VFC Index - Watershed (Plan)

Program:	Watershed
IDEM Document Type:	Plan
Document Date:	1/1/2003
Security Group:	Public
Project Name:	Turtle Creek WMP
Plan Type:	Watershed Management Plan
HUC Code:	05120111 Middle Wabash-Busseron
Sponsor:	Partnership for Turtle Creek
Contract #:	4-151
County:	Sullivan
Cross Reference ID:	16182050; 16345434; 27259425; 27263890
Comments:	

Additional WMP Information

Checklist:	10/01 Checklist
Grant type:	319
Fiscal Year:	2004
IDEM Approval Date:	1/1/2003
EPA Approval Date:	
Project Manager:	Pamela Brown

WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN

for

TURTLE CREEK and LITTLE TURTLE CREEK

Prepared By

PARTNERSHIP For turtle creek



WATERSHED COMMITTEE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	
	1.1 Watershed Overview	4
	Figure 1. Location of Turtle Creek Watershed	4
	Figure 2. Turtle Creek and Little Turtle Creek Watersheds' Boundary Map	5
	Figure 3. Turtle Creek Watershed's Location within the Middle Wabash-Busseron Basin	6
	Figure 4. Land Uses Around the Turtle Creek/Little Turtle Creek Watersheds	7
	1.2 Problems and Concerns	7
	1.3 Partnership for Turtle Creek	8
	1.3.1 Formation and Determination of Stakeholders	8
	1.3.2 Mission and Vision Statements	9
	1.3.3 Partnership Objectives	9
2.0	Watershed Resource Assessment	
	2.1 Turtle Creek Reservoir	10
	2.1.1 Description	10
	2.1.2 Water Quality Problem	11
	Table 1. Average Depth of Secchi Disk Readings over 22 Months	11
	2.1.3 Cause for Concern	11
	Figure 5. Locations of Different Levels of Erosion	13
	2.2 Agricultural Lands	14
	2.2.1 Tillage Practices	14
	Table 2. Sullivan County Highly Erodable Soils List	14
	Table 3. Potentially Erodable Soils in Sullivan County	15
	2.2.2 Pesticides Applied in the Watershed	16
	Table 4. Estimate of Pesticides Applied in Turtle Creek Reservoir	16
	2.2.3. Fertilizer Applied in Watershed	16
	Table 5. Estimation of Fertilizer Amounts Using Fertilizer Sales	17
	2.2.4 Livestock	17
	Table 6. Number of Animals in the Turtle Creek Watershed	17
	Table 7. Manure Produced by Animals in Turtle Creek Watershed	18
	2.3 Streams	18
	2.3.1 Impaired Streams	18
	2.3.2 Riparian Buffers	19
	2.3.3 Trash and Litter	19
	2.4 Forestland	20
	2.4.1 Timber Harvesting	20
	2.4.2 Classified Forests	20
	2.4.3 Riparian Buffers	21
	2.5 Developed/Residential Areas	21
	2.5.1 Bacterial Contamination	21
	2.5.2 Oil and Gas Well Contamination	21
3.0	Goals and Objectives	
	3.1 Goal #1: Increase water clarity at Turtle Creek Reservoir	22
	3.1.1 Objective: Demonstration Area	22
	3.1.2 Objective: Continue Bank Stabilization Measures	22
	3.1.3 Objective: Increase BMPs on Cropland and Conservation Tillage	23
	3.1.4 Objective: Increase Vegetated Buffers	24
	3.1.5 Objective: Determine Status of Remaining Streams	25
	3.2 Goal #2: Educate the Watershed Community	25
	3.2.1 Objective: Public Field Day	25
	3.2.2 Objective: Inform Watershed Community of Projects	26

	3.2.3 Objective: Involve the Local News Media	26
	3.2.4 Objective: Provide Sullivan County Educators with Tools	26
	3.2.5 Objective: Participate in Community Activities	27
	3.2.6 Objective: Organize Events to Promote Community Involvement	27
	3.2.7 Objective: Hold Annual Meetings	28
	3.3 Goal #3: Provide Continuous Focus and Effort towards the Activities of the Partnership	28
	3.3.1 Objective: Hire Watershed Coordinator	28
	3.3.2 Objective: Apply for Conservation Funding	28
	3.4 Implementation Schedule	29
	Table 8. Implementation Schedule	29
	3.5 Action Register	31
4.0	Monitoring and Evaluation	
	4.1 Shoreline Stabilization	33
	4.2 Agricultural Land	33
	4.3 Biological & Chemical Monitoring	33
	4.4 Riparian Buffer Zones	34
	4.5 Forestland Conservation	34
	4.6 Community Involvement, Education, and Communication	34
5.0	Funding	
	5.1 Estimated Costs	35
	Table 9. Estimated Annual Costs	35
	4.2 Grant Sources	36
	Table 10. Grants Available for Watershed Funding	36
	4.3 Funding Accomplishments	37
	Table 11. Summary of EQIP Funding	37
6.0	Systematic Approach	
	6.1 Determination of Sections	39
7.0	Annual Review	40
8.0	Contact Information	40

APPENDICES

- A. Stakeholders
- **B.** Steering Committee Members
- C. Technical Advisors
- **D.** Watershed Photographs
- E. Flyover Photographs
- F. Riparian Buffer Locations
- G. Test Procedures for Bacterial Contamination Determination
- H. Locations of Oil and Gas Wells
- I. Criteria for Matching Funds
- J. Action Register
- K. Watershed Focus-Area Sections
- L. Load Reduction Estimates
- M. Secchi Disk Data
- N. Hoosier Riverwatch Biological & Chemical Monitoring Sampling Methods, Quality Control Plan & Map of Monitoring Sites
- O. Wetlands Map

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Watershed Management Plan addresses the conditions determined by the preliminary assessment of the Turtle Creek/Little Turtle Creek Watershed carried out by the Partnership for Turtle Creek. In addition, it provides a roadmap for determining additional watershed concerns and educating all stakeholders on the importance of protecting and improving water quality in the watershed. This watershed plan will be updated annually to reflect changes in the watershed and updated status of work completed and action items to be worked on.

1.1 Watershed Overview

The Turtle/Little Turtle Creek watershed consists of 24,540 acres in Sullivan County, Indiana. The watershed is located in the west central portion of the county, in portions of both the Gill and Turman Townships (Figure 1.). Little Turtle Creek empties into Turtle Creek south of the dam for the Turtle Creek Reservoir and the entire watershed empties into the Wabash River near Riverton.



Figure 1. Location of the Turtle Creek Watershed within Sullivan County, Indiana

The boundaries of the Turtle Creek and Little Turtle Creek watersheds were determined with the assistance of the Natural Resources Conservation Services and the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Soil Conservation. Using standard techniques, watershed boundaries were drawn onto USGS 7.5 Minute Series Topographic maps (portions of the Fairbanks, Shelburn, Merom and Sullivan quadrangles). Visual inspections of the land were made to verify any boundary concern. The resultant watershed boundary map is found in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The Turtle Creek and Little Turtle Creek Watersheds boundary map

The Turtle Creek/Little Turtle Creek Watershed falls within the Middle Wabash-Busseron Basin, as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Turtle Creek Watershed's location within the Middle Wabash-Busseron Basin.

Of the 24,540 acres in the watershed, land practices consist of the following:

Turtle Creek Reservoir	1,550 Acres	6.3%
Cropland area	14,730 Acres	60%
Non-cultivated cropland	215 Acres	0.9%
Forested land	3,280 Acres	13.4%
Pasture land	4,380 Acres	17.8%
Urban (residential) land	385 Acres	1.6%

These numbers were determined with the assistance of the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the IDNR Division of Soil Conservation using aerial photographs and standard practices for determining land uses based on the information provided by those maps. Figure 4 shows the locations of these land uses within the Turtle Creek Watershed as compiled by the USDA's National Agriculture Statistics Service¹ using categorized satellite image data.



Figure 4. Land uses around the Turtle Creek/Little Turtle Creek Watershed.

1.2 Problems Statement

Beginning in 1994, aquatic vegetation within the Turtle Creek Reservoir (which is the recipient of most of the watershed's drainage area) began to disappear. At the same time, empirical observations showed that the water clarity in the reservoir had also diminished. Since 1995, secchi disk readings have been taken in conjunction with school groups; these show that water clarity depth is continuously between 0.5 and 0.8 meters near the dam where the students have sampled. This is far below the 2-meter goal for water clarity in a lake². Both the loss of vegetation and the decrease in water clarity are the main causes of concern within the watershed

¹ USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service Research and Development Team. *Cropland Data Layer CD-ROM: Indiana.* 2000.

² Bill Jones, Associate Professor, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.

that prompted the formation of a partnership to further investigate water quality issues within the watershed. The recent reduction in spawning of largemouth bass in the Turtle Creek Reservoir, as well as the need for erosion control in agricultural, streambed and shoreline areas are currently the Partnership's primary concerns.

1.3 Partnership for Turtle Creek

1.3.1 Formation & Determination of Stakeholders. In 1998, the Partnership for Turtle Creek, an exploratory watershed management group, was organized to identify the source of reported water quality problems within the watershed and to determine the need for development and implementation of a watershed management plan. Michalene Reilly, Environmental Team Leader for Hoosier Energy noticed the clarity, vegetation, and largemouth bass population in the reservoir were all declining. Ms. Reilly contacted Sullivan County NRCS District Conservationist Tammy Swihart to help organize a plan of action. All interested stakeholders

(landowners, farm tenants, business and government representatives and other interested parties) were encouraged to become a part of this process. In order

to determine the stakeholders involved



in the watershed, addresses were compiled based on a property ownership list obtained from the Sullivan County Courthouse. In addition, tenant farmers were also identified from information collected by the Soil and Water Conservation Service and the Natural Resources Conservation Service to complete the list of all agricultural stakeholders. Business stakeholders were determined through less exhaustive measures: both water users and those who depend on the watershed for their livelihood were identified. In addition, businesses dealing with agriculture or other primary interests in the watershed were included as stakeholders. Periodic updates of the stakeholders' list will be completed to insure that all stakeholders receive information. A current list if stakeholders can be found in Appendix A.

In August of 1999, a public meeting was held by the exploratory watershed management group to determine interest in the formation of watershed management committee. As a result of this meeting, a formal "watershed management steering committee" was formed to assess the issues within the watershed and determine what actions are possible to improve the water quality within the watershed. The steering committee is made up of stakeholders and advisory technical experts. Appendix B lists the current steering committee members and officers, the core group of people who are consistently active in moving the project forward, and subcommittee members. Appendix C is a list of the technical experts/organizations providing support to the steering committee.

Any stakeholders interested in the process are invited to monthly meetings, held on the second Tuesday of each month at 6:30 p.m. at the Hoosier Energy Environmental Education Center. An annual meeting to update the community is held each August. The steering committee elects a chairperson and secretary each January.

1.3.2 Mission and Vision Statements. The Partnership for Turtle Creek has developed vision and mission statements to guide the steering committee in developing ideas and programs to improve the water quality within the Turtle/ Little Turtle Watershed.

The Vision: *The Turtle Creek Watershed: Productive for fish, wildlife, and mankind through Natural Resource Conservation.*

Our Mission: The Partnership for Turtle Creek shall: Promote, educate, and facilitate sound conservation practices to the watershed community.

1.3.3. Partnership Objectives. Based on the information and data gathered by the Partnership for Turtle Creek Watershed Committee and open meetings to discuss the issues, four main objectives were determined by the group. The objectives of the Partnership are:

- To identify sources of water quality impairments
- To establish a venue for cooperation and involvement through education, information and communication.
- To implement "Best Management Practices" that minimizes pollutants and enhances natural resources.
- To seek financial resources to facilitate project activities.

In order to fulfill these objectives and prioritize corrective measures for future action, an assessment of the all resources within the watershed was completed.

2.0 WATERSHED RESOURCE ASSESSMENT

2.1 Turtle Creek Reservoir

2.1.1 Description. An important part of the watershed is the Turtle Creek Reservoir, the



cooling pond for the Merom Generating Station, owned and operated by Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. The reservoir has approximately 38 miles of shoreline, makes up 1,550 acres of the watershed, and is the first place where environmental effects were noted within the watershed. As a cooling pond, the reservoir is thermally enriched on a

year-round basis. Cooling water from the power plant discharges on the north end of the reservoir (eastern side). The power plant intake is on the east side of the reservoir, a little more south than the middle of the reservoir itself. The plant withdraws a maximum of 488.5 million gallons of water each day at full load. This equates to the entire volume of the reservoir cycling through the plant approximately every 8 to 9 days.

Certain unique and potentially determining characteristics the reservoir are that:

- Covering 1,550 acres, the reservoir has an average depth of 8.1 feet (down from 8.6 feet at the time of construction). With the depth of the original streambed in the region of 35 feet, this means that much of the reservoir is extremely shallow.
- The reservoir is located less than 2 miles from the town of Merom, Indiana and the Merom Bluffs, which overlook the Wabash River that is the border between Indiana and Illinois. The Bluff formation causes higher than normal low level winds across Sullivan County. As these winds concentrate up the bluffs and move east, white peaks are not uncommon on the Reservoir.
- The elevation drop between Merom and the reservoir is over 100 feet, resulting in very fast moving water across the western portion of the watershed.

While swimming is not allowed on the reservoir, Turtle Creek Reservoir is a very popular spot for boating, fishing and waterfowl hunting recreational activities. The reservoir is stocked with bluegill, redear sunfish, black crappie, largemouth bass and channel catfish and provides inseason hunting for both goose and duck. Many watershed stakeholders are concerned about the affect of poor watershed management on water quality within the Reservoir and its potential impacts on these recreational opportunities. Also, Bald Eagles, Golden Eagles and Great Blue Heron are often seen at the Reservoir. **2.1.2 Water quality problem.** Because it is the first recipient of most of the watershed's drainage area prior to discharge to the Wabash River, as well as the most visible aspect of the watershed, the Reservoir has been the focus of much of the initial assessment of the watershed. As noted earlier, a decrease in vegetation and in water clarity within the reservoir have been highly noticeable. According to monitoring data from EA Engineering, Science and Technology (prepared for Hoosier Energy REC, Inc.), there has been a "gradual and consistent decline in the aerial extent of aquatic macrophytes



[vascular plants] in Turtle Creek Reservoir" from 1993 to 1996. Since 1996, the foliage in the reservoir has consisted mainly of near-shore emergent vegetation.³ Their study also monitors fish populations in Turtle Creek Reservoir, and found that the largemouth bass catch during July seining has declined considerably since 1995. In 1999, and again in 2001, no largemouth bass were collected through seining at all – for the first time since monitoring began in 1981. The average catch of young-of-year (YOY) largemouth bass since 1981 has been 247; since 1996 it has dropped to 3 per year. EA's report lists reduced light penetration and herbicide runoff as "plausible causes" for the decline in macrophytes, and the lack of submerged macrophyte cover as a possible cause for the poor catch of YOY largemouth bass. The lack of macrophytes may be a direct deterrent to spawning, as these bass like to spawn in shallow, sheltered areas associated with aquatic vegetation.⁴

During the summer of 2001, Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. instituted a weekly monitoring program; taking secchi disk readings (among other measurements) at nine transect points throughout the reservoir. The average readings for each point over the 22 summer weeks are reported in the table below. The standard water clarity goal for healthy lakes is two meters (79 inches) in depth; as can be seen in the table, the water clarity throughout the reservoir is well below this goal.

