INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY FIELD INSPECTION REPORT





SOURCE INFORMATION		
SOURCE NAME	ITU AbsorbTech, Inc.	
	3900 W. William Richardson Drive	e, South Bend, Indiana 46628
SOURCE LOCATION	St. Joseph County	
MAILING ADDRESS	3900 W. William Richardson Drive	e, South Bend, Indiana 46628
PLANT ID	141-00116	
	Permit Type: Permit Number:	TVOP 41541
	Permit Expiration Date: VFC Document No.(hyperlink):	6/14/2022 <u>82824700</u>
ATTAINMENT STATUS	 ☑ Attainment for all criteria pollut □ Nonattainment for □SO2 □C 	tants C □O3 □NO2 □Pb □PM10 □PM2.5
SOURCE STATUS	□ PSD Major (326 IAC 2-2)	□ Major Source of HAPs
	\Box Acid Rain (326 IAC 21)	A Alea Source of HAFS
SOURCE DESCRIPTION	Petroleum based dry cleaning op processes rags, oil absorbent soo facility.	eration. (Stoddard Solvent) The company cks, gloves, and other oil-soaked materials at the

INSPECTION INFORMATION								
INSPECTED BY	Richard Reyr	nolds						
INSPECTION DATE AND TIME	12/16/2020			TIME IN	I: 10:00 AM		TIME OUT: 1	1:15 AM
REPORTED BY	Richard Reyr	nolds MH 12/18/20	√ 020	REPOR	T DATE: 12	2/17/202	20	
COMPLIANCE PERIOD REVIEWED	11/1/2019 to 11/30/2020							
INSPECTION NOTIFICATION	🗆 Unannoun	iced		🛛 Anno	ounced: Co	vid-19		
INSPECTION OBJECTIVE(S)	☑ Compliance Monitoring Strategy (CMS) □ Commitment □ Mega-Site: □ FCE □ Complaint □ Other: □ Surveillance							
ACES TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Inspection:	253265	Con	nplaint:		Violat	tion/Warning:	253266
RM TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Complaint:							
INSPECTION BACKGROUND	Last inspection.	on 10/24/20)18. I	No violati	ons were o	bserved	or determined	during the

SOURCE PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED							
Name	Title	Phone Number	Email Address				
Jean Mavroff	Operations Manager	574-271-1900 Ext 229	jmavroff@ituabsorbtech.com				
Jerry Slocum	Maintenance Supervisor	574-271-1900 Ext 234	jslocum@ituabsorbtech.com				

INSPECTION AND COMPLAINT HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)						
Date	Inspection/Complaint Type	Result	Comments			
10/24/2018	CMS Inspection	No Violations Noted				
1/24/2017	CMS Inspection	No Violations Noted				

COMPLIANCE HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)						
Informal Enforce	ement Actions					
Date Issued	Action Taken	Describe Viola	ation(s)			
	SELECT ACTION TAKEN					
Formal Enforcer	ment Actions					
Case Number	Enforcement Type	Civil Penalty	Describe Violation(s)			
	SELECT ENFORCEMENT TYPE	\$				
Other Relevant Actions						
Action Taken	Comments					

PERMIT SECTION D.1

Emission Units and Control Devices:

One (1) petroleum solvent dry-cleaning process, constructed in 1996, consisting of the following units:

- (a) Nine (9) petroleum solvent recovery dryers, each with a maximum capacity of 100 pounds per load, each with lint bag for particulate control;
- (b) One (1) recovery tank, identified as T01, with a maximum capacity of five hundred (500) gallons of solvent, and an annual throughput of 3,877,600 gallons;
- (c) One (1) process tank, identified as T02, with a maximum capacity of 2,070 gallons of oil, and an annual throughput of 175,000 gallons;
- (d) One (1) process tank, identified as T03, with a maximum capacity of 7,260 gallons of oil, and an annual throughput of 150,000 gallons;
- (e) One (1) clean tank, identified as T04, with a maximum capacity of 7,260 gallons of solvent, and an annual throughput of 3,917,000 gallons;
- (f) One (1) transfer tank, identified as T05, with a maximum capacity of 5,165 gallons of solvent, and an annual throughput of 3,891,000 gallons;
- (g) One (1) dump tank, identified as T06, with a maximum capacity of 5,165 gallons of solvent, and an annual throughput of 3,891,000 gallons;
- (h) One (1) separator tank, identified as T07, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 gallons of solvent, and annual throughput of 3,891,000 gallons;
- (i) Three (3) chillers, each with a maximum capacity of 20 tons;
- (j) Three (3) primary stills, identified as Still-01, Still-02, and Still-03, each with a maximum capacity of 500 gallons per hour;

