/HAP in the gelcoat. Therefore, PTE of styrene = PTE of total HAPs = PTE of VOC

Application method for gelcoats is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

Assume PM=PM₁₀=PM_{2.5}

Methodology:

Max usage (ton/hr) = Density (lb/gal) * Max coating usage (lb/unit) * Max production rate (unit/hr) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

PTE of styrene (lb/hr) = Max usage (ton/hr) * NESHAP emission limit (lb/ton)

PTE of styrene (lb/day) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 24 hrs/day

PTE of styrene (ton/yr) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 8700 hrs/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/hr) * (1- Weight % Styrene) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 8760 hr/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

¹ Rather than use the Unified Emission Factors (UEF) for these units, the source has selected to use the NESHAP emission limit as the styrene emission factor, as worst-case emissions. NESHAP emission limit is from 40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW Table 3, for open molding - other pigmented gelcoat.

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Reinforced Plastics and Composites Open Molding Operations Acrylic Chopper Area

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563 Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission Unit ID Material (Resin or Gel Density Weight % Gal of Mat. Maximum UEF (lbs Potential VOC Potential VOC Potential HAP as Application Transfer Potential PM (tons/ year) Chopper Area Name) (Lb/Gal) Monomer (gal/unit) usage monomer/ton resin (pounds per day) (tons per year) Styrene (tons per Method Efficiency (unit/hour) or gel) year) AL1 (Filled Resin) Resin XV-3347) - Styrene (HAP) 9.18 33.0% 8.71 10.00 71.00 340.78 62.19 62.19 Flow Coater 100% 0.00 Total PTE VOC/HAP and PM from Resin Use 62.19 62.19 0.00 **Worst Case Potential to Emit 62.19 62.19 0.00

Above information provided by source.

METHODOLOGY

Emission factors based on the type of application from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 2009) to calculate resin emissions.

Potential VOC (lb/day) for resin = Density (lb material /gal material) * Gal. of material (gal material/unit) * Maximum usage (unit/hr) * UEF (lb styrene/ton material) * 24 hrs/day * 1 ton material/2000 lbs material VOC (ton/year) = Potential VOC (lb/day) * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential PM (ton/year) = Density * (1 - Weight % monomer or VOC) * Gal. of Material * Maximum Usage * (1 - transfer efficiency) * 24 hrs/day * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential HAP (ton/year) = Potential VOC (ton/year) as Styrene Only

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Reinforced Plastics and Composites Open Molding Operations Acrylic Chopper Area

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission Unit ID Chopper Area	Name)		Weight % Monomer	Gal of Mat. (gal/unit)	Maximum usage (unit/hour)	UEF (lbs monomer/ton resin or gel)	Potential VOC (pounds per day)		Potential HAP as Styrene (tons per year)	Application Method	Transfer Efficiency	Potential PM (tons/ year)
AL2 (Filled Resin)	Resin XV-3347) - Styrene								1			
	(HAP)	9.18	33.0%	8.71	10.00	71.00	340.78	62.19	62.19	Flow Coater	100%	0.00
					Total PT	E VOC/HAP and PM	from Resin Use	62.19	62.19			0.00
						**Worst Case I	Potential to Emit	62.19	62.19			0.00

Above information provided by source.

METHODOLOGY

Emission factors based on the type of application from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 2009) to calculate resin emissions.

Potential VOC (lb/day) for resin = Density (lb material /gal material) * Gal. of material (gal material/unit) * Maximum usage (unit/hr) * UEF (lb styrene/ton material) * 24 hrs/day * 1 ton material/2000 lbs material VOC (ton/year) = Potential VOC (lb/day) * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb)

Potential PM (ton/year) = Density * (1 - Weight % monomer or VOC) * Gal. of Material * Maximum Usage * (1 - transfer efficiency) * 24 hrs/day * 365 days/year * (1 ton/2000 lb) Potential HAP (ton/year) = Potential VOC (ton/year) as Styrene Only

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Acrylic Gelcoat Area (AG1)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material (resin or gel name)	Weight % Styrene	Weight % MMA	Maximum usage (lb/unit)	Maximum throughput (units/hr)	Maximum throughput (lb/yr)	UEF (lbs styrene/ton resin or gel)	UEF (lbs MMA/ton resin or gel)	PTE of styrene (tons/yr)	PTE of MMA (tons/yr)	PTE of VOC (tons/yr)	Transfer efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	Weight % Cobalt compounds	PTE of Cobalt compounds (tons/yr)
Acrylic Gelcoat	AG1	White gelcoat*	28.8%		10.0	10.0	876,000	158.91	·	34.80	-	34.80	95%	15.58	90%	1.56	1%	0.16

Notes:

Above information provided by source.