U	Monitoring Point								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2001	18.41	18.23	18.91	28.50	19.32	18.55	15.09	17.32	18.00
2002	19.16	19.66	19.02	20.60	20.60	19.81	18.55	19.60	20.57
2003	20.92	20.05	20.66	20.16	19.61	18.70	18.08	19.92	20.05
2004	20.67	18.60	17.60	20.67	17.80	21.00	19.50	23.33	21.33
2005									

Table 1. Average depth of Secchi disk reading in inches from May-September

2.1.3. Cause for concern. The Partnership's primary concern regarding the cause of these issues is erosion. (See photographs 1 - 15 in Appendix D for visual evidence of severe erosion on Turtle Creek Reservoir). Erosion can be linked to the water quality issues described above. It is a major factor that can decrease water clarity, which in turn reduces the sunlight penetration, and may be causing the decrease in vegetation and fish spawning.

³ EA Engineering, Science and Technology. Final Report: Biological and Chemical Monitoring in Turtle Creek Reservoir near Merom Generating Station. July 2000.

⁴ Ibid.

The banks of Turtle Creek Reservoir are especially susceptible to erosion for at least two reasons: the strong winds common to the area, and the presence of highly erodable soil types. The Merom Bluffs are located just over 1.5 miles to the west of the reservoir; there is approximately a 100-foot drop in elevation between the Bluffs and the water. This topography causes an unusually high average wind speed blowing across the reservoir. Measurements taken at Merom Generating Plant's Ambient Air Station show that the average monthly maximum wind speed is 20.6 MPH, blowing mainly to the west and south. Calm winds were reported on average only about 5% of the time – indicating that wind blows fairly constantly across the reservoir. This constant wind, over such a large flat area of shallow water, creates powerful wave action on the reservoir that serves to speed up the natural processes of erosion.

According to U.S. Geological Survey soil maps, the dominant soil types found around the edges of Turtle Creek Reservoir are Alford Silt Loams, Iona Silt loams, and Cincinnati Silt Loams. When combined with steep slopes (such as those found at the edge of the reservoir), these soils have very high surface runoff potentials.



Erosion has been a worry for landowners since the reservoir was first put in; the constant wearing away of the land adjacent to the reservoir is now beginning to threaten home structures built on land which is now at the water's edge. One resident estimates that between 6 and 8 feet of land behind his property has eroded away in the past 20 years. Another resident on the west side of the reservoir suffering from extremely rapid

erosion in the past few years placed stakes and fencing at the shoreline in the summer of 2000 to block wave action; within only a year and a half, so much land has been washed away that the stakes are over a foot away from the shore.

In June 2000, watershed committee members toured the reservoir on pontoon boats to visually inspect the levels of bank erosion. Video footage was shot of the reservoir shoreline areas at this time to document erosion issues,⁵ and Appendix D contains pictures from this expedition. Areas that were not inspected in 2000 due to the inability of the pontoon boats to navigate in shallow water were characterized in July 2001 by committee members using a motorboat, which allowed for a closer view. These further observations aided in the completion of the map shown in Figure 5, which summarizes the state of bank erosion known within the reservoir.

Measurements of eroded bank heights and lengths estimated during the 2001 boat trip were used to calculate the level of sediment loading to the reservoir resulting from the severely eroded

⁵ This video footage is available from the Partnership Secretary upon request

areas (locations shown in pink in Figure 5). A total of 18,165 feet of bank were classified as severely eroded, with bank heights ranging from 1 to 12 feet. Using a spreadsheet program from IDEM⁶, it was calculated that 1350 tons/year of sediment are loaded into the reservoir due to these highly eroded areas alone.



Figure 5. Locations of different levels of erosion along the bank of Turtle Creek Reservoir

In order to assess the amount of sedimentation loading into the reservoir over a 20 year time period (the reservoir began to be filled in January of 1980), a bathymetric survey was completed in 2000 at the behest of Hoosier Energy, REC, Inc. and the results were shared with the Partnership. Results of the survey were as follows:

- Between 1980 and 2000, the amount of sediment within the reservoir has decreased the volumetric capacity of the reservoir by 7%;
- The vast majority of the sediment can be found in two places: within the original streambed of the Turtle Creek and at the dam;
- The average depth of the reservoir was reduced by 0.5 feet from 8.6 feet to 8.1 feet.

⁶ IDEM Load Reduction Calculation Program

Based on the bathymetric survey, the reservoir shows a significant level of sedimentation over a 20-year period. The amount of suspended sediment that is discharged from the dam to the Turtle Creek and ultimately into the Wabash River has not yet been determined. The watershed coordinator will work on this task in 2006.

While there is no way to determine whether the rate of shoreline erosion has remained constant or increased since the noticed reduction in vegetation, the amount of area eroded away from the shoreline since 1997 will be calculated using aerial photographs taken in 1997 and in March, 2002. This information will be included in this Plan when available.

2.2 Agricultural lands

Because the watershed primarily consists of agricultural land (60%), farming practices have a significant affect on the watershed. While agriculture has many benefits for the environment, such as providing habitat for wildlife and lack of impermeable areas, agricultural activities can also have adverse impacts on water quality. Poor management of crops and livestock can cause manure, pesticides, fertilizers and soil to enter streams and the reservoir, potentially causing harm to aquatic and human life. Flyover pictures after a rainfall event in the summer of 2000



show very clearly that sedimentation into the reservoir from cropland runoff is a serious problem. Photographs 4-9 in Appendix E provide visual evidence of this runoff sedimentation into the reservoir. Photographs 10-14 illustrate typical erosion patterns from agricultural fields within the watershed. Gully erosion is especially evident, in fields very close to streams that discharge into the reservoir.

2.2.1 Tillage practices. Because erosion and sedimentation into Turtle Creek Reservoir and its tributaries from agricultural land is a primary concern, farm fields within the watershed considered to be Highly Erodable Lands (HELs) were identified. HEL fields are defined by the USDA as having at least 1/3 of their field acreage in highly erodable soils. Highly erodable soils have been determined based on slope and erodability factors⁷. The highly erodable soil types found in Sullivan County are listed in Table 2.

 Table 2.
 Sullivan County Highly Erodable Soils List

Symbol	Name
AfC2	Alford silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded
AfC3	Alford silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
AfD2	Alford silt loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded
AfD3	Alford silt loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, severely eroded
AfE	Alford silt loam, 18 to 25 percent slopes
AfF	Alford silt loam, 25 to 50 percent slopes

⁷ Tammy Swihart, NRCS District Conservationist, Sullivan County Soil and Water Conservation District

AlB2	Ava silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded
AlB3	Ava silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded
BlD	Bloomfield loamy find sand, 12 to 18 percent slopes
BlF	Bloomfield loamy find sand, 18 to 40 percent slopes
CnB2	Cincinnati silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded
CnC2	Cincinnati silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded
CnC3	Cincinnati silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
CnD2	Cincinnati silt loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded
CnD3	Cincinnati silt loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, severely eroded
FsB	Fox sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes
Gu	Gullied land
HkE	Hickory silt loam, 18 to 25 percent slopes
HkF	Hickory silt loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes
HkF3	Hickory silt loam, 18 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded
HkG	Hickory silt loam, 35 to 50 percent slopes
IoB3	Iona silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded
MaB2	Markland silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded
MaD2	Markland silt loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded
MaE2	Markland silt loam, 18 to 25 percent slopes, eroded
Mn	Mine dumps
PaC3	Parke silt loam 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
PaD3	Parke silt loam 12 to 18 percent slopes, severely eroded
PrE2	Princeton fine sandy loam 18 to 25 percent slopes, eroded
PrG	Princeton fine sandy loam, 25 to 50 percent slopes
Rs	Rock land
St	Strip mines

Using this list, Soil Maps and aerial photographs provided by the Sullivan County Soil and Water Conservation District, it was determined that there are a total of 972.5 acres of HEL fields within the watershed. While only 7% of watershed cropland is officially considered HEL, other soil types in this area are also considered by the SCSWCD to be frequently problematic and must be considered on a case-by-case basis. These questionable soils are listed in Table 3. It should also be noted that the amount of erosion that occurs on a field is dependent on farming practices and land features in addition to soil type. A great deal of erosion control feature implementation is needed within the watershed to minimize adverse impacts.

Table 3. Potentially Erodable Soils in Sullivan County							
AdC	Ade loamy fine sand, 6 to 12 percent slopes						
MuB2	Muren silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded						
PrC2	Princeton fine sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded						
VgB2	Vigo silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes, eroded						
WrC2	Warsaw sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded						
AfB3	Ava silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded						

One important erosion control feature is conservation tillage, any tillage or planting system with 30% or more residue remaining on the soil surface after planting to reduce soil erosion by water and wind. This includes no-till, ridge-till, mulch till, etc. In the fall of 2001, the Partnership (with aid from the Sullivan County Water and Soil Conservation District) conducted a visual investigation of the watershed, sampling approximately half of the crop fields within the watershed and observing amount of residue left on the soil surface. Using this data as well as data from a transect survey conducted by the SCWSCD the previous spring, it was estimated that

only 41.4% of the fields in the watershed currently use conservation tillage. Continuing education and financial support for farmers is needed to encourage more conservation tillage.

2.2.2 Pesticides applied in the watershed. Pesticides are meant to limit insects and weeds from damaging crops. Ideally, they remain in the environment just long enough to control the pests and then break down into harmless compounds. However, in actuality, pesticides are often transported into water supplies before they can be broken down. To get an idea of how much pesticide is being used within the Turtle Creek Watershed, a rough estimation was calculated using the Purdue Extension's Guide for Watershed Partnerships.⁸ From the map in Figure 4, it was determined that the watershed's cropland acreage is approximately evenly split between corn and soybean production. Using data given in the guide, the following table was set up to estimate the pesticides applied within the watershed.

Сгор Туре	Crop acres in the watershed	X	Pesticide type	Fraction of acres treated in the state (1998 figures)	X	Average rate of application (lbs/acre) (1998 figures)	Ш	Estimated amount of pesticide applied (lbs)
			Atrazine	0.89		1.36		8915
Corn	7365	v	Metolachlor	0.42		2.04		6310
			Acetochlor	0.32		1.97		4643
			Primisulfuron	0.14		0.03		30.9
			Cyanazine	0.13 v		1.43		1369
Soybean		Λ	Glyphosate	0.55	Λ	0.85	_	3443
			Chlorimuronethyl	0.27		0.02		39.8
	7365		2,4-D	0.26		0.39		747
			Imazethapyr	0.25		0.04		73.7
			Paraquat	0.19		0.89		1245
						Tot	al:	26,816

Table 4. Estimate of Pesticides Applied in Turtle Creek Watershed

2.2.3 Fertilizers applied in the watershed. Fertilizers, while providing necessary nutrients for crop growth, can cause water quality problems when in excess in the environment. The two nutrients of most concern in watershed management are nitrogen and phosphorus. Nitrogen degrades into nitrate, which can cause health concerns in ground water. In fields that are drained, nitrate can flow directly into ditches and streams through field tiles. Phosphorus is transported by attaching to soil particles that are eroded away from fields. High levels of these nutrients in waterbodies cause an overgrowth of algae and aquatic plants. While this is not currently a problem in Turtle Creek Reservoir, nutrient levels are an important aspect that must be continually monitored.

⁸ Alyson Faulkenburg and Jane Frankenberger. Watershed Inventory Tool for Indiana: A Guide for Watershed Partnerships. Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Purdue University.

To estimate the amount of fertilizer applied in the Turtle Creek Watershed, fertilizer sales data were obtained from the Office of Indiana State Chemist⁹. Calculations are shown in Table 5. The newest Indiana Fertilizer Tonnage Report has been ordered and this information will be updated when it is received.

County	Fraction of the county in the	X	Total N (to	utrients ons)	X 2,000	Nutrie watersho	nts in ed (lbs)
	watershed ¹⁰		Nitrogen	P ₂ O ₅	105/1011	Nitrogen	P ₂ O ₅
Sullivan	.08573	Х	5,284	2,020	X 2,000	905,995	346,349

Table 5. Estimation of Fertilizer amounts using fertilizer sales

2.2.4. Livestock. Livestock manure is an important source of nitrogen, phosphorus and *E. coli*, a bacterial indicator of the presence of potentially harmful pathogens. To estimate the numbers of animals in the watershed, the numbers of animals in Sullivan county were gathered from the Indiana Agricultural Statistics Service's webpage¹¹ and multiplied by the fraction of the county that is in the watershed. These calculations are shown in Table 6.

Livestock	Number of Animals in the County	X	Fraction of the county in the watershed	=	Number of animals in the watershed
Beef Cattle	2,300				197
Dairy Cattle	2,400				206
Swine	11,159	Х	.08573	=	957
Chickens	67				20
Turkeys	unknown				-

Table 6. Number of animals in the Turtle Creek Watershed based on Indiana agricultural statistics

The Beef and Dairy Cows number is from January 2004. Partnership members familiar with farming practices in the watershed area indicated that the estimate for dairy cattle is most likely off by a factor of 10; a closer estimate for this part of the county would be 49. Therefore the estimate has been reduced for all future purposes. The number of Hogs is from 2002. According to the Indiana Agricultural Statistics Service there are only 67 Chickens in the county, however, the watershed coordinator knows of at least 20 chickens in the watershed. Also, the number of turkeys in the county is unknown, but there is a CAFO in the watershed that raises tom turkeys and they have approximately 20,000 toms.

In order to determine the amount of manure and nutrients produced within the watershed, Table 7 was set up using data also from Purdue's Guide.

⁹ Office of Indiana State Chemist. Indiana Fertilizer Tonnage Report. January 1 – December 31, 2000.

¹⁰ The watershed's 24,540 acres make up 8.57% of Sullivan county's 286,224 total acres

¹¹ Indiana Agricultural Statistics Service. http://www.nass.usda.gov/in/annbul/9900/sullivan.txt

Livestock	Number of animals	X	Avg. amount of manure produced	II	Amount of manure produced (lb/day)	X	Fraction o a pound Nitrogen	of nutrients in of manure Phosphorus	=	Pounds of N in the manure	Pounds of P in the manure
Beef Cattle	197		75 lb/day		14,775		0.008	0.0065		118.2	96.04
Dairy Cattle	49		115 lb/day		5,635		0.0045	0.002		25.4	11.3
Swine	957	Х	11.7 lb/day	=	11,197	Х	0.0045	0.004	=	50.39	44.79
Turkeys	20,000										
	Total amount of manure produced:				Total amount of nutrients in the manure:						

Table 7. Manure produced by animals in the Turtle Creek Watershed

2.3 Streams

The Turtle Creek/Little Turtle Creek Watershed is defined by the streams that flow into the



Turtle Creek Reservoir or Little Turtle Creek. There are approximately 70 miles of streams found within the watershed. Streams and ditches are an important part of a watershed because they are the primary transporters of water through the area. In order to maintain water quality, it is necessary to monitor the conditions of the streams.