PERMIT SECTION D.1							
(k) One (1) secondary still, identified as Secondary Still-01, with a maximum capacity of 150 gallons per batch;							
(I) Three washers including the following:	Three washers including the following:						
(1) One Washer, identified as W-01, with a maximum capacity of 500 pour	nds per load;						
(2) One (1) washer, identified as W-03, approved in 2017 for construction, pounds of soiled towels per load; and	with a maximum cap	acity of 700					
(3) One (1) washer, identified as W-02, approved in 2019 for construction, of soiled towels per load, with no control device.	with maximum capa	city of 700 pounds					
(m) Solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, as follows							
(1) Two (2) petroleum solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, identified as F maximum capacity of 210 pounds per hour, constructed in 2014, each exhausting to stack PRV-4.	PM-10 and PM-11, ea with lint bag for part	ach with a iculate control and					
(2) Three (3) solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, identified as PM-12, PI maximum capacity of 210 pounds per hour, approved in 2019 for cons particulate control and exhausting to stack PRV-4	M-13, and PM-14, ea struction, each with li	ich with a nt bag for					
Pollutants with Emission Limits or Applicable Standards:							
□ SO2 □ NOX □ CO ⊠ VOC ⊠ PM ⊠ PM10 ⊠ PM2.5 □ HAPS							
Applicable Rules:							
• 326 IAC 2-2, 2-7, 8-1, 6.5-1, 8-2							
Requirement:	Requirement: Applicable Violation Noted						
Emission Limitations and Standards	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	🗆 Yes 🖾 No					
Preventive Maintenance Plan	🖾 Yes 🛛 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Compliance Determination Requirements	🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Testing Requirements	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	🗆 Yes 🗆 No					
Compliance Monitoring Requirements	🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Recordkeeping Requirements	🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Types of Records Reviewed: Material safety data sheets, Waste material being deducted, Total solvent input, Input of dry clean textiles, daily visible emission notations							
Reporting Requirements ⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No							
Observations and Comments:							
I met with Jean Mavroff and Jerry Slocum and provided compliance assistance for their permit and explained to them their permit and what was needed to maintain compliance. Ms. Mavroff was confused on how to calculate and record the input of new solvent to the entire dry-cleaning operation minus the amount of solvent which exited in the waste stream on a 12-month rolling average.							

The pre-inspection review determined that the 2nd Q 2020 report was missing in VFC. During the inspection Ms. Mavroff confirmed that she had the 2nd Q report but had not submitted it yet. I explained to her that the failure to submit the 2nd quarters report in a timely manner would result in a violation letter.

Ms. Mavroff and Mr. Slocum accompanied me on an inspection of the facility. The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection as were the chillers and stills. I reviewed the VEE log and the preventative maintenance plan and found no problems.

Emission Unit or Control Device	Parameter	Permitted Value/Range	Observed
Lint bag	Opacity	40%	0% Opacity
Chillers and Stills	NA	NA	In Operation

PER	MIT SECTION D.1	
Perm	nit Section Compliance S	Status:
	No violations were obse	rved or determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection.
\boxtimes	The following violations	were determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection:
	Condition/Citation	Comments
	D.1.11	Failure to submit a quarterly report within 30 days after the end of the reporting period.

