INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY DRY CLEANER INSPECTION



SOURCE INFORMATION	
SOURCE NAME	Griffland Village Cleaners
	3911 E. 45 th Street
SOURCE LOCATION	Highland, IN 46322
	Lake County
MAILING ADDRESS	3911 E. 45 th Street, Highland, IN 46322
PLANT ID	089-D3084
PERMIT INFORMATION	The source does not have an air permit.
ATTAINMENT STATUS	Attainment for all criteria pollutants
ATTAINMENT STATES	\boxtimes Nonattainment for \square SO ₂ \square CO \boxtimes O ₃ \square NO ₂ \square Pb \square PM ₁₀ \square PM _{2.5}
	Not a dry cleaning facility
SOURCE DESCRIPTION	$oxtimes$ Dry cleaning facility that: $oxtimes$ uses PCE \Box does not use PCE
BOOKE DESCRIPTION	☑ NESHAP Subpart M* applies
	□ NESHAP Subpart M* does <u>not</u> apply to this source

*NESHAP Subpart M: National Perchloroethylene Air Emission Standards for Dry Cleaning Facilities incorporated by reference via 326 IAC 20-7-1. The provisions apply to owner/operators of each dry cleaning facility that use PCE.

INSPECTION INFORMATION					
INSPECTED BY	Sasa Dunovic				
INSPECTION DATE AND TIME	12/9/2020 TIME	IN: 3:00 p.m. TIME OUT: 3:45 p.m.			
REPORTED BY	Sasa Dunovic MIH REPORT DATE: 12/14/2020				
INSPECTION NOTIFICATION	\boxtimes Unannounced \Box A	nnounced:			
INSPECTION OBJECTIVE(S)	🗆 Commitment 🛛 🖾 Complaint	□ Other:			
ACES TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Inspection: 253104 Complain	nt: 252791 Violation/Warning: 253148			
RM TRACKING NUMBER(S)	Complaint: 94327				
INSPECTION BACKGROUND	 On November 28, 2020, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) received an odor complaint indicating that Griffland Village Cleaners does not properly ventilate its chemicals and that there is an extremely strong chemical smell that causes the complainant's chest to hurt and gives him feelings of lightheadedness. The complainant indicated that this is an ongoing problem. The complaint was forwarded to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ) on December 1, 2020. 				
	 The most recent inspection of Griffland Village Cleaners was conducted on November 23, 1999, with no violations determined. 				

SOURCE PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED					
Mr. Jung Park	Owner	(219) 924-9622	jeonjuchicago@yahoo.com		

INSPECTION AND COMPLAINT HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS) None.

COMPLIANCE HISTORY (PREVIOUS 5 YEARS)

None.