2.3.1. Impaired Streams. Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires Indiana to identify waters that do not meet water quality standards. The streams that do not meet these standards are found on the 303(d) list maintained by IDEM and found on their website¹². According to this website, no streams within the Turtle Creek Watershed are found on this list.

¹² Indiana Department of Environmental Management. "1998 303(d) List of Impaired Waterbodies" http://www.ai.org/idem/owm/planbr/wqs/303dlst.htm

2.3.2. Riparian Buffers. Riparian buffers are strips of vegetation, such as trees, next to a stream that filter polluted runoff and provide a transition zone between water and human use. The larger this area of vegetation, the better for the stream habitat. The recommended width of these buffer areas varies depending on specific site characteristics, but is typically at least two active channel widths on each side¹³. Using aerial photographs taken in 1997, Partnership members assessed the amount of streams in the watershed with sufficient buffer and identified the areas where no buffer exists. (A map describing these locations is found in Appendix F.) It was determined that of the 70 miles of streams:

- 19 miles (27%) are sufficiently buffered
- 13 miles (19%) are lacking a buffer
- 38 miles (54%) are undeterminable using the maps

A visual assessment of the streams within the watershed was performed by a Partnership member and technical advisor in August, 2001. Based on an assessment protocol from the USDA¹⁴ concerning characteristics such as channel condition, hydrologic alteration, riparian zone, bank stability, water appearance, nutrient enrichment, instream fish cover, pools, invertebrate habitat, canopy cover, riffle embeddedness, most of the streams viewed were judged to be in good condition. It must be noted that due to the time of year, the water level in many of the streams was severely low (some completely dry.) This allowed for the observation of a great deal of silt build-up in many streambeds, presumably a product of runoff from nearby crop fields. Cases of bank and gully erosion as well as high levels of nutrient enrichment were noted at several spots. It was concluded that improvements are needed to increase the amount of vegetative buffer around these areas to decrease the amount of runoff and further stabilize banks from erosion.

In the next two years, the watershed coordinator will work towards completing a biological assessment of the streams in the watershed. The committee will choose the locations to monitor. This will require contacting landowners for permission to access the streams from their land. The Hoosier Riverwatch suggested methods will be used and the data will be entered into their database along with the Watershed Management Plan.

2.3.3 Trash and Litter. A great deal of trash was seen in many of the streams, including items from large kitchen appliances to fast food containers. This garbage impairs the natural beauty of the surroundings and can be a nuisance to wildlife. Clean-up activities and litter-prevention education would help to reduce this problem.

¹³ United States Department of Agriculture. *Stream Visual Assessment Protocol*. National Water and Climate Center Technical Note 99-1. December, 1998.

¹⁴ United States Department of Agriculture. *Stream Visual Assessment Protocol*. National Water and Climate Center Technical Note 99-1. December, 1998.

2.4 Forestland

Forestlands are a significant natural resource within the Turtle Creek Watershed. Forests play a



role in everyone's lives and are important to the functioning of the environment as a whole. Forests provide wildlife habitat, wood products, firewood, mushrooms, and places to hunt,

hike and camp. They reduce noise, clean the air, produce oxygen, and protect and improve water quality. Woodlands occupy approximately 3,280 acres in the Turtle Creek Watershed, or 13% of the total area. For the most part, wooded areas are scattered throughout the watershed and located along the numerous streams that drain into Turtle Creek Reservoir.

2.4.1 Timber Harvesting. The majority of woodlands are owned privately. Woodlands are a renewable resource; proper management provides the landowner an excellent economic return while keeping all the benefits listed earlier. One well managed hardwood forest study showed a yield increase of \$184/acre/year in the timber value, with the timber volume nearly doubling in twelve years.¹⁵ Another study showed \$183/acre/year through fourteen growing seasons. The harvested tops brought in an additional \$16,000 in firewood sales.¹⁶ Over a period of fifteen years, a southeast farm in Indiana had an annual compound rate of return of 13.7%.¹⁷ Because logging can be a fairly lucrative activity, it is important for landowners to be aware of the best management practices (BMPs) when involved in timber harvesting. The use of BMPs by loggers, landowners and land managers offers the greatest potential to reduce nonpoint source pollution and improve the quality of our watershed.

2.4.2. Classified Forests. The Indiana Department of Natural Resources' Classified Forest Program is specifically designed to help keep Indiana's private forests intact. It allows landowners with at least 10 acres of forest to set it aside and to remain as forest. In return for meeting program guidelines, landowners receive property tax breaks, forestry literature and periodic free inspections by a professional forester while the forest is enrolled in the program.¹⁸ As of the year 2000, there are roughly 300 acres of classified forest located within the watershed¹⁹. Increasing the amount of classified forest land within the watershed can be one way the Partnership helps to promote retention and stewardship of private forest land. The watershed

¹⁵ Marshal County Mill Pond Demonstration Woodland 1999 Report

¹⁶ Wakeland Forestry Consultants; The Luke Woods, 1996

¹⁷ Southfork Tree Farms, 1997

¹⁸ Indiana Department of Natural Resources Division of Forestry. "Classified Forest Program." http://www.state.in.us/dnr/forestry/landassist/clasfor.htm> Last updated April 17, 2000.

¹⁹ Estimated from a map of classified forest locations supplied by Rex Gambill, District Forester, DNR

coordinator is currently working with District Forester Jeremiah Lemmons to find the number of acres enrolled in the Classified Forest Program. Their goal is to determine the number of acres still eligible for the program, but not enrolled. The plan will be updated as that information is determined.

2.4.3. Riparian Buffers. Forests are the best protectors of watershed, so as mentioned in the previous section, it is important to increase the forested buffer areas around streams so as to filter polluted runoff and reduce nonpoint source pollution entering the area's waterways. Information regarding the importance of riparian buffers will be included in the biannual newsletter to landowners in the watershed.

2.5 Developed/Residential Areas

Approximately 385 acres of the watershed are taken up by urban areas. Development is mainly concentrated in the towns of Merom and Graysville as well as at the Merom Generating Station. Other structures include farmhouses and buildings scattered throughout the watershed. The population of Sullivan County increased by 14.5% between 1990 and 2000²⁰. Similar trends are expected within the watershed in the near future.



2.5.1. Bacterial contamination. An issue of concern to Partnership members is that none of the residencies within the watershed is connected to a sanitary sewer system, and several lack septic tanks. The vast majority of these homes are greater than 20 years in age. Bacterial and pathogenic contamination originating from fecal matter from these residences can be transported by streams into the reservoir; septage has been visually identified in storm drains that empty into the reservoir. To investigate this issue, Partnership members took water samples at stream outlets from within the reservoir and tested the samples for the presence of coliform bacteria, an indicator of the presence of disease causing organisms (test procedures and sampling locations can be found in Appendix G). Out of the twelve samples taken, five showed no evidence of coliform bacteria, while results for samples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 were inconclusive (growth on agar dishes was noted, but colonies did not resemble typical coliform growth). It must also be noted that samples were taken during August, when streams are fairly dry; further sampling and testing at other times of the year is recommended.

2.5.2. Oil and Gas Well Contamination. Based on map data from the Indiana Department of Conservation Geological Survey (1998), there are approximately: 12 active oil wells, 157 abandoned oil wells, and 6 active gas wells, 27 abandoned gas wells and 7 abandoned water repressuring or brine disposal wells located within the Turtle Creek Watershed. The locations of

²⁰ U.S. Census Bureau. Sullivan County, Indiana. < http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/18/18153.html>

these can be seen in the map in Appendix H. A potential but yet uninvestigated concern is that leakage from these sites, especially if the abandoned wells were not closed correctly, may be contaminating water within the watershed. Future evaluation of these potential pollutant sources is necessary to determine whether any remediation work needs to be done. How this will be accomplished will be added to the Watershed Management Plan in the future.

3.0 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Based on the information gathered in the above assessment, the following water quality improvement and protection goals were determined. They have been listed *in order of priority*.

3.1 Goal #1: Increase the water clarity at Turtle Creek Reservoir from 0.5 meters to reach a 1.0-meter depth by 2006 by reducing the overall sediment load into the streams and reservoir and implementing conservation practices.



3.1.1 Objective: Install four different types of stream bank stabilization practices for a demonstration area by July 2002.

Target Area: The geographic area to be stabilized is the shoreline next to the Gettinger property on the west side of the reservoir. This area was chosen as a priority because of the severity of the erosion problem there.



The purpose of this privately funded demonstration is to analyze the effectiveness of several kinds of stabilization techniques in order to determine the best methods to use on other areas in the reservoir. We are looking for methods that are the most cost effective, require the least maintenance, are

environmentally friendly, provide habitat for wildlife, and are aesthetically pleasing so that landowners will be in favor of installation. Plans are currently underway to install at least four different bio-engineered bank stabilization methods (coconut fiber logs, soil encapsulated lifts, cribwalls and bank resloping) on a 750-foot strip of severely eroding bank on the western side of the reservoir. Each method will be installed in two separate locations to assess how well each stands up to different types of wave action.

Action Items (completed):

- **Receive DNR's Flood Control Permit.** An application has been submitted to the DNR's Division of Water for permission to construct in a floodway.
- Section 404 Permit. An application has been submitted to the Army Corps of Engineers.
- **IDEM Permit.** IDEM has been contacted about requirements for necessary permits.
- **Hire Contractor for construction work and purchase materials.** Expected cost of construction is \$50,000.
- The project was completed in fall of 2002 and adjusted in the summer of 2003. The four shoreline stabilization practices were installed in the fall of 2002, with varying success. Based on the outcome of these practices, some of the areas (willow plantings, biologs and crib walls) had to be replaced with more productive measures. In the case of the willow wattles, they could not withstand

the wave action. In regards to the biologs and crib walls, the elevation of the lake was not taken into account, so those areas were replaced with the same practices, just at a higher elevation. Areas with the soil lifts and biologs also received a riprap toe.

3.1.2. Objective: Continue installation of bank stabilization measures throughout the next five years at the rate of 1 mile of shore stabilized per year.Target Area: The geographic areas of priority are those banks with the most severe erosion problems (see map in Figure 5) and those threatening residential areas.

Approximately 1 mile per year of bank will be stabilized using bio-engineered techniques chosen from the Demonstration Project. The most severely eroded areas will be stabilized first. The permits obtained for the Demonstration Project also cover this work; all permits will be renewed as necessary. Community volunteer groups will be recruited to help in the construction process to reduce labor costs.

Action Items (completed): The Partnership Coordinator carried out these action items.

- Notification and permission from landowners. After determining proposed project sites, landowners were contacted and permission requested for shoreline construction.
- **Site Designs.** Working with the contractor, consultant and supplier, site designs were established for each of the shoreline sites.
- **Construction.** Contractors were hired and supplies purchased. By the fall of 2005, 6,051 lineal feet of shoreline on 8 different properties have been stabilized using biologs, crib walls, soil lifts and gabion baskets.

Action Items (ongoing):

- **Continue shoreline stabilization projects.** The Partnership will continue to work with landowners of highly eroded shoreline to install stabilization practices on the shoreline of the reservoir.
- 3.1.3. Objective: Increase best management practices on cropland and conservation tillage from 41.4% (6098 acres) to 60% (8838 acres) by 2005
 Target Area: We will prioritize HEL farmland for implementation of BMPs and conservation tillage.



Because so much of the cropland in the reservoir is made up of highly erodable soils (as described in section 2.2), to reach these objectives the Partnership will work with farmers and landowners to:

- Determine which individual landowners have conservation plans, and determine stage of implementation with the plans. This will assist in the implementation and management of practices already in place as well as to determine sources of effective controls that can be brought to the attention of other stakeholders.
- Have soil conservation assessments conducted on individual properties to determine the types of erosion control practices that would be most effective. The focus will be on long-term strategies: methods that remain in place from year to year.

- Determine areas where erosion control measures would reduce soil loss to the T level²¹.
- Identify land where BMP's have been implemented and determine status of controls. Perform annual visits to these properties to assess the success of the method and its continued practice. This will insure that erosion control features remain intact and continue to be effective
- Assist NRCS in applying for annual EQIP funding. This will assist farmers within the watershed who wish to install conservation tillage and best management practices on their cropland

Action Items (completed):

- By the spring of 2005, the Partnership worked with 9 different landowners to install Best Management Practices on fields (totaling approximately 526.2 acres) containing highly erodable soils. The implementation of these practices prevents 2545.5 tons/year of sediment (based on IDEM's load reduction estimates) from entering the waterways.
- Worked with the Sullivan County Commissioners to cost share 2 streambank projects. These two projects prevent 50.7 tons/year of sediment (based on IDEM's load reduction estimates) from entering the waterways.

Action Items (to be completed):

- The Partnership will look at the watershed after harvest in 2005 and determine what percentage was conservation tillage the past 2 years. We will work with the NRCS District Conservationist to determine how many acres were put into conservation plans for cost-share since the watershed plan was written, especially counting the nutrient and pest management acreage.
- **3.1.4 Objective:** Increase vegetative buffers along the streams from 27% to 46% by 2007 by providing educational materials to landowners along with working with the NRCS to promote cost share funding available for buffers.

Target Area: The streams shown in the map Appendix F to be insufficiently buffered will be our priority target areas to implement vegetative buffers.



It is important for the Partnership to ensure that all streams within the watershed have a riparian buffer zone of at least twice the width of the active channel on each side of the stream.

Action Items (ongoing):

• Discuss need for riparian buffers with affected landowners. Provide educational materials. The Coordinator will be responsible for completing this task.

²¹ The T by 2000 program is designed to address effects of erosion in watersheds. The program is carried out locally through Soil and Water Conservation Districts which work with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources Division of Soil Conservation, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, and the Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service to resolve sedimentation problems through volunteer monitoring efforts and public information and education activities

- Apply for grants to aid in cost of implementing buffers. We hope to offer 50 75% cost share to landowners, as funding allows.
- Work with the District Conservationist to determine how many buffers were and will be added in our watershed due to the Conservation Security Program, which awards landowners for buffers.
- **3.1.5 Objective:** Determine the status (sufficient or needing buffering) of the remaining 54% of the streams throughout the watershed by 2006.

Target Area: The streams shown in the map in Appendix F to be undetermined will be priority targeted for inspection.

Action Items (to be completed):

- Obtain up-to-date, high-quality aerial photographs of the watershed to identify presence of vegetated areas around streams. These can be from another flyover or through satellite imagery. Estimated cost: \$1500. We anticipate private funding for this activity. The Partnership Secretary is currently working on this task to be completed by the end of 2005.
- Perform stream walks to verify findings with actual field data.

3.2 Goal #2: Educate the watershed community about natural resource conservation through involvement, information and communication



Because water quality issues are so broad and involve a wide variety of stakeholders, improvements within the watershed as a whole require the participation of the entire community. Our goal is to establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information and communication.