These maximum usages and throughputs represent the throughputs when the gelcoat and resin processes are operating at maximum line speeds and do not represent actual rates.

Unified emission factors (UEF) are from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 13, 2009).

Available at: http://www.in.gov/idem/ctap/files/plastics_unified_emission_factors.pdf

MMA is methyl methacrylate

Assume all non-MMA VOC is styrene and PM = PM₁₀ = PM_{2.5}.

Application method for all resins and gelcoats is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

¹UEFs are not provided for MMA in resins. Therefore, MMA UEF for gelcoats is assumed to be zero

Methodology

Maximum throughput (lb/yr) = Maximum usage (lb/unit) * Maximum throughput (units/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr

PTE of styrene or MMA (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * 1 ton resin or gel /2000 lbs resin or gel * UEF (lbs styrene or MMA/ton resin or gel) * 1 ton styrene or MMA/2000 lbs styrene or MMA

PTE of VOC (tons/yr) = PTE of styrene (tons/yr) + PTE of MMA (tons/yr)

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * (1- (Weight % Styrene +Weight % MMA)) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

PTE of Cobalt compounds = Weight % Cobalt Compounds * Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Particulate Emissions

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Unit Number	Equipment Description	Control Equipment	¹ Efficiency of Control Equipment	² PM Controlled (tons/yr)	PM Controlled (lb/hr)	PM Uncontrolled PTE (tons/year)	PM PSD Minor Limit (lb/hr)	PM PSD Minor Limit (ton/yr)
GR	One (1) grinding area	Fabric Filter	99.0%	0.82	0.19	82.12	14.00	61.32
CO	One (1) cut out area	Fabric Filter	99.0%	0.82	0.19	82.12	14.00	61.32
Assume that PM = PN	M10 = PM2.5		Total	1.64		164.25	•	122.64

164.25

122.64

Methodology:

Note 1: Source provided the control efficiency of the fabric filters.

Note 2: Source collected 1.5 lbs of particulate in 1 day and estimated that the most they would ever collect would be 4.5 lbs of particulate from each operation the GR and CO.

Therefore the controlled emissions from each in tons/year = 4.5 lbs/day x 365 day/yr x 1 ton/2,000 lbs Emission Rate in tons/yr (before control) = Emission Rate (after control (tons/yr)) / (1-control efficiency) Control Efficiency needed to 25% comply with PSD Minor limit

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations VOC and Particulate From Surface Coating Operations

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Material	Density (lbs/gal)	Weight % Volatile (water, VOC, and exempt compounds*)	Weight % water and exempt compounds*	Weight % VOC	Volume % water and exempt compounds*	Volume % Solids	Maximum Material Usage (gal/unit)	Maximum Capacity (units/hour)	Maximum Material Usage (gal/day)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating less water and exempt compounds	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating	PTE of VOC (lbs/hour)	PTE of VOC (lbs/day)	PTE of VOC (tons/year)	Uncontrolled PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating solids	Fificiency
SCIGrip SG300-05	8.92	4.68%	0.00%	4.68%	0.00%	94.47%	0.063	30.000	45.000	0.42	0.42	0.78	18.79	3.43	0.00	0.44	100%
Acetone	6.61	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.025	30.000	18.000	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!	0%
								Totals	63.00			0.78	18.79	3.43	0.00		

Control Efficiency =	0.0%
Total Controlled Potential to Emit (PTE) (tons/year) =	0.00

Material	Density (lbs/gal)	Maximum Material Usage (gal/unit)	Maximum Capacity (units/hour)	Weight % MMA	PTE of MMA (tons/year)	PTE of Total HAPs (tons/year)
SCIGrip SG300-05	8.92	0.063	30.000	4.68%	3.43	3.43
Acetone	6.61	0.025	30.000	0.00%	0.00	0.00
				Totals	3.43	3.43

Methodology

*Exempt compounds include all compounds specifically exempted from the definition of volatile organic compounds (VOC) under 40 CFR 51.100(s).