NESHAP Subp	art M: PCE Dry	Cleaning Facilities – Area So	urces of HAPs & Dry-t	to-Dr	y Machines	only	,	
Equipment and	Control Devices:							
The facility has dry-to-dry machine(s) only (i.e. washing and drying performed in the same machine), including:								
Drv-to-drv M	Dry-to-dry Machine ID(s) Date(s) commenced Commenced				ntrol Device(s)			
		construction/reconstruction			()		N al a a ula a u	
Firbimatic	: Axial 40	2008	Both Refrigerated Co	onder	nser and Carb	on /	Adsorber	
All Units								
PCE Consumpti		shall calculate total yearly PC	E concumption by reco	rding	(on the first o		of over	
month) the Zero purch If yearly PC If yearly PC	 Per §63.323(d)(1-3), source shall calculate total yearly PCE consumption by recording (on the first day of every month) the sum the volume of all PCE purchases in previous 12 months in a log described in §63.324(d)(1). Zero purchases for a given month is considered zero consumption in gallons for that month. (§63.323(d)) If yearly PCE consumption < 2,100 gal/year, source is an owner/operator that is an Area Source If yearly PCE consumption is < 140 gal/year, source may be exempt from parts of the rule 							
Requirements for	or All Units							
Citation		Requirement			Violation(s	;) No	oted	
§63.323(d)(1-3)		tal yearly PCE consumption as re E consumption is 40 gal/year.	quired (see above).		Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(c)	Always keep doo				Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(d)	specifications an	intain the system according to the id recommendations			Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(i)	minimum of 24 h	e filters in their housing or other se ours before removal			Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(j)		ks or containers with no leaks, exo hat may be uncovered as necess nd still			Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(k), §63.322(l)	gal/year) for per- (1) Hose and pip (2) Door gaskets (3) Filter gaskets (4) Pumps; (5) Solvent tanks (6) Water separa (7) Muck cookers (8) Stills; (9) Exhaust dam (10) Diverter valv (11) All Filter hou	and seatings; and containers; tors; s; pers; ves; and isings.	cluding: and valves;		Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(m)		nin 24 hours. Parts must be ordere ecessary, then installed within 5 w			Yes	\boxtimes	No	
§63.322(o)(1)(i), §63.322(o)(1)(iii)	Conduct monthl halogenated hyd probe inlet at the could occur and inspections satis	y inspections of components in (k) Irocarbon detector or PCE gas and surface of each component interf moving slowly along the interface fy the requirements of §63.322(k)) above using a alyzer by placing the face where leakage periphery. These		Yes		No	
§63.324(d)	 Monthly volur Yearly PCE c Dates of leak Dates of repa Date and mode Date and mode Comply with §63. 	alculations inspections and where leaks dete ir and records of orders for repair nitoring results if a refrigerated co 322(a), (b), or (o) nitoring results if a carbon adsorbe	parts ndenser is used to		Yes		No	
§63.324(e)	Retain onsite cop	pies of design specifications and c	operating manuals	\boxtimes	Yes		No	
§63.324(a)	(1) Name and ad (2) Address (phy (3) Description o	sical location)			Yes		No N/A	

NESHAP Subpa	art M: PCE Dry Cleaning Facilities – Area Sources of HAPs & Dry-	to-Dry Machines	only
§63.324(b)	 (5) Description of the control device(s) Submit a notification of compliance status within 30 days of startup with: (1) Yearly PCE consumption limit based upon consumption (2) Whether or not they are in compliance with §63.322 (3) Signed by a responsible official who shall certify its truth & accuracy 	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.324(f)	Submit a notification of compliance status on or before 7/28/2008 with: (1) Name and address of the owner or operator (2) Address (physical location) of the facility (3) If in a building with a residence(s), even if residence is vacant (4) If in a building with no other tenants, leased space, or owner occupants (5) Whether major or area source (6) Yearly PCE consumption (§63.323(d)) (7) Whether or not they are in compliance with §63.322 (8) Signed by a responsible official who shall certify its truth & accuracy	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
Requirements re	elated to Specific Applicability and/or Control Equipment	•	
	Existing unit(s) (commenced construction/reconstruction before 12/s New unit(s) (commenced construction/reconstruction on or after 12/s	,	
Existing Unit(s)		,	
Citation	Requirement	Violation(s) Noted
§63.322(a)(1,2)	Either route PCE emissions through a refrigerated condenser or equivalent device, or (if installed prior to 9/22/1993) a carbon adsorber.	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.323(b), §63.323(b)(1), §63.323(b)(2), §63.323(b)(3), §63.322(g)(2)	 If complying with §63.322(a)(2) above using a carbon adsorber, conduct weekly PCE measurements with a colorimetric detector tube or PCE gas analyzer to determine that PCE in the carbon adsorber exhaust is ≤ 100 ppm by volume while machine is venting to the adsorber at the end of the last cleaning cycle prior to desorption or removal of activated carbon. Use a colorimetric detector tube or gas analyzer designed to measure PCE in air to concentrations of 100±25ppm by volume Use the colorimetric detector tube or PCE gas analyzer according to the manufacturer's instructions Provide a sampling port for monitoring that is easily accessible and located at least 8 stack or duct diameters downstream from any flow disturbance; downstream from no other inlet; and 2 stack or duct diameters upstream from any flow disturbance. 	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
New Unit(s) Onl	у		
§63.322(b)(1)	Route PCE emissions through refrigerated condenser or equivalent device.	□ Yes	⊠ No □ N/A
New Unit(s) at a	II facilities OR Existing Unit(s) at facilities with yearly PCE consumptio	n ≥ 140 gal/year	
§63.322(e)(1)	For unit(s) controlled by a refrigerated condenser, shall not vent or release PCE emissions while drum is rotating	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.322(e)(2), §63.323(a)(1)	For unit(s) controlled by a refrigerated condenser, conduct weekly monitoring of high and low pressure during drying phase OR outlet gas temperature before the end of the drying cycle while gas is flowing through. Monitored pressure shall be in a range specified by the manufacturer and monitored temperature shall be $\leq 7.2^{\circ}$ C (45°F). Sensors shall be used according to manufacturer instructions. The temperature sensor shall be designed to measure a temperature of 7.2 ±1.1°C (45 ±2°F).	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.322(e)(3)	Prevent air being drawn in through the door from passing through a refrigerated condenser	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.322(g)(1)	For unit(s) controlled by a carbon adsorber, shall not vent or release PCE at any time	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.322(n)	If monitor parameter values from §63.322(e) or (g) are not met, parts must be ordered within 2 working days of detection, if necessary, then installed within 5 working days of receipt.	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
§63.324(c)	If source reaches 2,100 gal/year yearly PCE consumption, submit a notification of compliance status by registered mail signed by a responsible official with a new consumption limit, whether or not in compliance with §63.322, and a statement of truth and accuracy.	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A