3.2.1 Objective: Provide a public field day to showcase the different conservation practices available throughout the watershed by August 2002.

Target Area: The field day will be held at the Demonstration area described above.

Action Items (completed):

Field Days: The field day took place in August of 2002 to highlight the stabilization practices of the demonstration area. Additionally, another field day took place in August of 2003 to highlight the adjustments made to the initial demonstration site and evaluate and discuss the success of those corrections. Contractors and other technical advisors were on hand to discuss advantages and disadvantages of erosion control and answer any questions. This event stimulated additional interest in the Partnership and kicked off the beginning of our efforts to stabilize all of the other severely eroded areas around the reservoir. Based on the results of this demonstration site, the Partnership was able to determine practices to be used on shoreline sites throughout the reservoir.

3.2.2 Objective: Inform the watershed community of cost-share projects and successes of the Partnership.

Action Items (ongoing):

- The secretary for the Partnership for Turtle Creek will administer mailing of notices and newspaper announcements.
- The Annual Meeting in August, which summarizes all the accomplishments of the watershed group throughout the past year, has brought persons that do not wish to come to monthly meetings; therefore this meeting will be highlighted by sending invitation letters to all stakeholders. Tours of agriculture cost-share and shoreline stabilization projects are given.
- Develop a newsletter by October 2005 that will be mailed to all stakeholders biannually. The newsletter will contain information on the status of implementing the watershed plan, along with other fun and pertinent news within the watershed. The newsletter will focus on two best management practices; riparian buffers and bacterial contamination.

The newsletter will include a survey that will help measure the success of the Partnership. It will have questions regarding installation of BMP's and changes in attitude/habits.

3.2.3 Objective: Involve the local news media to help inform the watershed community about project progress and upcoming activities.

Action Items (ongoing):

- Notification of meeting date, time and location will be placed in the Sullivan Times each month – targeting the Friday edition before the Tuesday meeting (the Friday edition of the Sullivan Times has a section on what is up-coming for the next week).
- Invite media to the Annual Meeting
- Ensure that the media is informed about the watershed and assist in distributing information to the public. This will ensure that the Partnership receives the input of all interested parties who could contribute to the process.
- **3.2.4 Objective:** Provide Sullivan County educators with tools for watershed education.



It is important to educate the entire community on the importance of watershed management, not only as a tool to improve water quality, but also as a method of stabilizing soils, chemicals and nutrients to improve the quality of personal property.

Action Items (ongoing):

• Work with the Sullivan County schools to inform science teachers about the importance of discussing watershed management within any water or erosion curriculum. The Partnership will pursue funding to provide written information such as curriculum tools, videos and other education materials to each science

teacher in Sullivan County. A Partnership copy of these materials will be made available for loan until this is accomplished.

- Work with the Sullivan County Soil and Water Conservation District to implement watershed management materials in their education projects. The Partnership will participate in the annual rafting trip by all Sullivan County 8th grade students. As part of the program, watershed information (identifying what a watershed is, looking at sources of erosion, etc) has been incorporated into the activities for the raft trip. The Partnership will participate in annual "field days" conducted by the Service. This will include a watershed demonstration for students attending the field day.
- Work with the DNR, Division of Reclamation's annual Earth Day celebration by helping with activities, including a watershed demonstration by the watershed coordinator.
- **3.2.5 Objective:** Participate in community activities to bring watershed planning to the general public.

Action Items (ongoing):

- Participation in the annual Sullivan County Ag Day, Earth Day with the DNR Division of Reclamation, Sullivan 4-H Fair, the Merom Chautauqua and other local events. The Partnership will pursue funding to rent booths at appropriate activities to display and distribute watershed specific materials to the public.
- Meeting with local elected officials at the events
- Success is measured by the amount of people who visit the display, the number of children who recognize who the watershed coordinator is, what he/she does, and the importance of the watershed model. Many children in the community have seen the watershed model (either 3-D or the tarp demonstration) and can explain to their younger sibling what it represents.
- Co-sponsorship of Drainage and No-Till Workshops
- Hosting Hoosier Riverwatch Training Workshops
- **3.2.6 Objective:** Organize events like roadside/streambank trash pickups and community treeplantings to promote community involvement and environmental stewardship.

Action Items (ongoing):

- Identify highly problematic areas for trash pick-up and where trees would be helpful for erosion control. All Partnership members can help in this.
- Contact community groups and arrange periodic events. Possible participating
 organizations include scout troops, 4-H clubs and the Wabash Valley Correctional
 Facility. Various Partnership members who are associated with these
 organizations will aid in the scheduling of these events.

3.2.7. Objective: Hold an Annual Meeting in August every year for the life of the Partnership

Action Items (ongoing)

- Partnership officers will develop an annual presentation of the year's accomplishments and plans for the upcoming year
- Secretary will send out invitations to all landowners in the watershed, government officials and other stakeholders
- Tours of agriculture cost-share and shoreline stabilization projects are given.

3.3 Goal #3: Provide continuous focus and effort towards the activities of the Partnership, so that the goals are accomplished in an efficient and organized manner.

3.3.1 Objective: Hire a watershed coordinator through the 319 Non-Point Source grant program by 2003.

The person filling this position will be responsible for:

- o Taking the lead in evaluation and implementation of all Partnership projects
- Investigation of all further water quality concerns within the watershed and implementation of proper remediation methods
- Communicating with landowners and other stakeholders to notify them of the importance of and opportunities for erosion control and other BMPs
- Ensuring organization and coordination among Partnership subcommittees
- o Ongoing monitoring of project accomplishments and water quality indicators
- Serving as contact person and providing information to all interested parties

Action Items (completed):

• A watershed coordinator was hired and began in February of 2003. She will maintain her position throughout the duration of the grant.

Action Items (ongoing):

 Secure funding to provide salary for this position (presently: 319 Grant). However, future funding resources will also need to be pursued to continue this position.

3.3.2 Objective: Apply for conservation funding through state, federal, and private sources

To be able to fund all activities carried out by the Partnership in order to complete the above listed objectives, the Partnership will investigate various funding sources. Funding will be critical to ensure that the goals of the Partnership for Turtle Creek are achieved. This includes funding for the Partnership itself for educational materials and operating expenses, funding for any specific control projects the Partnership seeks to have implemented, as well as providing information to interested stakeholders on obtaining funding for their control projects.

Action items (completed):

- The Grant Writing Subcommittee developed applications and submitted them to the appropriate agencies. The Partnership for Turtle Creek applied for the following grants in 2002:
 - A 319 grant for educational materials, water quality demonstrations, and watershed coordinator.
 - The Lake and River Enhancement (LARE) Program to assist in shoreline stabilization and other issues of concern and cost share incentives for implementing conservation practices on private lands.
 - The Natural Resources Conservation Service for continued EQIP funding (which was obtained for the watershed in 2000 and 2001).
 - Other programs as deemed appropriate

The Sullivan County Soil and Water Conservation District designation was used to apply for EQIP, 319, and LARE grants because the Partnership for Turtle Creek is not designated as a corporation or other entity.

- In addition, the Grant Writing Subcommittee will gather information on various grants available to individual stakeholders within the watershed. This will include copies of applications and any pertinent information gathered by the Partnership.
- In order to provide cost-share funds from grant money received by the Partnership to landowners implementing erosion control measures and BMPs, a list of criteria has been drafted that landowners must meet. This information required in their application for cost-share assistance is found in Appendix I.

3.4 Implementation Schedule for Partnership Goals

The Partnership for Turtle Creek Watershed Committee has adopted the following implementation schedule (Table 8) through 2006 as a goal for improving water quality and educating the community on watersheds within the Turtle and Little Turtle Creek Watershed. Planned completion dates are listed with room for actual implementation dates to be added. Additional targets will be incorporated as the Watershed Management Plan is annually updated.

Target			
Completion Date	Activity	Completion Date	
Spring, 2001	Ensure local farmers are aware of EQIP funding in	5-01	
	watershed for 2001		
Spring, 2001	Choose Demonstration Area Location	5-01	
10-1-01	Design plans created for demonstration area	11-15-01	
	Apply for applicable permits		
7-01-01	- DNR	Approved, 10-15-01	
9-15-01	- Corp of Engineers	Approved, 12-10-01	
10-15-01	- IDEM	Approved, 11-26-01	
Winter, 2001-2002	Prioritize other shoreline areas for stabilization	1-02	
Fall, 2001	Assist with application for 2002 EQIP funding	9-7-01	
3-16-2002	Watershed display at Ag Day breakfast	3-16-2002	
Spring, 2002	Implement Demonstration Area (Private funding)	Summer 2003	
6-1-2002	Advertise for Watershed Coordinator (dependent on	Winter 2003	

 Table 8.
 Implementation Schedule

	grant funding)	
6-7,8,9-2002	Watershed display at Merom Chautauqua	6/9/02
Summer, 2002	Second demonstration project construction	Fall 2003
	(Private funding)	
7-20 to 26, 2002	Watershed display at Sullivan 4-H Fair	6/26/02
8-13-2002	Annual Watershed Meeting and Field Day at	8/13/03
	demonstration area(s)	
8-15-2002	Begin formal application procedure for matching	August 2002
	funds for erosion control measures	
9-1-2002	Hire Watershed Coordinator	1/03
9-1-2002	Award grants to 1 st round participants	1/04
9-1-2002	Begin formal educational efforts in Sullivan Schools	Spring/03
Fall, 2002	Continue evaluation of watershed for additional	Summer 2003
	opportunities; streamwalks.	
October, 2002	Meet with Merom Town Board	October 2002
October, 2002	Meet with Graysville residents at public meeting	October 2002
October, 2002	Continue evaluation of education materials already	Spring 2003
	available and begin development of Turtle Creek	
	specific materials	
December, 2002	Begin formal application procedure for matching	Spring 2003
	funds for 2 nd round of erosion control measures	
February 3, 2003	First day of Watershed Coordinator	2/3/03
March 8, 2003	Ag Day Breakfast: display and watershed	3/08/03
	presentation	4.11.0.10.0
April 19, 2003	Participation in Sullivan Earth Day	4/19/03
April 2003	Speak at Kiwanas about Watersheds	April 2003
April 2003	All month, display at main Sullivan library for Earth	April 2003
	Day month. Presentation	(10.10.2
June 2003	Merom Chautauqua	6/8/03
July 2003	Sullivan 4-H Fair display	//04
August 12, 2003	Annual Meeting for Watershed Committee	8/12/03
August 2003	Submit permit application for second demonstration	9/8/03
Contombor 2002	project Desig 2 nd shoreling stabilization	9/0/02
September 2003	Begin 2 Shorenne stabilization	8/9/03
September 0 2005	Niwallas Palicake Dieaklast	9/0/05
March 2004	300^{3} grade students	5/04
April 2004	Participation in Sullivan Earth Day	4/04
April 2004	Morom Chautaugua	4/04 6/04
Julie 2004	Sulliver 4 II Foir dienley	0/04
July 2004	Sumvan 4-H Fair display	//04
August 2004	Annual Meeting for Watershed Committee	8/04
December 2004	3-D Watershed Model Demo at Aurora High	12/15/04
February 2005	Drainage and Nitrate Leaching Workshop	2/1/05
February 2005	Conservation Security Program Meeting	2/9/05
March 2005	3rd grade Ag Day watershed presentation for	3/11/05

	approx. 300 3rd grade students	
March 2005	Ag Day display at Sullivan Fairgrounds -over 1000 people attended and walked by the display	3/12/05
March 2005	Grant Writing Workshop	3/2/05
April 2005	Participation in Division of Reclamation Earth Day	4/22/05
April 2005	Trash pick up in watershed	4/5, 4/6/05
April 2005	USDA Media Crew at Watershed	4/25/05
April 2005	Excellence in Conservation Award Presentation	4/26/05
May 2005	Dugger Kindergarten Watershed Demonstration	5/5/05
May 2005	Sullivan 6 th graders -watershed demonstration	5/12, 5/13/05
June 2005	New watershed coordinator, Riverwatch Training	6/2/05
June 2005	Streambank Stabilization Workshop	6/15, 6/16/05
June 2005	Merom Chautauqua	6/3, 6/4, 6/5/05
June 2005	Host Hoosier Riverwatch Training Workshop	6/24/05
June 2005	Catfish Rodeo	6/25/05
July 2005	Sullivan 4-H Fair display	7/15-7/24/05
August 2005	Annual Meeting for Watershed Committee	8/9/05
September 2005	Trash pick up in watershed	9/12 & 9/14/05
October 2005	8 th grade Wabash River Raft Trip -watershed demo	10/4, 10/5, 10/6/05
November 2005	Development of newsletter	
December 2005	New webpage designed	
February 2005	No-till/conservation/bmp workshop	
March 2006	3rd grade Ag Day watershed presentation for approx. 300 3rd grade students	
March 2006	Ag Day breakfast display	
April 2006	Participation in Division of Reclamation Earth Day	
May 2006	Watershed demonstrations in schools	
June 2006	Merom Chautauqua Display	
July 2006	Sullivan 4-H Fair display	
August 2006	Annual Meeting for Watershed Committee	
August 2006	Host Hoosier Riverwatch Workshop	
September 2006	Corn Festival Display	
October 2006	8 th grade Wabash River Raft Trip -watershed demo	

Monthly steering committee meetings will discuss and review any recent progress toward meeting goals. These accomplishments are recorded in the meeting minutes, which are kept by the Partnership secretary and distributed at the following meeting.

3.5 Action Register

In order to track actions and achievements of the Partnership's goals, an Action Register has been included in Appendix J. When an event or action is suggested that furthers the

accomplishment of one of the above-listed goals and/or objectives, an Action Register form will be filled out by the Partnership member responsible for the action. Actions will be reviewed at the following steering committee meeting to evaluate success and schedule any necessary follow-up action. The completed forms will be added to the Management Plan once they are reviewed. This register, as well as meeting minutes, will be used in the annual update of goals, objectives and accomplishments as listed in the Management Plan.

4.0 MONITORING AND EVALUATION

4.1. Shoreline Stabilization. Monitoring the effectiveness of the shoreline stabilization methods involves walking the restored areas of the reservoir in the spring and fall for the first two years after construction. The Partnership Steering Committee will carry out semi-annual inspections. Also, the construction areas are located in areas directly visible from the landowners' residence, so any problems will be immediately reported. Periodic inspections will ensure that:

- The native vegetation planted is continuously growing more dense
- Any fabrics should maintain their integrity for their predicted lifespan until the ground surface is completely covered. Ripped fabric sections, or places where the fabric has been undermined by erosion will be repaired immediately and re-seeded.
- Logs, wattles, and other structures should still be in the same location as originally placed, and not undermined or eroded.
- Cuttings should be sprouting after the first growing season. Repairs might include live staking in locations where cuttings have failed or maintenance of any rill and gully erosion using seed and erosion control blankets.