Weight % VOC = [Weight % Volatile (water, VOC, and exempt Compounds*)] - [Weight % water and exempt Compounds]

Maximum Material Usage (gal/day) = [Maximum Material Usage (gal/unit)] * [Maximum Capacity (units/nour)] * [24 hours/day]
Pounds of VOC per gallon coating less water and exempt Compounds = [Density (lbs/gal)] * [Weight % VOC] / [1 - (Volume % water and exempt Compounds)]

Pounds of VOC per gallon coating = [Density (lbs/gal)] * [Weight % VOC]

PTE of VOC (lbs/hour) = [Maximum Material Usage (gal/unit)] * [Maximum Capacity (units/hour)] * [Pounds of VOC per gallon coating]

PTE of VOC ((bs/day) = [PTE of VOC (bs/hour)] * [24 hours/day]
PTE of VOC ((bs/hour)] * [24 hours/day]
PTE of VOC ((bs/hour)] * [27 hours/day]
PTE of VOC ((bs/hour)] * [8760 hours/year] * [1 ton/2000 lbs]
Uncontrolled PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year) = [Density ((bs/gal)] * [Maximum Material Usage (gal/unit)] * [Maximum Capacity (units/hour)] * [1 - Weight % Volatile]] * [1 - Transfer Efficiency]] * [8760 hours/year] * [1 ton/2000 lbs]

Pounds VOC per gallon of coating solids = [Density (lbs/gall)]* [Weight % VOCs] / [Volume % Solids]

Controlled PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year) = [Uncontrolled PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year)] * [1 - Control Efficiency]

PTE of HAP (tons/year) = [Density (ibs/gal)] * [Maximum Material Usage (gal/unit)] * [Maximum Capacity (units/nour)] * [Weight % HAP] * [8760 hours/year]* [1 ton/2000 lbs]

PTE of Total HAPs (tons/year) = SUM (PTE of Each Single HAP (tons/year))

Hazardous air pollutant (HAP) is defined by Section 112(b) of the Clean Air Act.

Transfer Efficiency = 100%, Hand Applied

MMA = Methyl Methacrylate

Weight % Volatile (water, VOC, and exempt compounds) = 50 g/L (from SDS) / Density * 100

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Resin Transfer Molding (Closed Molding) (RTM2, RTM3)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission Unit ID	Material	Weight % styrene	usage	Max production rate (unit/hr)	Max usage (lb/hr)	Styrene emission factor (% emitted) ¹	PTE of styrene (lb/hr)	PTE of styrene (lb/day)	PTE of styrene (ton/yr)
RTM 2	Altek RTM Polyester Resin	42.00%	35.0	8.00	280	3%	3.53	84.67	15.45
RTM 3	Altek RTM Polyester Resin	42.00%	35.0	8.00	280	3%	3.53	84.67	15.45

Notes:

These calculations are derived from the Title V Source Modification application received by IDEM on July 1, 2013

Styrene is the only VOC/HAP in the resin. Therefore, PTE of styrene = PTE of total HAPs = PTE of VOC

Particulate emissions are only emitted from spray-type operations. Therefore transfer efficiency and PTE of PM are not applicable for closed resin molding.

