

SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: 12/16/75 TIME: 1 PM COUNTY: Kosciusko  
 SUBJECT: Custer LF (Heiman / Heyach)  
 LOCATION: CR 400 S  
 PERSON(S) CONTACTED: \_\_\_\_\_

- |   |                     |   |
|---|---------------------|---|
| I. IS THIS OPERATION APPROVED? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES NO                                   | PERMIT NUMBER _____ | Vectors   |
| II. TYPE OF OPERATION DUMP LANDFILL OTHER <u>Closed</u>   |                     |   |
| III. OPERATING DEFICIENCIES   |                     | ( ) 19. Effective vector control program.   |
| General Standards   |                     | Safety  |
| ( ) 1. On-site roads passable by vehicles regardless of weather.  |                     | ( ) 20. Roll bars and fire extinguishers on rolling equipment.  |
| ( ) 2. Sanitary facilities meet standards.  |                     | ( ) 21. Provisions available to extinguish fires.   |
| ( ) 3. Livestock feeding prohibited.  |                     | ( ) 22. Communication equipment available.  |
| ( ) 4. Proximity to dwellings greater than 600 feet.  |                     | ( ) 23. Scavenging prohibited.  |
| Water Quality   |                     | ( ) 24. Traffic patterns established, vehicles discharge without delay.                               |
| ( ) 5. Groundwater monitoring wells installed in accordance with Board requirements. Sampling as specified. |                     | Operator Instructions   |
| ( ) 6. Surface drainage controlled.   |                     | ( ) 25. Operating manual, safety precautions, and procedures available.                               |
| ( ) 7. No refuse deposited in water.  |                     | Cover Applications  |
| ( ) 8. Leachate control/treatment satisfactory.   |                     | ( ) 26. Waste spread in layers, compacted on approximate 3:1 slope                                    |
| Air Quality   |                     | ( ) 27. Daily cover adequate.   |
| ( ) 9. Open burning ( ) past ( ) present  |                     | ( ) 28. Finished portions covered with minimum of two feet of earth, seeded with suitable vegetation. |
| Aesthetics  |                     | ( ) 29. Final slope not less than 2%, without ponding of water.                                       |
| ( ) 10. Control and/or policing of blowing paper adequate.  |                     | Hazardous and Special Wastes  |
| ( ) 11. Vehicular access controlled when site is not open.  |                     | ( ) 30. No unauthorized hazardous wastes accepted.  |
| ( ) 12. Containers at gate clean and litter free.   |                     | ( ) 31. Bulky waste handling adequate.  |
| ( ) 13. Natural windbreaks and greenbelts maintained.   |                     | ( ) 32. Dead animal handling adequate.  |
| ( ) 14. Entrance sign adequate, permit numbers and working hours stated.                                    |                     | Equipment   |
| ( ) 15. Salvaged materials properly stored, and not causing operational problems.                           |                     | ( ) 33. Refuse handling equipment adequate to spread, compact and cover waste.                        |
| ( ) 16. On-site roads constructed to minimize tracking of mud onto public roads.                            |                     | Records   |
| ( ) 17. Refuse processing facilities maintained in litter free condition. Overnight storage areas enclosed. |                     | ( ) 34. Set of approved plans on site.  |
| Gases   |                     |   |
| ( ) 18. Decomposition gases adequately controlled.  |                     |   |

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SITE:

Proximity to major highways: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Proximity to dwellings: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water on site or nearby: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Jurisdiction of Site or Operation: Private County Municipal

V. REMARKS FROM PART III.

Item	Remarks
_____	Walked entire site. Some erosion has occurred exposing
_____	minimal refuse. Perimeter of landfill was
_____	not slope down to a 3:1 slope - <sup>major</sup> erosion
_____	is occurring here.

VI. OVERALL EVALUATION: of Closure  
 Overall evaluation of operation: Excellent Good Marginal Unacceptable  
 Overall evaluation of site: Good Marginal Poor

Inspected by: Ron Magan

VII. Comments/Diagrams

See photos



Custer Landfill  
12-16-75