Achieving our objective will be measured by the number of feet of shoreline stabilized each year. (We aim to complete 1 mile of shoreline stabilization per year.) Bank stabilization methods will be judged successful based on construction standards provided by consultant, as well as by a noticeable decrease in shoreline loss over time. Tons of sediment saved from erosion will be calculated using IDEM's Load Reduction Calculation Program. Using this program, it was estimated that 47 tons/year of sediment loading will be prevented by completing the Demonstration Project.

Success will also be measured by taking monthly secchi disk readings at the reservoir. The monitoring will take place at locations where stabilization has been completed and areas that are still eroding. Data will be submitted along with the quarterly reports. See Appendix M for data from 2000.

4.2. Agricultural Land. BMP's will be monitored using the standards and guidelines provided by the NRCS for each particular type of practice. The Coordinator will be responsible for ensuring that each area is inspected at least once annually. Landowners must agree to maintain all conservation features for a minimum of ten years.

Success at achieving our goal will be measured by the percentage of watershed cropland farmed using conservation tillage or best management practices installed. Records will be kept yearly and this number should continue to increase through Partnership efforts. Our aim is to reach a 20% increase within five years in the amount of farmland maintaining BMPs. The amount of sediment and nutrient load reduction as a result of the implementation of BMPs will be calculated using the IDEM Load Reduction Estimate. These results are located in Appendix L.

4.3 Biological & Chemical Monitoring. Using a synoptic approach, streams in the watershed will be monitored using Hoosier Riverwatch Biological & Chemical Monitoring Methods

and Standards. See Appendix N for a map of the sampling locations. Each point will be monitored monthly.

Also, a few sites will be targeted in the areas where we hope to install BMP's, so we will have both upstream and downstream data to compare to after the BMP is installed. See Appendix N for map of monitoring sites, quality control plan and Hoosier Riverwatch method of biological and chemical monitoring.

4.4 Riparian Buffer Zones. Monitoring and maintenance of riparian buffer areas involves an inspection of the plantings after rainstorms and regularly every two weeks for the first two months, then once a month for the first 6 months, then every six months for 2 years. Frequent inspection will be the responsibility of the landowner; semi-annual inspections will be made by the Partnership Steering Committee. Stressed or failed plants must be replaced, invasive species and weeds must be removed, and wildlife browsing must be prevented. Replanting will be necessary if the buffer is subjected to prolonged high water, drought, or ice damage before the plants are fully established. The landowner will be required by contract to leave the riparian zone undisturbed and to avoid using fertilizers or pesticides near the stream for a period of at least 10 years. Success at reaching our objective will be measured by the number of miles of streambeds improved with riparian buffers each year. We expect to increase buffered areas from 27% (current) to 46% by 2006.

4.5 Forestland Conservation. Success will be measured by the increase in forested acres within the watershed entered into conservation programs and/or using BMPs. The successful implementation of BMPs will be judged based on standards used by the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, as described in their Forestry BMP's webpage (<u>http://www.state.in.us/dnr/forestry/bmp/logindex.htm</u>). Our aim is to reach a 10% increase in forested land within five years. The Partnership Coordinator will be responsible for all inspection and record keeping. The Watershed Coordinator is currently working with the District Forester to obtain GIS data layers from Purdue University to determine the exact location and acres of Classified Forest Land in the watershed.

4.6 Community Involvement, Education and Communication. Success will be realized by increasing Partnership membership and support, community-wide interest, knowledge and concern for watershed issues. Success will be measured by the number of educational opportunities where the Partnership participated, the volume of educational materials supplied to local schools, and the number of teachers participating. The Coordinator will keep records of the topics covered for each type of audience, the number of people served, etc. Surveys will be developed in late 2005 and mailed out in late 2005 with the Partnership's newsletter to measure knowledge and behavior change over time.

5.0 FUNDING

5.1 Estimated Costs. In order to implement this Watershed Management Plan, the following annual costs have been determined:

Goal	Item	Description	Cost per year	Funding
				Source
Partnership Coordination/	Watershed Coordinator Salary	Full time position	\$30,000	Grant
Meeting Organization/ Information	Equipment*	Digital camera, laptop computer, printer, projector, cellular phone, etc. (and required accessories)	\$4000	Grant
Tracking	Supplies	desk supplies, paper, envelopes, postcards, postage, photocopying	\$2500	Grant & in- kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Office Space	Chair, desk, bookshelves, file cabinet, other overhead	\$1500	In-kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Meeting Facility	Use of Turtle Creek Education Center	\$135	In-kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Travel	Gas mileage for Coordinator's travel within and outside of watershed, conference attendance	\$1000	Grant & in- kind
Turtle Creek Bank Stabilization	Permit Fees*	Construction in a Floodway Army Corps of Engineers	\$75 \$10	In-kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Design Plans (Demonstration Area)*	Prepared by JF New & Associates	\$10,000	In-kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Materials (Demonstration Area)*	Fabric mats, coconut fiber logs, fiber mesh, web grids, biologs, wooden stakes, goose netting, prairie grass mix, plugs, rebar, riprap stone, gravel, etc.	\$20,000	In-kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Labor (Demonstration Area)*	Installation work to be performed by Frey Company	\$35,000	In-kind (Hoosier Energy)
	Materials (Remaining Bank)	Same types as for demonstration area, pending success of each stabilization method	\$132,000	Grant
	Labor (Remaining Bank)	Installation work	Volunteer	Partnership, Stakeholders, Local community groups
Implementation of Agricultural and Forestland BMPs	Cost-share grants issued to land- owners	Implementation of conservation tillage practices, other erosion control features	\$250,000	Grant & in- kind (cost- share with landowners)

Table 9. Estimated Annual Costs of Implementing Watershed Management Plan
Increase Riparian Zones	Supplies	Purchase trees and other native plants, etc.	\$100,000	Grant & in- kind (cost share with landowners)
	Labor	Planting	Volunteer	Partnership, stakeholders, local community groups
Bacterial Testing	Kits for Coordinator to test samples	Two kits (20 samples)	\$110	Grant
	Laboratory testing	Professional analysis of samples if necessary	\$1000	Grant
Community Education	Display for fairs and community events	Presentation board, signs, posters, printed brochures, etc.	\$300	Grant
	Curriculum purchases	Videos, software, and other classroom materials dealing with watersheds and water quality issues.	\$1200	Grant
	Watershed Model Purchases*	1 each of: Nonpoint Source Unit, Hazardous Materials Unit, Wetlands Unit, Set-up video, Carrying case	\$2370	Grant
	Annual Field Day	Advertisement (posters, signs, newspaper ad, flyers)	\$150	Grant
		Materials/Supplies	\$300	Grant
		Refreshments	\$200	In-Kind
		Postage to invite stakeholders	\$165	Grant
TOTAL:			\$615.725	+

* 1-time cost only (not annual)

5.2 Grant Sources. Potential sources of grant money include:

Table 10.	Grants	Available	for	Watershed	Funding
I uble IV.	Orunto	1 i vulluole	101	i aterbiiea	i unum _s

Grant	Purpose	Application Date (submitted or upcoming deadline)
Environmental Quality Incentives	Implementation of agricultural	September 7, 2001
Program (USDA)	conservation programs	
Section 319 (IDEM)	Implementation of management plan	March 31, 2002
Lake and Watershed Enhancement	Improvement of watershed	January 31, 2002
(DNR)	-	
Other grants as determined by Coordi	TBA	

5.3 Funding Accomplishments. The Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) provides financial assistance to farmers and ranchers who face serious threats to soil, water and other natural resources. Since 1998, farmers within the Turtle Creek Watershed have been receiving funding through this program through grants obtained by the Sullivan County Soil and Water Conservation District (SCSWCD) for all of Sullivan County. In 2000, through a joint effort between the Partnership for Turtle Creek and the SCSWCD, Turtle Creek Watershed was declared a "priority area" by the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and EQIP funding was secured for 2-3 more years specifically for farmland within the Watershed. Farmers in the watershed are eligible for funding support to install erosion control measures or implement best management practices. Funds are awarded to applicants based on the severity of need and cost-effectiveness of the proposed remedy. Table 11 describes how this funding was put to use to address the natural resource concerns within the Turtle Creek Watershed.

Year	Total \$	Acres	Landowner	Conservation Practice
1998		78.4	Boston	• 4 Water & Sediment Control Basins (WASCOBs)
				• 4.3 acres of filter strips
		128.2	Boston	• 7 WASCOBs
				• 4.8 acres of filter strips
		157.2	Coulson	8 WASCOBs
				 rock shoot in waterway
	\$20,350	363.8 total		
1999		27.1	Badger	• 1 WASCOB
				• 2 rock shoots
				• reduced tillage
		42.6	Burton	• 6 WASCOBs
				• no till
		283.3	Chowning	• 20 WASCOBs
				• 1 rock shoot
				• grass waterway
				• no till
		16.5	Monroe	• 2 WASCOBs
				• no till
		57.7	Wible	• 2 WASCOBs
				• no till
	\$23,670	427.2 total		
2000		11.4	Bell	• 2 WASCOBs
				• reduced tillage
		30.3	Burton	• 6 WASCOBs
				• no till
		96.7	Coulson	• 5 WASCOBs
				1 rock shoot
				• no till
		73.4	Huff	• 10 WASCOBs
				• 1 rock shoot
				• 150 ft diversion
				• mulch till
		33.7	Wampler	• 5 WASCOBs
				• 1800 ft field border
				• no till
		18.5	Wilson	• 2 WASCOBs
				• reduced till
	\$26,100	264 total		

Table 11. Summary of EQIP Funding

2001		22.3	Burton	•	7 WASCOBs
		90.2	Coulson	•	8 WASCOBs
				•	1600 ft field border
		62.3	Gettinger	•	2000 ft diversion
				•	no till
		50.7	Phegley	•	1 rock shoot
				•	reduced till
	\$29,946	225.5 total			

6.0 SYSTEMATIC APPROACH

In January of 2001, the Steering Committee approved the development of a plan to accomplish a systematic approach to watershed problem determination, recommendation and implementation of improvements.

6.1 Determination of Sections. A map of the watershed was divided into 5 sections (See Appendix K) based on sub-watershed areas by major tributaries. Although the goal is to prioritize major issues throughout the watershed and work at alleviating those problems first, the sections represent "manageable" amounts of area to focus on during 2-year periods for evaluation, and beyond for assessment and monitoring after completion.

Section 1, located in the southwest corner of the reservoir and characterized by several small tributaries, was chosen by the Partnership to use as a demonstration area. This section was chosen because:

- It contains a large number of the various types of land uses within the watershed including cropland, pasture, woodlands, reservoir shoreline and urban area; therefore, all types of watershed users will be educated and provided with the opportunity to become involved in this community project.
- The vast majority of the stakeholders within the demonstration area have been active in the Partnership for Turtle Creek and the cooperation level is expected to be outstanding. This should greatly assist in involving others in the following targeted sections.
- The zone is not expected to have major problems implementing the recommended strategies as these landowners are in the forefront of the conservation movement in Sullivan County.
- This section represents one of the major challenges as far as topography as the fall between the Merom bluffs and the reservoir is the most significant drop within the watershed and creates higher erosion potential than is found elsewhere.

The idea of the demonstration area is to characterize a small portion of the watershed, assist landowners in identifying the best erosion control practices and facilitate implementation where possible. This will include stabilization of shoreline, creation of buffer zones along waterways, and other erosion control practices as needed. Following implementation and verification of the success of erosion control practices, the demonstration zone will be used as a model and educational tool to bring other stakeholders into the watershed process and to spread the practices used throughout the watershed.

Stakeholders requesting aid for erosion control or other water quality-improving measures will not be denied based on location within the watershed; however, the focus of Partnership efforts are planned to proceed based on Section. After completion of the demonstration area, the four other zones will be focused on in a clockwise rotation and that implementation will proceed accordingly. Each of these zones is expected to take 18 months to 2 years to complete. Upon completion of all zones (a ten year time period) the rotation will begin again.

7.0 ANNUAL REVIEW & DISTRIBUTION

Each year in anticipation of the August annual meeting, the steering committee will review and approve of any changes or updates to the Watershed Management Plan as needed. Meant to be a living document, the plan will be updated at least annually to reflect accomplishments of the goal and objectives. Each update will be distributed to all members of the Partnership Steering Committee and Technical Advisors (as listed in Appendices B and C) as well as any other interested party, as requested. The Coordinator will investigate the possibility of making a current copy of the Management Plan available to the public at the Sullivan County Library. A copy will also be available for viewing at the Turtle Creek Education Center, at the Reservoir.

8.0 CONTACT INFORMATION

All records and documents concerning this plan will be kept by the Partnership Watershed Coordinator. All requests for further information should also be referred to the Coordinator. The current Partnership Watershed Coordinator is:

> Elizabeth Young P.O. Box 7 Sullivan, IN 47882 (812) 356-4138 turtlecreek@joink.com

Larry Abbott 3113 North CR 550 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Paul Ader 1521 North CR 300 W Sullivan, IN 47882

David Atherton 3014 Currywood Dr. Orlando, FL 32822

Philip Badger 792 E Crestview Ct Greencastle, IN 46135

William Bell 2659 N. CR 425 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Mike Bezy FSA 2306 North Section Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Ian Bobbitt Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. P.O. Box 7 Sullivan, IN 47882

John and Virginia Bogard Box 201 Merom, IN 47861

Tammy Bogard 3547 West CR 500 Shelburn, IN 47879

STAKEHOLDERS

Bobby Lee Adams c/o First Bank & Trust Box 70 Sullivan, IN 47882

Darrell Alexander 4135 West CR 325 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Alan Badger 2548 North CR 700 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Billy Baker 8188 West CR 400 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Gary and Pamela Bartley 4056 West CR 25 S Sullivan, IN 47882

James and Delores Bell 4132 N. Co. Rd. 200 West Sullivan, IN 47882

Michael and Martha Blann 4919 West CR 25N Sullivan, IN 47882

Carl and Mary Ellen Bogard 6899 West CR 375 S Merom, IN 47861

Tommy Bolenbaugh 3896 South CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882 John Durham Indiana American Water Co. 800 North 1st Street Terre Haute, IN 47807

Lowell Badger 10447 West CR 350 N Merom, IN 47861

George and Phyllis Ballentine 706 West Mill Street Danville, IN

Chester Bean 7133 West Gettinger Road Merom, IN 47861

Esther Bell Route 2 Box 219-D Sullivan, IN 47882

Gabriel and Rebecca Blevins 2509 North CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Billy Bogard 5601 South Buffalo Trace Road Merom, IN 47861

Francis H. Bogard 5923 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

John Bond 724 North CR 600 W Sullivan, IN 47882 Thomas Booker 502 N Main St Sullivan, IN 47882

Jeff Boston 5189 W. St. Rd. 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Edward and Patricia Bradbury 5999 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Mary Bunyan RR #3 Box 425A Lawrenceville, IL 62439

Dwight Burton 3459 North SR 63 Sullivan, IN 47882

Edward Carmichael 2627 W. Co Rd 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Brent and Robin Carty 5231 West CR 75N Sullivan, IN 47882

Jerry Wayne Charley 4273 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

John Coffman 3257 West CR 25 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Scott Boone 4158 West CR 500 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert Boston 2299 N. Private Rd. 475 Sullivan, IN 47882