Methodology:

Max usage (lb/hr) = Max coating usage (lb/unit) * Max production rate (unit/hr)

PTE of styrene (lb/hr) = Weight % styrene * Max usage (lb/hr) * Styrene emission factor (% emitted)

PTE of styrene (lb/day) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 24 hrs/day

PTE of styrene (ton/yr) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 8700 hrs/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

¹ Styrene emission factor (% emitted) is the % of styrene (by weight) emitted from closed molding, from AP-42 Section 4.4, Table 4.4-2

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Resin transfer molding - Gelcoat applicators (RTMG 2 through RTMG 5)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Styrene	Max coating usage (gal/unit)	Max production rate (unit/hr)			styrene		styrene	l iranetar	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)
Plant 1															
RTM 2 - Gel Coat applicators	RTMG 2, RTMG 3	(_a)	7.84	28.84%	0.65	8	0.020	377	7.68	184.43	33.66	95%	6.35	95%	0.318
RTM 3 - Gel Coat applicators	RTMG 4, RTMG 5	Gelcoat	7.84	28.84%	0.65	8	0.020	377	7.68	184.43	33.66	95%	6.35	95%	0.318

Notes:

These calculations are derived from the Title V Source Modification application received by IDEM on July 1, 2013

¹ Rather than use the Unified Emission Factors (UEF) for these units, the source has selected to use the NESHAP emission limit as the styrene emission factor, as worst-case emissions. NESHAP emission limit is from 40 CFR 63. Subpart WWWW Table 3. for open molding - other pigmented gelcoat.

Styrene is the only VOC/HAP in the gelcoat. Therefore, PTE of styrene = PTE of total HAPs = PTE of VOC

Application method for gelcoats is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

Assume PM=PM₁₀=PM_{2.5}

Methodology:

Max usage (ton/hr) = Density (lb/gal) * Max coating usage (lb/unit) * Max production rate (unit/hr) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

PTE of styrene (lb/hr) = Max usage (ton/hr) * NESHAP emission limit (lb/ton)

PTE of styrene (lb/day) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 24 hrs/day

PTE of styrene (ton/yr) = PTE of styrene (lb/hr) * 8700 hrs/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/hr) * (1- Weight % Styrene) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 8760 hr/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Open Molding Lamination and Gelcoat (P2-01 to P2-12)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material (resin or gel пате)	Weight % Styrene	Weight % MMA		Maximum throughput (units/hr)		UEF (lbs styrene/ton resin or gel)	UEF (lbs MMA/ton resin or gel)	PTE of styrene (tons/yr)	MMA	PTE of VOC (tons/yr)	Transfer efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	Controlled PTE of PM (tons/vr)	Weight % Cobalt compounds	PTE of Cobalt compounds (tons/yr)
Gelcoat area	P2-01 through P2-07	White gelcoat	28.8%	•	11.0	30.0	2,890,800	158.91	-	114.84	-	114.84	95%	51.43	90%	5.14	1%	0.51
Lamination area	P2-08 through P2-11	Production resin	34.0%	-	55.0	30.0	14,454,000	74.00	-	267.40	-	267.40	100%	0.00	N/A	N/A	-	-
Barrier coat area	P2-12	Barrier coat resin	37.0%		-	-	4,380	83.00		0.09	-	0.09	100%	0.00	N/A	N/A		-

Notes:

Calculations for the gelcoat and lamination areas are based on maximum gelcoat and resin usages, maximum unit throughput, and worst-case gelcoat and resin for this process.

These maximum usages and throughputs represent the throughputs when the gelcoat and resin processes are operating at maximum line speeds and do not represent actual rates.

Unified emission factors (UEF) are from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 13, 2009).

Available at: http://www.in.gov/idem/ctap/files/plastics_unified_emission_factors.pdf

MMA is methyl methacrylate

Assume all non-MMA VOC is styrene and PM = PM₁₀ = PM_{2.5}.

Application method for all resins and gelcoats is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

¹ UEFs are not provided for MMA in resins. Therefore, MMA UEF for gelcoats is assumed

Although the resin areas (lamination, barrier coat, and tooling booth) are equipped with dry filters, the transfer efficiency is 100% so there are no particulate emissions to control from these processes

Maximum throughput (lb/yr) = Maximum usage (lb/unit) * Maximum throughput (units/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr

PTE of styrene or MMA (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * 1 ton resin or gel /2000 lbs resin or gel * UEF (lbs styrene or MMA/ton resin or gel) * 1 ton styrene or MMA/2000 lbs styrene or MMA PTE of VOC (tons/yr) = PTE of styrene (tons/yr) + PTE of MMA (tons/yr)