a building with Installed After 12/21/2005	n a residence (i.e. dwelling where people reside for 180 Requirement Route emissions through refrigerated condenser and from inside the drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or	or more days) Violation(s) Noted
After	Route emissions through refrigerated condenser and from	Violation(s) Noted
	equivalent control device immediately before the door is opened. The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
After 12/21/2005	Owner/operator shall eliminate any PCE emissions	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
Any Time	Starting on 12/21/2020, all owner/operators shall eliminate any PCE emissions	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
12/21/2005 through 7/12/2006	System shall operate inside vapor barrier enclosure, for which an exhaust system operates during operation and maintenance. Enclosure entry door may only be open when a person is entering or exiting the enclosure.	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
12/21/2005 through 7/12/2006	Inspect components in (k) for leaks weekly during operation using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer by placing the probe inlet at the surface of each component interface where leakage could occur and moving slowly along the interface periphery	□ Yes	□ No ⊠ N/A
1 tł 7 1 tł	2/21/2005 hrough /12/2006 2/21/2005 hrough	any PCE emissions2/21/2005 brough /12/2006System shall operate inside vapor barrier enclosure, for which an exhaust system operates during operation and maintenance. Enclosure entry door may only be open when a person is entering or exiting the enclosure.2/21/2006Inspect components in (k) for leaks weekly during operation using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer by placing the probe inlet at the surface of each component interface where leakage could occur	any PCE emissions Image: Yes 2/21/2005 System shall operate inside vapor barrier enclosure, for which an exhaust system operates during operation and maintenance. Enclosure entry door may only be open when a person is entering or exiting the enclosure. Image: Yes 2/21/2006 Inspect components in (k) for leaks weekly during operation using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer by placing the probe inlet at the surface of each component interface where leakage could occur Image: Yes

Observations and Comments:

On November 28, 2020, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) received an odor complaint indicating that Griffland Village Cleaners does not properly ventilate its chemicals. Mr. Spencer Newell, the complainant, indicated that there is an extremely strong chemical smell that causes his chest to hurt and gives him feelings of lightheadedness. Additionally, Mr. Newell indicated that this is an ongoing problem.

On December 2, 2020, I initiated a complaint investigation and contacted Mr. Newell through a telephone call to obtain more information on the complaint. During the call, Mr. Newell informed me that he works at Schoop's Hamburgers, which is located in the same building as Griffland Village Cleaners. Mr. Newell indicated that he mostly works midnight shifts and Saturday mornings and that the odor is especially noticeable on Saturday mornings. Additionally, Mr. Newell stated that he detects odor only inside the building and has not detected it outside so far. The building is a small business building with four (4) different businesses located in it. Two businesses are restaurants, one is vacant, and the fourth business is Griffland Village Cleaners. There are no visible physical connections between the businesses (ventilation, etc.).