Leonard Britten PO Box 384 Farmersburg, IN 47850

Bonnie Byrum c/o Hayden Vaughn 5513 South CR 350 N Carlisle, IN 47838

Harold Carmichael 2324 W Co Rd 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Sue Ellen Chappell 2858 Aurora Drive Lake Orion, MI 48035

Rick and Lisa Ann Chastain 5661 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Nancy Cochran 714 East Blackburn Paris, IL 61944 Clay Boston 6735 W. SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

David Bradbury 6405 W Co Rd 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Kent Brocksmith R 1 Box 56 Edwardsport, IN 47528

Tom Burns 2054 South Fifth Merom, IN 47861

Robert H. Canup c/o Robert L. Canup 3307 South CR 625 W Merom, IN 47861

Edward Carmichael 2324 West County Road 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Chad Charley 5455 West CR 400 S Merom, IN 47861

Alan Chowning 7836 W Co Rd 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Debbie Coffman 3257 West CR 25 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Richard Cole 1253 West CR 25 S Sullivan, 1N 47882 Thomas E. Cole 4001 South CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Thomas Cooper c/o R. Cooper P.O. Box 171 Merom, IN 47861

Thad Corrigan 5057 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Zoe Coulson 220 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

Walter Cox 5250 W Co Rd 75 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Mark Cox PO Box 44 Sullivan, IN 47882

Norman Cox 2963 N Co Rd 500 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Jim and Brayden Cramer 128 South Crowder St Sullivan, IN 47882

Harold and Betty Dallas c/o Norman Cox 2963 North CR 500 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert Daugherty 4245 West CR 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882 Carl Dwayne Collins 4023 West CR 25 N Sullivan , IN 47882

Mary Emily Cooper c/o R. Cooper P.O. Box 171 Merom, IN 47861

William Coulson 5416 W Co Rd 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Daniel Coulson c/o John Coulson Sr. 619 Wilshire West Lafayette, IN 47906

Troy Cox PO Box 101 Graysville, IN 47852

Kerry Jo Cox 7753 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Grover Cox 3411 South CR 625 W Merom, IN 47861

Randall Creed 3134 South CR 100W Sullivan, IN 47882

George Daugherty 4245 West CR 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Morgan D. David 6295 West CR 350 S Merom, IN 47861 Wayne Cooley 598 West CR 75 S Sullivan, IN 47882

James Cooper 12818 B Portulaca St. Louis, MO 63146

Bradley Coulson c/o William Coulson 5416 W Co Rd 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Billie Cox PO Box 97 Graysville, IN 47852

James Unger Cox 7753 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Leon Cox 7906 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Rexanna Cox 3144 South CR 625 W Merom, IN 47861

Lester Albert Crooks 2736 North SR 63 Sullivan, IN 47882

Everett Daugherty 5079 West CR 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

William Davis 7249 West SR 58 Merom, IN 47861 Max R. Donovan 7020 West CR 375 S Merom, IN 47861

Tamera L Dunivan 2331 S College St Merom, IN 47861

Robert Eble 433 East Wash ngton Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Clayton Wright 1884 South Moore Rd Hot Springs, AR 49143

Gary Ferree 3899 North CR 350 N Sullivan, IN 47882

William Ferree 6301 West CR 200 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Ruby J Fish 1716 North CR 350 W Sullivan , IN 47882

Kenny Forbes 1140 East CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert Frye 8673 West Pecan Street Merom, IN 47861

Jeremiah Lemmons District Forester, IDNR P.O. Box 126 Jasonville, IN 47438 Shawn Allen Drake 7887 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Ed and Debra Easter 4858 North CR 900 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Gary Enochs 4712 South SR 159 Dugger, IN 47848

Charles Frank Fauble c/o James Sanders 7019 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Mitchell Ferree 599 North CR 325 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Gary and Anita Fifer 1721 Moon Lake Blvd, Suite 400 Hoffman Estates, IL 60194

Geneva Lee Fitch 4343 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Melvin Forbes 1150 E CR 300N Sullivan, IN 47882

Cliff Gambill 3868 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Atherly Gettinger 6730 West CR 300 S Merom, IN 47861 Harley Drake 5572 West County Road 1075 Farmersburg, IN 47850

Brandon Easthan 1031 Edgebrook Ave Sullivan, IN 47882

Joe Eslinger 9481 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Lenore Feree Care-Ferree Acres, Inc 3899 North CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Raymond Ferree 6126 W CR 100 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Joseph Fitch 4343 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Bobby Forbus 6268 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

William Gambill c/o Gilbert W. Gambill, Jr. P.O. Box 1625 Terre Haute, IN 47808

James Gettinger George & Edith Gettinger Land Co. 121 Missouri Street Somerset, PA 15501 John Gettinger P.O. Box 142 Merom, IN 47861

Daniel and Kay Gettinger 7002 Henry ane Merom, IN 47861

Glenn Graham 562 South CR 900 West Merom, IN 47861

Rosemary Guyer 8421 W Market Street Merom, IN 47861

Edith Pauline Ham 3806 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Jack Hamilton 599 North CR 325 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Jack E Hardin c/o First Farmers State Bank PO Box 190 Sullivan, IN 47882

Dewey D. Hayhurst 6710 Ellentown Gillette Rd Palmetto, FL 34221

Sara Lee Hess 6789 West CR 375 S Merom, IN 47861 John Gettinger 4704 West CR 325 North Sullivan, IN 47882

Polly Gettinger 3334 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Frances Graves 424 S. Court St. Sullivan, IN 47882

Maisie Grimes 7702 West SR 58 Merom, IN 47861

Andrew Hall c/o First Bank and Trust P.O. Box 70 Sullivan, IN 47882

John Kent Ham c/o Ralph Ham 3806 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

James O. Hancock 4041 South CR 500 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Norman W Hayhurst 3588 W Lebanon Ash St Sullivan, IN 47882

Randall Hobbs 5883 South CR 450 W Carlisle, IN 47838 Paul and Sue Gettinger 7400 West Gettinger Road Merom, IN 47861

Janet L Grable 7962 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Peggy Grimes 3066 Cumerland Road Boonville, IN 0

Carl Hall 201 South 2nd Street Georgetown, IL 61846

Franklin R Hamilton 4246 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Butch Hancock 3607 West CR 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Charles and Nancy Hayes 5015 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Jeanne Herd c/o William Bolen 902 Shorewood Drive Seabrook, TX 77586

John H. Hochstetler 3086 S.Lebanon Meadow Ln Sullivan, IN 47882 Alan Huff 2707 W SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Lloyd Nelson Huff 352 North CR 300 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Kenneth Huff 12 East Washington Street Sullivan, IN 47882

James R Hughes 3117 North CR 550 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Gary Inbody 465 West Wolfenberger Sullivan, IN 47882

Wayne Jackson 2034 South CR 150W Sullivan, IN 47882

Jeff Jernberg 2300 S. 2nd Street PO Box 121 Merom, IN 47861

Harris Karns 1013 East Vine Street Montecello, IL 61856

James and Marcella Kennett PO Box 86 Graysville, IN 47852 Billy R. Hood 221 S CR 400 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Loren E. and Bonnie L. Huff 2362 N. Thompson Dr Sullivan, IN 47882

Mary K and Randall Lee Huff 1134 North CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Randall Allen Huff c/o Lela Huff 7944 West SR 154 Sullivan , IN 47882

Lyndall Hunnings c/o William Ferree 6301 N CR 200 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Ralph Irvin 3507 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Todd Jackson 2102 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

William Johnson 2147 North Lakeview Drive Sullivan, IN 47882

Lawrence W Keller PO Box 482 Hymera, IN 47855

Jerry Kerns 5370 West CR 425 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Mary Frances Hopper P.O. Box 25 West Union , IL 62477

Lawrence Huff 260 King Richard Drive McMurray, PA 15317

Harold Huff c/o First Farmers State Bank PO Box 190 Sullivan, IN 47882

Maxine Huff 3630 West CR 250 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Hugh Hunt 602 West Craig Avenue Brazil, IN 47834

Tom Isom Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. PO Box 908 Bloomington, IN 47402

Charles and Elizabeth Jennings c/o ISU Federal Credit Union 444 North 3rd Street Terre Haute, IN 47808

Martha Suzanne Kaplan 415 E Washington St Sullivan, IN 47882

Maria Kennedy 7 South SR 63 Merom, IN 47861 Lonny Joe Kester 1279 N State Road 63 Merom, IN 47861

Donald Knotts 5906 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Ronald Lahr 6433 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Gregory Long 7792 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Mike Loudermilk 6101 W CR 300 S Merom, IN 47861

Donna Lueking 5962 W St Rd 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

O. William Mann 5603 West State Road 58 Merom, IN 47861

Charles Mason 5 North CR 400 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Mary Helen McCammon 5266 South CR 275 W Sullivan, IN 47882 John Kester 2218 North CR 475 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Rita Knotts 1249 North CR 600 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Randy Lamb 2766 North CR 800 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Mike Loudermilk 3648 South SR 159 Dugger, IN 47848

Robert Lowry 397 West CR 900 S Carlisle, IN 47838

Michael Lueking 5962 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Bruce Marheine Ducks Unlimited 2761 East County Road 350N Sullivan, IN 47882

Eugene Mason 4072 West CR 450 S Sullivan, IN 47882

William and Delora Mayfield 1480 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Darren and Crystal McCammon 2517 North SR 63 Sullivan, IN 47882 William F King c/o United Federal PO Box 1217 Vincennes, IN 47591

Elizabeth Ann Kult c/o Ruth Kult Pleasant 1390 South SR 63 Merom, IN 47861

Doris Lambeth 4842 West CR 250 South Sullivan, IN 47882

Mike Loudermilk 6167 East SR 54 Dugger, IN 47848

Allen Lueking 1047 North Sunset Sullivan, IN 47882

Mark Lynch 18 E Longridge Road Terre Haute, IN 47803

Louise Hazel Martin 601 N Main St. Sullivan, IN 47882

Matthew W. Mason 3299 W Center Rd Sullivan, IN 47882

David and Rita McCammon 19 S CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Paul McCammon 3674 South CR 300W Sullivan, IN 47882 Ray McCammon 3917 S. CR 300 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Stephen William McCammon c/o Patrick McCammon 5168 South CR 275 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Harley McKain 5267 West CR 500 S Merom, IN 47861

Bruce McKinley PO Box 31 Merom, IN 47861

Donald Meier 3334 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Regina Miller 3257 West CR 25 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Gerald Mize 2202 East CR 425 S Merom, IN 47861

Joanne Pauline Monroe Box 234 New Lebanon, IN 47864

Jeffrey Moore 6057 West CR 375 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Scott and Jodee Murdock PO Box 44 Merom, IN 47861 Norman McCammon 6069 West CR 375 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Susan McCloud Office of Water Quality, IDEM P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015

Ralph H. McKain 4085 West CR 450 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Edward McKinney 8543 W Market St Merom, IN 47861

Meredith Meier 6038 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Betsy A. Milner c/o Bonnie L. Huff 2362 N. Thompson Dr Sullivan, IN 47882

Michael Monk 1901 N St Rd 63 Sullivan, IN 47882

Richard and Carla Monroe 8364 West CR 25 N Merom, IN 47861

Larry Morin 157 The Woods Bedford, IN 47421

Michael Gene Nash 5715 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Brent and Jean McCammon 1863 West CR 500 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Evelyn Creed McConnell c/o Rexanna Cox 3144 South CR 625 W Merom, IN 47861

Thomas Ray McKain 5151 West CR 400 S Merom, IN 47861

James McKinney 5803 West CR 150 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Charles L. Mize 3826 South CR 450 S Sullivan, IN 47882

William Monk 5037 W CR 150 N Sullivan, IN 47882

John Moon 3508 N Payton Indianapolis, IN 46226

James Mosley Box 2 Merom, IN 47861

Richard Nash 4093 W. CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Terry Nash 4246 West CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert Nichol 5201 West CR 400 S Merom, IN 47861

Richard Warren Olsen PO Box 132 Merom, IN 47861

Cullen Page 690 W CR 300 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Buelah Parr 5019 W Co Rd 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Cara Phegley 2062 West 175 E Sullivan, IN 47882

Donald Phegley 5415 W CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Gene and Connie Pinkston 5057 W CR 25 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Gary and Amy Pirtle 3348 W CR 300 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Ted Plucinski Molly's Bait Store 5137 West State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882 Pamela Nation 7987 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Gloria Nichols c/o Mark McKinley 9128 Spring St Highland, IN 46322

Earl Osburn 2837 West CR 450 N Shelburn, IN 47879

Thomas L. Paige 175 West Springhill Drive Terre Haute, IN 47802

Barry L. Parr 4505 West CR 300 S Sullivan, IN 47882

David Phegley 5719 S. Co Rd 550 W Merom, IN 47861

Carter Phegley 6267 S. County Road 100 West Carlisle, IN 47838

Barbara Pirtle 6698 W CR 100 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Rodney Pirtle 8998 South County Road 350 E Carlisle, IN 47838

Rick Poling 3359 West CR 25 N Sullivan, IN 47882 John Neal 71 East SR 58 Carlisle, IN 47838

Robert Nickeson c/o Sullivan Co. Pork Producers 400 West Washington Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Randy Owings 4448 West CR 500 S Carlisle, IN 47838

John Parker 14875 E St Hwy 52 Columbia, AL 36319

Betty L Pech 317 French St Sullivan, IN 47882

Debra Phegley c/o Hayden Vaughn 5513 South CR 350 W Carlisle, IN 47838

Kathryn and Michael Pierce 1128 N CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Thad Pirtle 6492 W CR 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Thomas and Brenda Pittman 2245 East 200 S Anderson, IN 46017

Billy Lee Raley 6301 W CR 100 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Rebekah Reed 5694 West CR 400 S Merom, IN 47861

John Ridgeway 5652 West CR 375 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Donald Rinehart 8552 West County Road 300 N Merom, IN 47861

Billy Joe Roberts Box 263 Merom, IN 47861

James and Marilyn Salesman 3396 North CR 550 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Donald Freeman Schloot 1702 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Thomas and Aleda Sevier 233 Trail of the Flowers Georgetown, TX 78628

John R Shepler Box 265 New Lebanon, IN 47864

Gary Shipley 4657 West CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Ronald and Lotus Shorter 1165 West Washington St. Sullivan, IN 47882 Michalene Reilly Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. P.O. Box 908 Bloomington, IN 47402

Randy Ridgeway 2481 North CR 800 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Michael Ring Route 2 4235 West CR 25 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Ron Ronk Quail Unlimited 2411 East State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882

Shawn Sapp Avoca Fish Hatchery P.O. Box 16 Avoca, IN 47420

Randall Schwindy 6944 W. SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Francis Shake 3284 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Dale Shew 6126 West CR 375 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Betsy Shonkwiler 1792 N. Co Rd 700 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Darrell Shorter c/o American National Bank Box 438 Vincennes, IN 47591 Paul Reynolds Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. P.O. Box 908 Bloomington, IN 47402