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr)* (1- (Weight % Styrene +Weight % MMA)) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 1 ton/2000 lbs Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

PTE of Cobalt compounds = Weight % Cobalt Compounds * Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations

VOC/HAPs

Seven (7) Polyester Resin Storage Tanks (RT1 through RT7)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

TANKS Summary	PTE (tons/yr)
Styrene - RT1	0.075
Styrene - RT2	0.075
Styrene - RT3	0.075
Styrene - RT4	0.075
Styrene - RT5	0.075
Styrene - RT6	0.075
Styrene - RT7	0.075
Total for All Tanks	0.52

Results from Tanks 4.0.9d

Notes:

Emissions from the Day Tanks (DT1 & DT2) are assumed to be negligable. RT4 was added in permit no. 099-42116-00023.

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations VOC and Particulate Mix & Day Tanks (MT1, DT1, MT2, DT2)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Weight % VOC/HAP	Filler/powder loading	Maximum throughput (tons/yr)	VOC/HAP emission factor	PTE of VOC/HAP (ton/yr)	PM emission facor	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)
Mix Tank 1	MT1	32.00%	38.0%	5314	0.25%	4.25	0.10%	2.02	99.9%	0.002
Day Tank 1	DT1	32.00%	38.0%	5314	0.25%	1/4/1900	0.10%	2.02	99.9%	0.002
Mix Tank 2	MT2	32.00%	38.0%	5314	0.25%	4.25	0.10%	2.02	99.9%	0.002
Day Tank 2	DT2	32.00%	38.0%	5314	0.25%	4.25	0.10%	2.02	99.9%	0.002
							Total	8.08		0.01

Notes:

Assume all VOC/HAP is styrene and PM=PM $_{10}$ =PM $_{2.5}$ VOC/HAP emission factor is from NESHAP Subpart WWWW PM emission factor is based on assumption of 0.10% of material added to the mixer is lost

Methodology:

PTE of VOC (ton/yr) = Weight % VOC/HAP * Maximum throughput (tons/yr) * VOC/HAP emission factor Uncontrolled PTE of PM (ton/yr) = Filler powder loading * Maximum throughput (tons/yr) * PM emission factor Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Trimming Booths (TB1 & TB2)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Outlet grain	Air flow	Control	Uncontrolled	Uncontrolled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Controlled	Controlled
loading	rate	efficiency	PTE of PM	PTE of PM	PTE of PM	PTE of PM	PTE of PM	PTE of PM
(grains/acf)	(acf/m)	eniciency	(lb/hr)	(lb/day)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(lb/day)	(ton/yr)
0.0029	325	99%	0.54	12.93	2.36	0.008	0.194	0.035
0.0029	325	99%	0.54	12.93	2.36	0.008	0.194	0.035

Note:

Assume PM=PM₁₀=PM_{2.5}

Methodology:

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (lb/hr) = Outlet grain loading (grains/acf) * Air flow rate (acf/min) / (1-Control efficiency) * 60 min/hr * 1 lb/7000 grains Controlled PTE of PM (lb/hr) = Outlet grain loading (grains/acf) * Air flow rate (acf/min) * 60 min/hr * 1 lb/7000 grains PTE of PM (lb/hr) * 24 hrs/day

PTE of PM (ton/yr) = PTE of PM (lb/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563 Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission unit	Emission Unit ID	Number	Heat Input	Total Heat input
Air make-up unit	AM1	1	5.04	5.04
Air make-up unit	AM2	1	2.31	2.31
Radiant heater	H1	1	0.24	0.24
Radiant heater	H2	1	0.125	0.125
Radiant heaters	H3 - H19	17	0.01	0.17
Forced-air	H20 - H25	5	0.06	0.30
		Totals:	8.185	8.2

Heat Input Capacity MMBtu/hr

Potential Throughput HHV mmBtu MMCF/yr

mmscf

建

- 6	15:00	Signature 1	25.0	2.00	
- 13	1000	201	3-Z,	CO.	250/2

70.3

		Poliulant								
Emission Factor in Ib/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	direct PM2.5* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 1007***********************************	VOC 5.5	CO 84			
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.07	0.27	0.27	0.02	3.51	0.19	2.95			

