



## INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

*We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.*

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204

(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • [www.idem.IN.gov](http://www.idem.IN.gov)

Eric J. Holcomb  
Governor

Bruno L. Pigott  
Commissioner

July 12, 2019

Mr. Matt Gluckman  
Watersheds Section Chief, Water Division  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
R5, W-15J  
77 West Jackson Boulevard  
Chicago, IL 60604-3507

Dear Mr. Gluckman:

The Southern Whitewater River Watershed Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) report was submitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and approved by the U.S. EPA on September 30, 2015. Subsequent to its approval, inaccuracies in the final report were discovered by IDEM, who informed U.S. EPA in December 2017. IDEM explained that it had mischaracterized how bacteria TMDLs in the final Southern Whitewater River Watershed TMDL report were calculated. The final Southern Whitewater River Watershed TMDL report describes that bacteria loadings were calculated based on the *E. coli* water quality standard of 125 cfu/100 mL as a geometric mean. However, the actual loading calculations for the TMDLs were based on a single sample maximum water quality standard of 235 cfu/100 mL. These misstatements were limited to the main body of the report only, and do not impact any bacteria TMDL calculations.

IDEM has identified portions of the final report which are subject to the above referenced errors and made the necessary revisions. References and revisions are provided as an attachment to this letter.

Please direct any questions or comments to Angela Brown at 317-308-3102.

Sincerely,

Angela Brown  
Chief, Watershed Planning and Restoration Section  
Office of Water Quality

Cc: David Werbach, U.S. EPA Region 5  
Paul Proto U.S. EPA Region 5

## Southern Whitewater River Watershed Final TMDL Report Revisions

References with accompanying language revisions to the inaccuracies included in the final Southern Whitewater River Watershed TMDL report approved by U.S. EPA on September 30, 2015 are listed as follows:

### Section 2.2.1

#### Original Document Language:

The target value used for the Southern Whitewater River Watershed TMDL was based on the 125 counts/100 mL geometric mean component of the standard (i.e., daily loading capacities were calculated by multiplying flows by 125 counts/100 mL). This approach ensures that both components of the standard will be met since a daily loading capacity based on 125 counts/100 mL will, by definition, meet the 235 counts/100 mL component of the standard. The use of the geometric mean component of the standard results in an added MOS (see Section 12.1 for more details).

#### Revised Document Language:

The Southern Whitewater River TMDL *E. coli* target is: from April 1 through October 31, *E. coli* shall not exceed **235 cfu/100 mL**. For *E. coli* TMDLs, allocations were calculated based upon the **235 cfu/100 mL** portion of the criteria. U.S. EPA believes this target value is protective of both portions of the criteria. The U.S. EPA report, “*An Approach for Using Load Duration Curves in the Development of TMDLs*” (U.S. EPA, 2007) describes how the monthly geometric mean (in this case, 125 cfu/100 mL for *E. coli*) is likely to be met when the single sample maximum value (in this case, 235 cfu/100 mL for *E. coli*) is used to develop the loading capacity. The process calculates the daily maximum bacteria value that is possible to observe and still attain the monthly geometric mean. If the single sample maximum is set as a never-to-be surpassed value then it becomes the maximum value that can be observed, and all other bacteria values would have to be less than the maximum, i.e., 235 cfu/100 mL. U.S. EPA notes that whichever portion of the criteria is used to determine the allocations, both the monthly geometric mean and single sample maximum will be used to assess the extent of implementation by point and nonpoint sources.

### Section 9.0 (Table 82)

#### Target value in original table:

No value should exceed 125 counts/100 mL (as a geometric mean)

#### Target value in revised table:

No value should exceed **235 cfu/100 mL**

### Section 12.1

#### Original Document Language:

- The *E. coli* TMDLs include an implicit MOS in that they were based on the geometric mean component of the standard rather than the single sample maximum standard. Using the single sample maximum standard would have resulted in larger loading capacities.
- An additional implicit MOS for *E. coli* is included because the load duration analysis does not address die-off of pathogens.

#### Revised Document Language:

- An additional implicit MOS for *E. coli* is included because the load duration analysis does not address die-off of pathogens. As stated in EPA's *Protocol for Developing Pathogen TMDLs* (EPA 841-R-00-002), many different factors affect the survival of pathogens, including the physical condition of the water. These factors include, but are not limited to sunlight, temperature, salinity, and nutrient deficiencies. These factors vary depending upon the environmental condition/circumstances of the water, and therefore it would be difficult to assert that the rate of decay caused by any given combination of these environmental variables was sufficient enough to

meet the water quality standards of 235 cfu/100mL and 125 cfu/100 mL. Thus, it is more conservative to apply the State's water quality standard in determining bacteria TMDLs, because this standard must be met at all times under all environmental conditions.

#### **Section 14.2**

##### *Original E. coli Goal Statement:*

The waterbodies (or streams) in the Southern Whitewater River watershed should meet the 125 colonies/100 mL (geometric mean) TMDL target value.

##### *Revised E. coli Goal Statement:*

The waterbodies (or streams) in the Southern Whitewater River watershed should meet the **235 cfu/100 mL** TMDL target value.