Charles and Susan Rinehart 4811 W CR 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Jack Robbins Farm Service Agency 1736 East County Road 3 SE Carlisle, IN 47838

Marshall Rowe c/o Robert A. Meredith 6434 Jackie Lynn Court Sarasota, FL 34241

Richard Sater 2334 North Private Road 475 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Sherry Diane Sevier 5538 West CR 400 S Merom, IN 47861

Julia Ann Sheffler 617 South CR 700 W Sullivan, IN 47882

J Bartram Shields c/o Glen Shields 6407 Robin Hood Drive Anderson, IN 46013

Mickey and Linda Shorter 3624 West County Road 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Harry Tyrone Shorter 3336 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882 Chuck Shots Wabash Valley Bass Club P.O. Box 727 Vincennes, IN 47591

Steven Smith PO Box 119 Merom, IN 47861

George Randall Solsman c/o Alice Solsman 2740 Barharbor Ct Lewis Center, OH 43035

James Springer 3602 West CR 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Eugene and Rachel Springer c/o Benjamin Springer 3252 West CR 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Mike Steele 5642 W. St Rd 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Roger Stonebreaker IDNR 2411 East State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert R. Stultz 6028 West SR 58 Merom, IN 47861

Ridge Thomas Box 213 New Lebanon, IN 47864

Dale Thompson 6608 West CR 400 S Merom, IN 47861 Jack and Lisa Smith 1614 North CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Terry Lee Smith 1168 East CR 75 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert E. Springer 3750 West CR 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Eugene Springer c/o Benjamin Springer 3252 West CR 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

William Springer 205 S. Holloway St Sullivan, IN 47882

Michael and Joanna Steimel 4095 North CR 550 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Robert Street 380 W Wolfe Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Tammy Swihart NRCS 2316 North Section Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Charles and Doris Thompson 4190 North SR 63 Sullivan, IN 47882

Hugh Thompson 4550 Clearview Dr Sullivan, IN 47882 Michael Smith 2723 West SR 54 Sullivan, IN 47882

Thad Smith 622 West Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Larry Joe Springer c/o R.E. Spr inger P.O. Box 448 Sullivan, IN 47882

Benjamin and Vicky Springer 3252 West CR 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Kimberly Sue Steele 5754 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Tony Stewart 1547 North CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Danny Stultz 4212 South CR 450W Merom, IN 47861

John Taylor 1302 N Glen Arm Rd Indianapolis, IN 46214

David Thompson 7634 West SR 58 Merom, IN 47861

Gery Thompson Farm Bureau Cooperative 2988 West State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882 Gary Thrasher 1894 North CR 900 W Merom, IN 47861

Lanny Joe Trotter 171 South CR 400 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Howard Unger 7598 South CR 400 W Carlisle, IN 47838

Debra Vaughn 4263 West CR 500 S Carlisle, IN 47838

James and Connie Wampler 7970 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

William and Glenda Watson 7283 West CR 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Terry Dean Webster 2358 North CR 500 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Sandra Wernz 6104 West CR 100 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Imogene Whitman 4452 West CR 200 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Nancy Jeanine Tomey 8168 West SR 58 Merom, IN 47861

Cloral Unger RR 3 Sullivan, IN 47882

Howard Unger Gill Township Levee Board 7598 South County Road 400 West Carlisle, IN 47838

Frances Lee Vaughn 19454 11 Mile Road Big Rapids, MI 49307

Carl Duane Wampler 2774 N. Co Rd 900 W Merom, IN 47861

Larry Watson Purdue Cooperative Ext. Service Courthouse Sullivan, IN 47882

Thomas Weitekamp 4217 South CR 500 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Brian West Western Indiana Bassmasters 3029 South 13th Street Terre Haute, IN 47803

Warren Whitman 416 N Section St. Sullivan, IN 47882 Michael Wayne Thrasher c/o First Farmers State Bank PO Box 190 Sullivan, IN 47882

Lee Tompkins 3416 Wharton Drive Fort Worth, TX 76133

Clifford Unger 303 S Troll St Sullivan, IN 47882

Diana Sue Unterreiner 1432 N Court St Sullivan, IN 47882

Harold Watson 1227 Shaker Dr Herndon, VA 22070

Monte Watts 10704 North County Road 350 E Farmersburg, IN 47850

Kenneth L. Wence Box 196 New Lebanon, IN 47864

Robert L Wheeler 5243 S Buffalo Trace Merom, IN 47861

Brad Wible 7004 West CR 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Leslie Wible 7004 West CR 500 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Don Wile Kendall Mining Company 1890 N. County Road 600 East Sullivan, IN 47882

Joe Williams NRCS 2015 Hart Street Vincennes, IN 47591

Larry Ray and Angela Ruth Wilson 3668 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Gregory Wood 2592 North CR 800 W Sullivan, IN 47882

B & C Johnson Farms, Inc 2147 N Lakeview Dr Sullivan, IN 47882

The Indiana Railroad Co PO Box 2464 Indianapolis , IN 46206

Weston Paper Company 2000 North 19th Street Terre Haute, IN 47804

Ridgeway Cemetery Sullivan, IN 47882 Scott Wible c/o Mary Kay Wible 14 Easet Creshill Drive Terre Haute, IN 47802

Norman Wilkey 2584 North CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Floyd K. Willis 3213 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Jerry Wilson 3988 W. Co Rd 200 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Steven Wood c/o first Bank and Trust 101 West National Avenue Brazil, IN 47834

Coulson Farms, Inc 5416 West CR 250 S Sullivan , IN 47882

S & J Enterprises 4858 N. CR 900 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Vigoro Industries, Inc. P.O. Box 1986 Collinsville, IL

VIC, Inc. 5416 West CR 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882 Mary Kay Wible 14 Chesthill Road Terre Haute, IN 47802

Paul Wilkey 2357 North CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Stephen R. Wilson 8084 East SR 350 Milan, IN 47031

Harold Wilson 4548 W. Co Rd. 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Audrey and Garland Wonders 2583 N. Co Rd 500 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Phillips & Arnett Farms, Inc. Route 5 Box 295 2268 West CR 300 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Indiana & Michigan Electric Co. P.O. Box 60 Fort Wayne, IN 46801

Johnson Farms 21 47 North Lakeview Drive Sullivan, IN 47882

Hoosier State Telephone Co. P.O. Box 152206 Irving, TX

Weston Paper Manufacturing Company P.O. Box 539 Terre Haute, IN 47808

STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Darrell Alexander 4135 West CR 325 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Esther Bell 6215 W CR 100N Sullivan, IN 47882

Berlin Bogard 792 East Crestview Ct Greencastle, IN 46135

Mary Bunyan RR #3 Box 425A Lawrenceville, IL 62439

Alan Chowning 7836 W CR 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Max R. Donovan 7020 West CR 375 S Merom, IN 47861

Gary Ferree 3899 North CR 350 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Daniel and Kay Gettinger 7002 Henry Lane Merom, IN 47861

John Gettinger 4704 West CR 325 North Sullivan, IN 47882

Alex Grable 2832 S. Lebanon Walnut St. Sullivan, IN 47882 George and Phyllis Ballentine 706 West Mill Street Danville, IN 46122-1551

Mike Bezy FSA 2306 North Section Street Sullivan, IN 47882

Carl and Mary Ellen Bogard 6899 West CR 375 S Merom, IN 47861

Robert H. Canup c/o Robert L. Canup 3307 South CR 625 W Merom, IN 47861

William Coulson 5416 W Co Rd 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Indiana American Water John Durham 800 North 1st Street Terre Haute, IN 47807

James Gettinger George & Edith Gettinger Land Co. 121 Missouri Street Somerset, PA 15501

Paul and Sue Gettinger 7400 West Gettinger Road Merom, IN 47861

Glenn Graham 562 South CR 900 West Merom, IN 47861 Chester Bean 7133 West Gettinger Road Merom, IN 47861

Gabriel and Rebecca Blevins 2509 North CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Ian Bobbitt Hoosier Energy REC P.O. Box 7 Sullivan, IN 47882

Brent and Robin Carty 5231 West CR 75N Sullivan, IN 47882

Zoe Coulson 220 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

Andy Ertel IDEM 2600 North SR 7 North Vernon, IN 47265

Robert Frye 8673 West Pecan Street Merom, IN 47861

John Gettinger P.O. Box 142 Merom, IN 47861

Tim Govert c/o Patriot Eng.& Env., Inc. 1400 Pugh Dr., Suite 5 Terre Haute, IN 47802

Alan Huff 2707 W SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882 Lawrence Huff 260 King Richard Drive McMurray, PA 15317

Wayne Jackson 2034 South CR 150W Sullivan, IN 47882

Martha Kaplan 415 E Washington St Sullivan, IN 47882

Susan McCloud Office of Water Quality P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015

William and Delora Mayfield 1480 West SR 154 Sullivan, IN 47882

Buelah Parr 5019 W Co Rd 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Ted Plucinski Molly's Bait Store 5137 West State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882

Shawn Sapp Avoca Fish Hatchery P.O. Box 16 Avoca, IN 47420

Ronald and Lotus Shorter 1165 West Washington St. Sullivan, IN 47882 Jeff Jernberg 2300 South 2nd Street PO Box 121 Merom, IN 47861

Elizabeth Ann Kult c/o Ruth Kult Pleasant 1390 South SR 63 Merom, IN 47861

Allen Lueking 1047 North Sunset Sullivan, IN 47882

Bruce McKinley PO Box 31 Merom, IN 47861

John Moon 3508 N Payton Indianapolis, IN 46226

Carter Phegley 6267 S County Road 100 W Carlisle, IN 47838

Charles Rinehart 4811 W. Co Rd 300 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Donald Freeman Schloot 1702 South CR 350 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Chuck Shots Wabash Valley Bass Club P.O. Box 727 Vincennes, IN 47591 Tom Isom Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. PO Box 908 Bloomington, IN 47402

William Johnson 2147 North Lakeview Drive Sullivan, IN 47882

Ray McCammon 3917 S. CR 300 W Sullivan, IN 47882

Bruce Marheine Ducks Unlimited 2761 East County Road 350N Sullivan, IN 47882

Randy Moon 3508 Payton Ave Indianapolis, IN 46226

Kathryn and Michael Pierce Route 2 Box 324 A 1128 N CR 450 W Sullivan, IN 474882

Ron Ronk Quail Unlimited 2411 East State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882

Mickey and Linda Shorter 3624 West County Road 250 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Michael Smith 2723 West SR 54 Sullivan, IN 47882 James Springer 3602 West CR 100 S Sullivan, IN 47882

Tammy Swihart NRCS 2316 North Section Street Sullivan, IN 47882

John Ubelhor PO Box 558 Washington, IN 47501

William and Glenda Watson 7203 West CR 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882

Brad Wible 7004 West CR 50 N Sullivan, IN 47882 Roger Stonebreaker Indiana DNR 2411 East State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882

Susan Turpen 1284 S State Road 63 Merom, IN 47861

Carl Duane Wampler 2774 N. Co Rd 900 W Merom, IN 47861

Monte Watts 10704 North County Road 350 E Farmersburg, IN 47850 Danny Stultz 4212 South CR 450W Merom, IN 47861

Turtle Creek Lodge 6101 W Co Rd 300 S Merom, IN 47861

Larry Watson Purdue Coop Extension Service Courthouse Sullivan, IN 47882

Brian West Western Indiana Bassmasters 3029 South 13th Street Terre Haute, IN 47802

Technical Contacts for Partnership for Turtle Creek

Mr. Bruce Marheine

Ducks Unlimited 2761 East County Road 350 N Sullivan, IN 47882 (812) 397-2740

Mr. Chuck Shots

Wabash Valley Bass Club P.O. Box 727 Vincennes, IN 47591

Mr. Ron Ronk

Quail Unlimited 2411 East State Road 54 Sullivan, IN 47882 (812) 268-0300

Mr. Shawn Sapp

Avoca Fish Hatchery P.O. Box 16 Avoca, IN 47420 (812) 279-1215

Mr. Roger Stonebreaker

Indiana Dept. of Environmental Management 2411 East State Road 54 (812) 268-0300 <u>dist9rs@custom.net</u>

Ms. Michalene Reilly

Hoosier Energy REC, Inc. P.O. Box 908 Bloomington, IN 47402-0908 (812) 876-0360 <u>mreilly@hepn.com</u>

Ms. Susan McCloud

Office of Water Management Indiana Dept. of Env. Management P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015 smcloud@dem.state.in.us

Mr. Mike Bezy Farm Service Agency 2316 North Section Street Sullivan, IN 47882 (812) 268-5157 (Ext. 2)

Mr. Larry Watson Purdue Cooperative Ext. Service Courthouse Sullivan, IN 47882 (812) 268-4332

Ms. Tammy Swihart Natural Res. Conservation Service 2316 North Section Street Sullivan, IN 47882 (812) 268-5157 (Ext 3)

Mr. Brock Mayes Division of Reclamation P.O. Box 147 Jasonville, IN 47438

Mr. Marvin Ellis Division of Reclamation P.O. Box 147 Jasonville, IN 47438 mdellis@reclamation.dnr.state.in.us



25. WOODS DRAINING INTO LITTLE TURTLE CREEK – SOUTH OF DAM



26. LITTLE TURTLE CREEK



24. OTHER SIDE OF ROAD – PICTURES 22 AND 23



23. EROSION IN LITTLE TURTLE CREEK – NORTH OF OLD SR 54 (VIEW 2)



19. EROSION IN CREEK BED – TURTLE CREE SOUTH OF RESERVOIR









17C. CONTINUATION OF 17B – SAME FIELD AND DRAINAGE DITCH INTO THE CREEK ITSELF







17B. ONE DRAINAGE AREA INTO TURTLE CREEK – LOCATION ADJACENT TO PICTURE 17 – AT SR 154



18. EROSION IN CREEK BED – TURTLE CREEK SOUTH OF RESERVOIR



13.




16. EROSION IN CREEK BED – TURTLE CREEK NORTH OF THE RESERVIOR



14. MODERATE EROSION IN RESERVOIR

11. NORTH SIDE OF THE HOOSIER ENERGY EMPLOYEE AREA















EROSION ON EAST SIDE OF TURTLE CREEK RESERVOIR

7







TYPICAL EROSION AT TURTLE CREEK RESERVOIR

<u>s</u>





4. ISLAND DISAPPEARING FROM EROSION







2. CLOSEUP OF ISLAND FROM PICTURE 1





























Test Procedure for the Presence of E. coli Contamination

- 1. Prepared EMB agar, supplied by The Science Source ("Bacterial Pollution of Water Kit #1400") was melted on a hot plate and poured into sterile petri dishes using sterile technique.
- 2. While dishes cooled and solidified, water samples were taken from boat by dipping sterile tubes into the reservoir at the locations marked in figure 1.
- 3. Three drops of each water sample were used to inoculate dishes. Plastic pipets sterilized by soaking in ethanol were used for the transfer from sample tube to dish. One dish was left as a blank (not inoculated) to ensure the sterility of the procedure.
- 4. Dishes incubated under the heat of a 60-watt lightbulb for three days.
- 5. After incubation, dishes were examined for the presence of colony growth. Colonies with a distinct metallic green color are a positive result for the presence of *E. coli*. Because this media is specific for coliform bacteria, all other growths are considered questionable.