^{*}PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,020 MMBtu

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

HAPS Calculations

		HAPs - Organics									
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Benzene 2.1E-03	Dichlorobenzene 1.2E-03	Formaldehyde 7.5E-02	Hexane 1.8E+00	Toluene 3.4E-03	Total - Organics					
Potential Emission in tons/yr	7,381E-05	4.218E-05	2.636E-03	6.327E-02	1.195E-04	6.614E-02					

			HAPs - M	etals		
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03	Total - Metals
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.757E-05	3.866E-05	4.921E-05	1.336E-05	7.381E-05	1.926E-04
			·		Total HAPs	6.633E-02
Methodology is the same as above.					Worst HAP	6.327E-02

The five highest organic and metal HAPs emission factors are provided above. Additional HAPs emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

PM2.5 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM2.5 combined.

^{**}Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Open Molding Lamination (P3-L1 to P3-L4) and Barrier Coat Area (P3-BC)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Material (resin or gel name)	Weight % Styrene	Maximum usage (lb/unit)	Maximum throughput (units/hr)	Maximum throughput (lb/yr)	UEF (lbs styrene/ton resin or gel)	PTE of styrene (tons/yr)	PTE of VOC/Total HAP (tons/yr)	Transfer efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)
Lamination area	P3-L1 through P3-L4	Production resin	32.0%	55.0	30.0	14,454,000	68.48	247.45	247.45	100%	0.00	N/A	N/A
Barrier Coat area	P3-BC	Barrier coat resin	32.0%	0.02	30.0	4,380	68.48	0.07	0.07	100%	0.00	N/A	N/A
							Totals:	247.53	247.53				

Notes:

Calculations for the lamination areas are based on maximum resin usages, maximum unit throughput, and worst-case resin for this process.

Unified emission factors (UEF) are from "Unified Emission Factors for Open Molding of Composites," Composites Fabricators Association (October 13, 2009).

Available at: http://www.in.gov/idem/ctap/files/plastics_unified_emission_factors.pdf

Assume PM = PM₁₀ = PM_{2.5}.

Application method for all resins is fluid impingement technology (FIT) (mechanical, non-atomized).

Although the resin areas (lamination, barrier coat, and tooling booth) are equipped with dry filters, the transfer efficiency is 100% so there are no particulate emissions to control from these processes

Methodology

Maximum throughput (lb/yr) = Maximum usage (lb/unit) * Maximum throughput (units/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr

PTE of styrene (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * 1 ton resin/2000 lbs resin * UEF (lbs styrene/ton resin) * 1 ton styrene/2000 lbs styrene

PTE of VOC (tons/yr) = PTE of styrene (tons/yr)

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Maximum throughput (lb/yr) * (1- (Weight % Styrene)) * (1 - Transfer efficiency) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations

VOC/HAPs

Three (3) Polyester Resin Storage Tanks (P3-RT1 through P3-RT3), and One (1) Day Tank (P3-DT1)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Plant 3 TANKS Summary	PTE (tons/yr)
Styrene - P3-RT1	0.075
Styrene - P3-RT2	0.075
Styrene - P3-RT3	0.075
Total for All Tanks	0.22

Results from Tanks 4.0.9d

Notes:

Emissions from the Day Tank (P3-DT1) are assumed to be negligable.

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations VOC and Particulate Plant 3 Mix Tanks (P3-MT1 & P3-MT2)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Process	Emission Unit ID	Weight % VOC/HAP	Filler/powder loading	Maximum throughput (tons/yr)	VOC/HAP emission factor	PTE of VOC/HAP (ton/yr)		Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr)	PM control efficiency	Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr)
Mix Tank 1	P3-MT1	32.00%	38.0%	7227	0.25%	5.78	0.10%	2.75	99.9%	0.003
Mix Tank 2	P3-MT2	32.00%	38.0%	7227	0.25%	5.78	0.10%	2.75	99.9%	0.003
					Total	11.56		5.49		0.01

Notes:

Assume all VOC/HAP is styrene and PM=PM₁₀=PM_{2.5}

VOC/HAP emission factor is from Chapter 5 of the Background Information Document for NESHAP Subpart WWWW PM emission factor is based on assumption of 0.10% of material added to the mixer is lost, and all tanks are covered. Methodology used was taken from Title V Renewal No. T039-33297-00556 for Crane Composites, Inc.