On December 9, 2020, I visited Griffland Village Cleaners. Upon arrival, outside surveillance of the building was conducted from the public right-of-way. No chemical odors were detected outside of the building. Upon entering the Griffland Village Cleaners, I was greeted by Mr. Jung Park, the facility owner. I explained the nature of my visit and asked Mr. Park whether they use perchloroethylene (PCE) for dry cleaning. Mr. Park indicated that they use about forty (40) gallons of this chemical per year. Invoices and yearly PCE calculations supported this claim. While inside the cleaning facility, I did not detect any chemical odors that match the complainant's description.

Mr. Park showed me the PCE dry cleaning unit. Griffland Village Cleaners uses one (1) Firbimatic Axial 40 dry cleaning unit. The unit is the fourth generation Dry-to-Dry Enclosed Machine equipped with a refrigerated condenser and a carbon adsorber. The unit looked in good working condition. At the inspection time, the unit was not in operation but still warm from the morning cleaning cycle. The unit door was closed. I did not observe any leaks, liquids, or odors around the unit. Mr. Park indicated that they use this unit almost every day for just one cleaning cycle.

I asked Mr. Park how they comply with the requirements of CFR 63, Subpart M. Mr. Park was not aware of this rule, but he explained to me that he inspects the system every morning and every day for perceptible leaks during cleaning. He stated that he also checks the temperature gauge on the refrigerated condenser, but that he does not keep any records of these monitoring activities. Additionally, he indicated that he does not conduct monthly inspections of the dry-cleaning unit's components using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer.

When I asked Mr. Park to describe his Saturday activities and routines at the facility, he indicated that he opens the unit's lint filter door for 5-10 minutes to clean accumulated lint and scoop any liquids inside the filter socket every Saturday, early in the morning, while the unit is not operating. He disposes of this material in one of the closed 30-

NESHAP Subpart M: PCE Dry Cleaning Facilities – Area Sources of HAPs & Dry-to-Dry Machines only

gallon drums. At the time of the inspection, the facility had one (1) 30-gallon drum of spent PCE and one (1) drum of spent filters. The drums were closed and labeled. When I asked why early in the morning, Mr. Park stated that this is the best time for this activity because there are some unpleasant odors during the cleaning process and that at that time, the facility is closed. I asked if this is a standard work practice for this type of dry-cleaning unit. Mr. Park told me that he inherited this practice from the previous owner. Mr. Park indicated that he owns the shop and has operated the PCE dry cleaning unit since 2014. The unit was installed by the previous owner in 2008.

Since Mr. Park did not have copies of design specifications and operating manuals that could support his unit's cleaning procedure, I contacted the manufacturer's representative and obtained a copy of the use and maintenance handbook. I verified that the manufacturer recommends that the lint filter should be opened, lint removed and cleaned weekly.

Other observed equipment in the shop are: four (4) washing units and one (1) drying unit. Mr. Park indicated that they actively use only one washing and the drying machine. I checked what other chemicals are used in the shop and found that the facility uses only laundry detergents and bleach. None of these chemicals emit the chemical odors that the complainant described.

After the inspection of the cleaning shop, I went to Schoop's Hamburgers to try to detect any chemical odors. While inside the restaurant, I detected no chemical odors.

On December 14, 2020, Mr. Park informed me that he decided to remove the PCE dry cleaning unit from the facility by February 2021. The facility will stop using perchloroethylene (PCE) for dry cleaning. On the same day, I explained my findings and conclusions to Mr. Newell.

I recommend that an Inspection Summary / Violation Letter be issued, the complaint closed, and the Griffland Village Cleaners be inspected again in a year. The subsequent inspection should verify that this facility has removed the PCE dry cleaning unit, verify that the monitoring records are being kept, and stopped using perchloroethylene (PCE) for dry cleaning.

ADDITIONAL SOURCE COMPLIANCE REVIEW:

Compliance assistance was provided during the inspection.

⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A

Additional Information and Comments:

I gave Mr. Park a copy of Drycleaning NESHAP Compliance Summary, which can be found at the Compliance and Technical Assistance web site <u>https://www.in.gov/idem/ctap/2358.htm</u>.

INSPECTION FINDINGS

□ No violations were observed or determined at the time of the inspection.

 \boxtimes The following violations were determined at the time of the inspection:

	Condition/Citation	Description of Violation(s)			
863.322(0)(1)(1), dry		Griffland Village Cleaners failed to conduct monthly inspections of the perchloroethylene dry cleaning unit's components using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer.			
	63.324(d)	Griffland Village Cleaners failed to keep inspection logs and monitoring results.			
	63.324(e)	Griffland Village Cleaners failed to retain onsite copies of design specifications and operating manuals.			
REC	COMMENDED ACTION	<u>DN</u> Issue inspection summary/violation letter.			
EXI	T INTERVIEW	I explained my findings, recommendations, and conclusions with Mr. Park prior to exiting the facility.			



Indiana Department of Environmental Management RM Record

Description	Incident # 94327
Received By:	Dunovic, Sasa
Received Date:	11/28/2020 11:28 am
Incident Description:	I work next to Griffland Dry Cleaners and they do not properly ventilate their chemicals. Most mornings there is an extremely strong chemical smell that causes my chest to hurt and gives feelings of lightheadedness. This is an ongoing problem.
Incident Type:	Complaint-Air Odor
Occurred Date/Time:	11/28/2020 11:28 pm
End Date:	12/14/2020 10:00 am
Regulatory Program:	Air
Incident Status:	Closed
Closure Method:	Violations/Appropriate Action
Lead Investigator:	Dunovic, Sasa
Resolution Desc:	Aces IDs: 252791, 253104, 253148
	A dry-cleaner facility inspection was conducted on 12/9/2020. It was recommended that an Inspection Summary / Violation Letter be issued, the complaint closed, and the Griffland Village Cleaners be inspected again in a year. The subsequent inspection should verify that this facility has removed the PCE dry cleaning unit and stop using perchloroethylene (PCE) for dry cleaning.
	A copy of the Inspection Report will be placed in IDEM's Virtual File Cabinet (VFC) within 14 days and available at https://vfc.idem.in.gov/DocumentSearch.aspx. The Inspection Report can be found in VFC by using the following search criteria:
	 Program: OAQ Document Type: Inspection In Alternate Field, choose Air Source ID In the ID # field, enter the Source ID (089-D3084)

Location

Location Description: Griffland Cleaners at 3911 45th Street, Highland

County: Lake

Municipality: Highland



Indiana Department of Environmental Management RM Record

Source Entity					
Source Type:	Agency Interest				
Source Entity Name:	Griffland Village Cleaners				
Address 1:	3911 45th St				
Municipality:	Highland				
County:	Lake				
State:	IN				
Zip Code:	46322				
Telecom:	Business phone number 1	219-924-9622	2		
Reporter					
Reporter Type:	Private Citizen				
Name:	Spencer Newell				
State:	IN				
Comments:	The complaint was forwarded fr	rom the USEPA on 12/1/	2020.		
Telecom:	Cellular phone number 1	219 781-4805	;		
	Email address 1	newellspence	r@gmail.com		
Actions				Completed	Hours
Task	Staff Assigned	Start Date	Due Date	Date	Spent
Enter Initial Complaint In	to Dunovic, Sasa	12/01/2020		12/01/2020	
Comments	: The complaint is entered into R	M			
Date Initial Response	Dunovic, Sasa	12/01/2020		12/01/2020	
Comments	: I started the complaint investig	ation.			
Provide Acknowledgemer	nt Dunovic, Sasa	12/01/2020		12/01/2020	
Comments: I have called the complainant to obtain more info on the complaint.					
Investigate Complaint	Dunovic, Sasa	12/09/2020		12/09/2020	
Comments	: A dry-cleaner facility inspection	was conducted.			
Update & Close Complain	it in Dunovic, Sasa	12/14/2020		12/14/2020	
Comments	: The complaint was closed.				