PERMIT SECTION D.2							
Emission Units and Control Devices:							
 (a) Emission units with PM and PM₁₀ emissions less than five (5) tons per than ten (10) tons per year, CO emissions less than twenty-five (25) ton two-tenths (0.2) tons per year, single HAP emissions less than one (1) emissions less than two and a half (2.5) tons per year: 	Emission units with PM and PM ₁₀ emissions less than five (5) tons per year, SO ₂ , NOx and VOC emissions less than ten (10) tons per year, CO emissions less than twenty-five (25) tons per year, lead emissions less than two-tenths (0.2) tons per year, single HAP emissions less than one (1) ton per year, and combination of HAPs emissions less than two and a half (2.5) tons per year:						
(1) One (1) welding and flame cutting station used for maintenance	e purposes];						
(b) Paved roads and parking areas; and							
(c) Natural gas fired combustion sources with heat input equal to or less the thermal units per hour, including the following:	an ten million (10,00	0,000) British					
 One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-01, constructed million British thermal units per hour; 	in 1996, with a maxi	mum capacity of 4.1					
(2) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-02, constructed million British thermal units per hour;	in 2017, with a maxi	mum capacity of 4.2					
(3) One (1) natural gas-fired roof top heater, identified as RTU-2, c capacity of 0.8 million British thermal units per hour; and	constructed in 1996,	with a maximum					
(4) Two (2) natural gas-fired radiant space heaters, identified as M 1996, each with a maximum capacity of 0.8 million British them	IUA-1 and MUA-2, b nal units per hour.	oth constructed in					
Pollutants with Emission Limits or Applicable Standards:							
$\square SO_2 \square NO_X \square CO \square VOC \square PM \square PM_{10} \square PM_{2.5} \square HAPS$							
Applicable Rules:							
• 326 IAC 6.5-1, 2-7							
Requirement:	Applicable	Violation Noted					
Emission Limitations and Standards	🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🖾 No					
Preventive Maintenance Plan	🛛 Yes 🛛 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Compliance Determination Requirements	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	🗆 Yes 🗆 No					
Testing Requirements □ Yes ☑ No □ Yes □ No							
Compliance Monitoring Requirements							
Recordkeeping Requirements	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	🗆 Yes 🗆 No					
Types of Records Reviewed:							
Reporting Requirements	🗆 Yes 🖾 No	🗆 Yes 🗆 No					
Observations and Comments:							

PERM	PERMIT SECTION D.2							
The b	The boilers and space heaters were in operation at the time of the inspection. No changes or modifications were							
obsei	rved. The preventative m	naintenance	plan was reviewed.					
Emi	Emission Unit or Control Device Parameter Permitted Value/Range Observed							
Boil	Boilers and space heaters NA NA In Operation							
Dorm	it Section Compliance S	tatue						
	it Section compliance S	<u>natus.</u>						
🖂 N	oxtimes No violations were observed or determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection.							
	he following violations v	were determi	ned for this permit se	ection at the	time of the inspection:			
[Condition/Citation	Comments	•		•			

PERM	IT SECTION	E.1					
Emiss	ion Units and	Control Devices:					
(a)	Nine (9) petroleum solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, each with a maximum capacity of 100 pounds per load, each with lint bag for particulate control;						
(b)	One (1) rec and an ann	overy tank, identified as T01, with a maximum capacity of five hundred (500) gallons of solvent, ual throughput of 3,877,600 gallons;					
(c)	One (1) pro throughput	cess tank, identified as T02, with a maximum capacity of 2,070 gallons of oil, and an annual of 175,000 gallons;					
(d)	One (1) pro throughput	cess tank, identified as T03, with a maximum capacity of 7,260 gallons of oil, and an annual of 150,000 gallons;					
(e)	One (1) clea throughput	an tank, identified as T04, with a maximum capacity of 7,260 gallons of solvent, and an annual of 3,917,000 gallons;					
(f)	One (1) trar throughput	nsfer tank, identified as T05, with a maximum capacity of 5,165 gallons of solvent, and an annual of 3,891,000 gallons;					
(g)	One (1) dur throughput	np tank, identified as T06, with a maximum capacity of 5,165 gallons of solvent, and an annual of 3,891,000 gallons;					
(h)	One (1) sep throughput	parator tank, identified as T07, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 gallons of solvent, and annual of 3,891,000 gallons;					
(i)	Three (3) c	hillers, each with a maximum capacity of 20 tons;					
(j)	Three (3) p gallons per	rimary stills, identified as Still-01, Still-02, and Still-03, each with a maximum capacity of 500 hour;					
(k)	One (1) secondary still, identified as Secondary Still-01, with a maximum capacity of 150 gallons per batch;						
(I)	Three washers including the following:						
	(1) On	e Washer, identified as W-01, with a maximum capacity of 500 pounds per load;					
	(2) On 700	e (1) washer, identified as W-03, approved in 2017 for construction, with a maximum capacity of) pounds of soiled towels per load; and					