Methodology:

PTE of VOC (ton/yr) = Weight % VOC/HAP * Maximum throughput (tons/yr) * VOC/HAP emission factor Uncontrolled PTE of PM (ton/yr) = Filler powder loading * Maximum throughput (tons/yr) * PM emission factor Controlled PTE of PM (tons/yr) = Uncontrolled PTE of PM (tons/yr) * (1 - Control efficiency)

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Grinding Booth (P3-GR1)

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Unit Number	Outlet grain loading (grains/acf)	Air flow rate (acf/m)	Control efficiency	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (lb/hr)	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (lb/day)	Uncontrolled PTE of PM (ton/yr)	Controlled PTE of PM (lb/hr)	PTE of	Controlled PTE of PM (ton/yr)
P3-GR1	0.0029	325	99%	0.54	12.93	2.36	0.008	0.194	0.035

Note:

Assume PM=PM₁₀=PM_{2.5}

Methodology:

Uncontrolled PTE of PM (lb/hr) = Outlet grain loading (grains/acf) * Air flow rate (acf/min) / (1-Control efficiency) * 60 min/hr * 1 lb/7000 grains Controlled PTE of PM (lb/hr) = Outlet grain loading (grains/acf) * Air flow rate (acf/min) * 60 min/hr * 1 lb/7000 grains PTE of PM (lb/hay) = PTE of PM (lb/hr) * 24 hrs/day
PTE of PM (ton/yr) = PTE of PM (lb/hr) * 8760 hrs/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563

Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Emission unit	Number of Units	Heat Input Capacity Each (MMBtu/hr/unit)	Total Potential Throughput (MMCF/yr)
Air makeup (P3-AM)	1	3.00	25.8
Radiant heater (P3-RH1-P3-RH7)	7	0.090	5.4
Forced air furnaces (P3-TC1)	1	0.175	1.5
Forced air furnaces (P3-OH1)	1	0.100	0.9
	Totals:	3.905	33.5

	Pollutant								
	PM*	PM ₁₀ *	Direct PM _{2.5} *	SO ₂	NO _x	VOC	CO		
Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)	1.9	7.6	7.6	0.6	100.0	5.5	84.0		
Potential Emission (tons/yr)	0.032	0.13	0.13	0.010	1.68	0.09	1.41		

^{*}PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM₁₀ emission factor is filterable and condensable PM₁₀ combined. PM_{2.5} emission factor is filterable and condensable PM_{2.5} combined.

		HA	NPs - Organics		•
	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene
Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03
Potential Emission (tons/yr)	3.521E-05	2.012E-05	1.258E-03	3.018E-02	5.701E-05

		HAPs - Metals								
	Lead	Cadmium	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel					
Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)	5.0E - 04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03					
Potential Emission (tons/yr)	8.384E-06	1.845E-05	2.348E-05	6.372E-06	3.521E-05					
-				Total HAPs:	0.03					

Notes:

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03

The five highest organic and metal HAPs emission factors are provided above. Additional HAPs emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

Total Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) = ∑ (Heat Input Capacity Each (MMBtu/hr/unit) * Number of Units)

Potential Throughput (MMCF/yr) = Heat Input Capacity Each (MMBtu/hr) * Number of Units * 8,760 hrs/yr * High Heat Value (1 MMCF/1,020 MMBtu)

Potential Emission (tons/yr) = Total Max Throughput (MMCF/yr) * Emission Factor (lb/MMCF) * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Fugitive Dust Emissions - Paved Roads

Company Name: Composite Technology Assembly, LLC

Source Address: 904 Markley Drive, 1400 Pidco Drive, 2900 Gary Drive Plymouth, Indiana 46563
Part 70 Permit Number: T099-42116-00023

Prepared By: D&B Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date: 7/1/2024

Paved Roads at Industrial Site

The following calculations determine the amount of emissions created by paved roads, based on 8,760 hours of use and AP-42, Ch 13.2.1 (1/2011).