Figure 1. Locations where water samples were taken within Turtle Creek Reservoir for the detection of the presence of *E. coli*.



ngressional townships in general con-I land-survey sheets, which show surecutively within each township. Arbi-

CRITERIA FOR MATCHING FUNDS FOR EROSION CONTROL FUNDING TURTLE CREEK – LITTLE TURTLE CREEK WATERSHEDS

If awarded, funds provided by the Partnership for Turtle Creek will be between 25% and 75% of total project expenses. Applications will be prioritized based on predicted effectiveness at preventing soil loss to waterways.

1. Submit an application to the Partnership for Turtle Creek Watershed Committee for matching grant funds for erosion control stabilization work.¹

Application must include:

- * Name
- * Address
- * Phone Number
- * Physical location of property (township, section, parcel)
- * Nonpoint source control problem identification
- * Proposed best management practice
- * Cost information (Total cost, grant request amount, if other grant funding has been obtained/applied for the project)
- * Proposed implementation schedule
- * One independent evaluation (either from the Partnership for Turtle Creek Watershed Committee, NRCS, DNR Soil Conservation, or other independent technical expert) attesting to the need/benefit of the proposal.
- 2. Submit proposal by stated deadline.
- 3. Agreement to keep the practice in place for 5 years after the date of contract signatures.
- 4. Agreement to allow Partnership for Turtle Creek to take pictures of the development and implementation of the practice for educational and grant writing purposes.
- 5. Agreement to allow limited access² to the Partnership for Turtle Creek Watershed Committee for the purpose of making assessments, displaying for demonstration purposes or other reasons agreed to by the Partnership and the landowner.

¹ Property for which application of grant is made must sit within the Turtle or Little Turtle Creek Watersheds. Practice employed for stabilization must meet criteria of being a Best Management Practice (BMP) for the type of stabilization measure employed.

² Limited access does not include travel in areas that would cause monetary damages to property or assets (unless compensation is agreed upon)



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: 3rd grade Ag Day

Date(s): March 11, 2005

Event Coordinator: Sullivan High School FFA

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary) ***copy list of 7 people that gave demos 280 3rd grade students from Sullivan County

Description of Action:

Used the Enviroscape 3-D watershed model to demonstrate to 280 3rd grade students about how point and non-point source pollution affects a watershed.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy: Education & Outreach

Measurement of success:

Required follow-up action: Will follow up with the FFA next year

Date reviewed:



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Sullivan County Ag Day

Date(s): March 12, 2005

Event Coordinator: Ag Day Committee: Larry Watson, Bill Coulson, Elizabeth Young, Bobbi Kincaid, Mike Bezy, Tammy Swihart, Jack Robbins, Alan Badger, Tammy Steiner, Mike Bell, Mike Lueking

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary) 1,350 people

Description of Action:

Set up 2 booths with information about watersheds, brochures about Adopting Your Watershed, the Enviroscape 3-D Watershed Model, a Turtle Creek Watershed sign, and pictures of shoreline stabilization. Also, there was a write up in the Ag Day Section of the Sullivan Daily Times about the Partnership for Turtle Creek which was distributed on Thursday, March 10th.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

Measurement of success:

Required follow-up action: Will remain on Ag Day committee next year

Date reviewed:



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Ag Day Breakfast Exhibit and Demonstration

Date(s): March 8th, 2003

Event Coordinator: Sullivan County 4-H

Participants: The Sullivan County 4-H organization allowed a great number of exhibitors to participate in the breakfast. Additionally, over 1,000 people from Sullivan and the surrounding areas attended the breakfast and toured the exhibits. The demonstration was conducted for approximately 10 people.

Description of Action:

The Partnership for Turtle Creek set up a booth containing a map, poster, information boards, handouts, and suckers attached to post cards. I also used the watershed model to present a demonstration on the ways that land uses within a watershed are connected and impact the water bodies involved. The presentation was to approximately 10 people, but it is quality, not quantity that counts!! The event was fun and I enjoyed the day. I was also able to speak on the radio as publicity for the event about our booth and the demonstration, as well as throw in a plug about PFTC and our monthly meetings. The event was a great way to meet community members and let people know what we are about.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication.

Measurement of success:

The purpose of this exhibit was to become familiar with landowners in the watershed and to introduce myself as Watershed Coordinator for the Partnership for Turtle Creek. Throughout the morning, I was able to meet some of the landowners in the watershed. I was also able to conduct a watershed demonstration for a group of children and adults so that they could learn about the dynamics of watersheds, water flow in the watershed, and the impacts that negative actions have on that watershed.

Required follow-up action:

There is no follow-up action on our part for this event, just hope we get invited back next year!

Date reviewed: April 2nd, 2003















This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title:
Date(s):
Event Coordinator:
Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary)
Description of Action:
Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:
Measurement of success:
Required follow-up action:
Required follow-up action:

Date reviewed:


This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Merom Chautauqua Festival

Date(s): June 6th-8th, 2003

Event Coordinator: Merom Bluff Chautauqua Committee, Sponsored by the Merom Improvement Association

Participants: People attending the festival from Merom and the surrounding areas.

Description of Action:

The Partnership for Turtle Creek set up an exhibit throughout the course of the festival that contained information about the watershed committee including posters, handouts, maps and the EnviroScape watershed model. Michalene Reilly and I manned the booth throughout the festival to provide information and answer questions from attendees. Because the festival was located within the watershed boundaries, we also passed out brochures containing information on the cost-share program for land owners and tenant farmers in the watershed.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication

Measurement of success:

The people that did attend the festival and visit the booth were made aware of the Partnership for Turtle Creek and its role in the Merom Community. However, I was only able to speak to very few farmers from the area, as they were in the fields planting. I will have to determine a better way to make the landowners and farmers aware of the cost-share program and the money that it includes for improvements on their land.

Required follow-up action:

Prepare for next year's event

Date reviewed: June 12th, 2003



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Drainage Workshop

Date(s): February 1, 2005

Event Coordinator: Larry Watson, Tammy Swihart, Elizabeth Young

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary)

Description of Action:

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy: Outreach and education

Measurement of success: 40 people attended to learn about

Required follow-up action: Will follow up with Purdue Extension next year to determine if we would like to Hold this workshop again. If not, what type of workshop can we hold instead that will educate landowners about conservation practices.



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Dugger Elementary Kindergarten Demonstration

Date(s): May 5th, 2003

Event Coordinator: Stacee Hans and Sara Durham

Participants: Both Kindergarten classes at Dugger Elementary

Description of Action:

This was my first time presenting the EnviroScape watershed model in a classroom, let alone a class so young. I used the watershed model to define a watershed, present the ways that land uses within a watershed (residential, recreational: golf, agriculture, forest and clear-cutting, and construction areas) are connected and how they impact the watershed, and show them the influence that they, personally, can have on a watershed. I tried to gear the information to them in a way that they could comprehend and understand. For the second part of the demonstration, we clean the model up and start from scratch with the pollutants. We implemented Best Management Practices (BMPs) that help reduce the amount of pesticides, fertilizers, and soil that reach the lake. The hands-on learning that the model provides is a valuable tool for the students to realize the immediate effect that they can have on a watershed and the environment. It was important for me to relay the information to them in a way that they can relate.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication.

Measurement of success:

The students were able to understand that "they live in a watershed" and that what they do in their part of the watershed (a.k.a. in their yard) will effect other parts of the watershed and may end up in the lake.

Required follow-up action:

None

Date reviewed: May 12, 2003





This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title:	Earth Day at the Lake at Sullivan County Park and Lake
Date(s):	April 19 th ,2003
Event Coordinator:	Earth Day at the Lake Planning Committee (Ty Ammerman, Bill Beard, Don Carlson, Sandy
Drake, Rex Gambill, Kevin Geier, Stacee Hans, Steve Herbert, David Johnson, Jim Meng, Michalene Reilly, Shenna Reynolds, Ron	

Stanley, and Larry Watson)

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary)

See attached list of exhibitors. The entire public (at large) was also invited to attend.

Description of Action:

The Partnership for Turtle Creek and Hoosier Energy joined efforts to provide activities for the children during the Earth Day celebration. Activities within the tent included making and decorating rain sticks, putting on tattoos, a coloring contest split up into various age categories, and a watershed demonstrations with the 3-D, EnviroScape watershed model. The entire Earth Day festivities included a series of main stage events (see schedule on attached sheet), as well as other Earth Day related exhibits.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication.

Measurement of success:

Even though the numbers of visitors to the tent were lower than hoped and expected, the information that each child received and how well they understood that information was still very good. The emphasis at the model demonstration was that "We All Live in a Watershed". The program began and ended by asking the question: Do you live in a watershed? Almost every one of them said NO the first time, but then changed their response after watching the demonstration.

Required follow-up action:

After reviewing the recommendations from the exhibitors' evaluation sheets, we decided that there was no follow up action for this year. However, we should be prepared to start earlier next year, and continue to make the celebration bigger and better with each passing year.

Date reviewed: April 22nd, 2003











This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Kiwanis Luncheon

Date(s): April 9th, 2003

Event Coordinator: Rebecca Cole, Program Chairman

Participants: 11 members of the Sullivan County Kiwanis Club (and me).

Description of Action:

I attended a Sullivan County Kiwanis Club meeting where I was able to sit in on the opening activities and then present and discuss my job as Watershed Coordinator for the Partnership for Turtle Creek. Because many members of the organization were not familiar with watersheds, I began by defining what a watershed was and then showing them the Turtle Creek Watershed on a map. I continued with the background and membership information of the Partnership for Turtle Creek. I also expanded on our mission and goals as a partnership and our plans to achieve those, including the receipt of the 319 Grant. I concluded with a question and answer session to assist with any other questions that they might possess. I also mentioned the upcoming Earth Day celebration at Sullivan Count Park and Lake and encouraged them to set up an exhibit or attend the event.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication.

Measurement of success:

The Kiwanis members in attendance were able to understand the concept of a watershed and the drain system. They were also exposed to the Partnership for Turtle Creek and its existence as a non-profit organization in the Sullivan County area. They were also introduced to my job as a Watershed Coordinator and the responsibilities that it entails.

Required follow-up action:

None

Date reviewed: April 28th, 2003



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Library After School Program and Display case

Date(s): April 14th and the entire month of April

Event Coordinator: Stacee Hans and Carol Swisher of the Sullivan County Library, Children's section

Participants:

The parents and children that attended the after school program on April 14th, approximately 18 people and the two librarians responsible for the Children's library. Also, the display was set up for the entire month, so anyone visiting the Children's Section of the Sullivan library was able to look at it and learn more about watershed information and water quality issues.

Description of Action:

We created an exhibit of water quality information using posters, pictures, and books from the Partnership for Turtle Creek, as well as books and materials from the library. (See attached pictures) I included the library materials so that the children would have access to the information after the display had been taken down. For the after school program, I set up the EnviroScape watershed model for the children to look at, play with, and define a watershed. Each student was initially asked if they live in a watershed, and almost all of them said NO. After discussing watersheds, the students were able to correctly answer the question. The students were then given a section of the watershed puzzle, *Watershed Management: A Community Process*, to put together. Because the puzzle was a little difficult, parents jumped in and helped the students work on this part. Once each group had completed their section, we came together and combined the parts to create the whole puzzle and discuss its message. The puzzle works through, step-by-step, the steps necessary to create a watershed group and reveals that in order for water quality efforts to be successful, a community-wide approach must be implemented. The students were also given a word search puzzle on watersheds and associated words to complete or take with them.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication.

Measurement of success:

The true measurement of success for this activity was that following the after school program, each student was able to acknowledge that they live in a watershed and that their actions in that watershed impact other people within that watershed.

Required follow-up action:

Simply to return to the library and break down the display at the end of the month. Additionally, I would like to keep in contact with Carol Swisher to potentially set up a date to return for another program.

Date reviewed: April 29th, 2003

















This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Linton Earth Day Celebration for White River Valley-Lyons and White River Valley-Worthington Schools

Date(s): April 25th, 2003

Event Coordinator: Colleen Baughman, IDNR Division of Reclamation

Participants: Approximately 85 fifth grade students and teachers from White River Valley-Lyons and White River Valley-Worthington Schools and chaperones. Other speakers included: John Whitaker from ISU, Debbie Haseman with Greene County Solid Waste District, Ray Brumfield of IDNR, Tim Wright of IDNR, Alexis Kessans from Hoosier Energy's Environmental Ed. Center, and Don Carlson with Purdue University Forestry.

Description of Action:

As part of the Earth Day Celebration, I was able to present the EnviroScape watershed model to three different groups of 5th Grade students from White River Valley-Lyons and White River Valley-Worthington Schools. I used the watershed model to define a watershed, present the ways that land uses within a watershed (residential, recreational: golf, agriculture, forest and clear-cutting, and construction areas) are connected and how they impact the watershed, and show them the influence that they, personally, can have on a watershed. For the second part of the demonstration, we clean the model up and start from scratch with the pollutants. We implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) that help reduce the amount of pesticides, fertilizers, and soil that reach the lake. The hands-on learning that the model provides is a valuable tool for the students to realize the immediate effect that they can have on a watershed and the environment.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

To establish a venue of cooperation and involvement through education, information, and communication.

Measurement of success:

Students were able to define a watershed and establish that "we all live in a watershed". They were also able to physically see the results of careless practices within a watershed and determine that they do not want to be responsible for the degradation of the environment.

Required follow-up action:

None at this time

Date reviewed: April 28th, 2003



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Wabash River Eighth Grade Raft Trip

Date(s): October 4,5,6

Event Coordinator: Sullivan Co SWCD, Partnership for Turtle Creek

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary)

Every $\hat{8}^{th}$ grader in Sullivan County plus 8^{th} grade homeschoolers and kids from the 4-H Discover the River project (approximately 300 students.) 94 adult volunteers/chaperones.

Description of Action:

The watershed coordinator conducted a watershed demonstration using a shower curtain liner.

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

Measurement of success:

Required follow-up action:



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Sullivan County Soil & Water Conservation District Annual Meeting

Date(s): February 21, 2005

Event Coordinator: SWCD

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary)

Description of Action:

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

Measurement of success:

Required follow-up action:



This form is to be completed by Partnership member responsible for the action or event at the time that the action is suggested and updated when accomplished, for review by the Steering Committee at the following monthly meeting. Reviewed forms will be added to Appendix J of the Watershed Management Plan.

Event Title: Watershed Networking Meeting

Date(s): February 10, 2005

Event Coordinator: IASWCD

Participants: (attach attendance list if necessary)

Description of Action:

Goal and/or objective from Management Plan that this action aims to satisfy:

Measurement of success:

Required follow-up action:

TURTLE CREEK/LITTLE TURTLE CREEK WATERSHED



e-' .

· · ·

и * 2