PERMIT SECTION E.1										
(3) One (1) washer, identified as W-02, approved in 2019 for construction, with maximum capacity of 700 pounds of soiled towels per load, with no control device.										
(m) Solvent recovery dry cleani	Solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, as follows									
(1) Two (2) petroleum maximum capacity control and exhaus	(1) Two (2) petroleum solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, identified as PM-10 and PM-11, each with a maximum capacity of 210 pounds per hour, constructed in 2014, each with lint bag for particulate control and exhausting to stack PRV-4.									
(2) Three (3) solvent r maximum capacity particulate control	(2) Three (3) solvent recovery dry cleaning dryers, identified as PM-12, PM-13, and PM-14, each with a maximum capacity of 210 pounds per hour, approved in 2019 for construction, each with lint bag for particulate control and exhausting to stack PRV-4.									
Pollutants with Emission Limits or	Applicable Standards:									
	C D PM D PM10 D PM2.5 D HAP	S								
Applicable Rule:										
40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJ										
Applicability Information:										
ITU AbsorbTech, Inc. is an affe located at a petroleum dry clea 38 kilograms (84 pounds) that	ected facility. The provisions of this sub ning plant with a total manufacturers' ra commences construction or modificatio	opart a ated d on afte	are applicable to affe ryer capacity equal t er December 14, 1982	ected facilities o or greater than 2.						
Requirement:			Applicable	Violation Noted						
Emission Limitations/Standards			🗆 Yes 🖾 No	🗆 Yes 🖾 No						
Work Practice/Operating Requi	rements		🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
Compliance Monitoring Require	ements		🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
Testing Requirements			🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🖾 No						
Record Keeping Requirements			🛛 Yes 🗆 No	🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
Types of Records Reviewe	d: leak checks									
Reporting Requirements			🗆 Yes 🛛 No	🗆 Yes 🗆 No						
Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3]		🗆 Yes 🛛 No	🗆 Yes 🗆 No						
Observations and Comments:										
The solvent dry-cleaning dryers we company had the equipment as sta inspection.	re in operation at the time of the inspec ited in the permit. No changes or modif	tion a icatio	s were the chillers a ns were observed at	nd stills. The the time of the						
There are no emissions limitations in Subpart JJJ, however; affected petroleum solvent dry-cleaning dryers shall be solvent recovery dryers. ITU AbsorbTech is using solvent recovery dryers.										
ITU AbsorbTech, Inc. is subject to 40 CFR 60.622(a) and was required to perform an initial test to verify that the flow rate of recovered solvent from the solvent recovery dryer at the termination of the recovery cycle is no greater than 0.05 liters per minute. On 1/6/1997 ITU AbsorbTech began testing for 35 days and determined compliance with notification to IDEM on 3/17/1997.										
Emission Unit or Control Device Parameter Permitted Value/Range Observed										
Solvent recovery dryer	Flow rate of recovered solvent	< 0.0	5 liters per minute	<0.05 liters						
Pormit Soction Compliance Status										
remit Section Compliance Status:										

PERMIT SECTION E.1					
oxtimes No violations were observed or determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection.					
□ The following violations were determined for this permit section at the time of the inspection:					
	Condition/Citation	Comments			
L					

ADDITIONAL SOURCE COMPLIANCE REVIEW:							
The following reports are required and were reviewed:							
Annual Compliance Certification(s) Deviation & Compliance Monitoring Report(s)							
□ Annual Notification(s) □ Emission Statement(s)							
The reports are consistent with inspection observations. \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A							
The permit accurately represents emission units observed on site.							
Compliance assistance was provided during the inspection.							
The source is required to have a Risk Management Plan [40 CFR 68]. □ Yes ⊠ No							
If yes, the source has a p	🗆 Yes 🗆 No 🖾 N/A						
If yes, the employees hav	🗆 Yes 🗆 No 🖾 N/A						
Additional Information and Comments:							
The records review determined that the company is not violating the 75, 000 gallons per twelve (12) consecutive month period limit located in condition D.1.1.							
Additional Source Compliance Review Status:							
⊠ No violations were observed or determined at the time of the inspection.							
\Box The following violations were determined at the time of the inspection:							
Condition/Citation	Description of V	iolation(s)					

INSPECTION FINDINGS							
□ No violations were observed or determined at the time of the inspection.							
☑ The following violations were determined at the time of the inspection:							
	Condition/Citation Description of Violation(s)						
	D.1.11	Failure to submit a 2 nd 2020 quarterly report within 30 days after the end of the reporting period.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION		Issue inspection summary/violation letter.					
<u>EXI</u>	T INTERVIEW	I explained my findings, recommendations, and conclusions with Ms. Mavroff prior to exiting the facility.					