Vehicle Informtation (provided by source)

""		Number of one-			Total Weight	" "			
	Maximum number	way trips per day	Maximum trips per	Maximum Weight	driven per day	Maximum one-way	Maximum one-way	Maximum one-way	Maximum one-way
Туре	of vehicles per day	per vehicle	day (trip/day)	Loaded (tons/trip)	(ton/day)	distance (feet/trip)	distance (mi/trip)	miles (miles/day)	miles (miles/vr)
Freight Truck (5 axles) - Entry	2.0	1.0	2.0	40.0	80.0	261	0.049	0.1	36.1
Freight Truck (5 axles) - Departure	2.0	1.0	2.0	40.0	80.0	261	0.049	0.1	36.1
Moving Truck (2-axle) (26' Straight Truck) - Entry	2.0	1.0	2.0	10.0	20.0	261	0.049	0.1	36.1
Moving Truck (2-axle) (26' Straight Truck) - Departure	2.0	1.0	2.0	10.0	20.0	261	0.049	0.1	36.1
		Totals	8.0		200.0			0.4	144.3

Average Vehicle Weight Per Trip = 25.0 tons/trip
Average Miles Per Trip = 0.05 miles/trip

Unmitigated Emission Factor, Ef = [k * (sL)^0.91 * (W)^1.02] (Equation 1 from AP-42 13.2.1)

	PM	PM10	PM2.5	
where k =	0.011	0.0022	0.00054	lb/VMT = particle size multiplier (AP-42 Table 13.2.1-1)
W =	25.0	25.0	25.0	tons = average vehicle weight (provided by source)
sL =	9.7	9.7	9.7	g/m^2 = silt loading value for paved roads at iron and steel production facilities - Table 13.2.1-3)

Taking natural mitigation due to precipitation into consideration, Mitigated Emission Factor, Eext = E * [1 - (p/4N)] (Equation 2 from AP-42 13.2.1)

Mitigated Emission Factor, Eext = Ef * [1 - (p/4N)]

where p = 125 days of rain greater than or equal to 0.01 inches (see Fig. 13.2.1-2)

N = 365 days per year

	PM	PM10	PM2.5	l
Unmitigated Emission Factor, Ef =	2.319	0.464	0.1138	lb/mile
Mitigated Emission Factor, Eext =	2.120	0.424	0.1041	lb/mile

	Mitigated PTE of	Mitigated PTE of	Mitigated PTE of
Process	PM (tons/yr)	PM10 (tons/yr)	PM2.5 (tons/yr)
Freight Truck (5 axles) - Entry	0.04	0.01	0.00
Freight Truck (5 axles) - Departure	0.04	0.01	0.00
Moving Truck (2-axle) (26' Straight Truck) - Entry	0.04	0.01	0.00
Moving Truck (2-axle) (26' Straight Truck) - Departure	0.04	0.01	0.00
Totals	0.15	0.03	0.01

Methodology

Total Weight driven per day (ton/day)
Maximum one-way distance (mi/trip)
Maximum one-way miles (miles/day)
Average Vehicle Weight Per Trip (ton/trip)
Average Miles Per Trip (miles/trip)
Mitigated PTE (tons/yr)

- = [Maximum Weight Loaded (tons/trip)] * [Maximum trips per day (trip/day)]
- = [Maximum one-way distance (feet/trip) / [5280 ft/mile]
- = [Maximum trips per year (trip/day)] * [Maximum one-way distance (mi/trip)]
- = SUM[Total Weight driven per day (ton/day)] / SUM[Maximum trips per day (trip/day)]
- = SUM[Maximum one-way miles (miles/day)] / SUM[Maximum trips per year (trip/day)]
- = [Maximum one-way miles (miles/yr)] * [Mitigated Emission Factor (lb/mile)] * (ton/2000 lbs)

Abbreviations

PM = Particulate Matter PM10 = Particulate Matter (<10 um) PM2.5 = Particle Matter (<2.5 um) PTE = Potential to Emit