

# **Indiana Department of Environmental Management**

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204 (800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Eric J. Holcomb

Governor

Brian Rockensuess

Commissioner

February 09, 2024

Via Email to: jlmcconnell@uss.com Mr. Joshua McConnell, Plant Manager US Steel Corporation Midwest Plant 6300 US Highway 12 Portage, Indiana 46368

Dear Mr. McConnell:

Re: Inspection Summary Letter

Us Steel Corporation Midwest Plant NPDES Permit No. IN0000337

Portage, Porter County

An inspection of the above-referenced facility or location was conducted by a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Southeast Regional Office, pursuant to IC 13-18-3-9. A summary of the inspection is provided below:

Date(s) of Inspection: February 06, 2024

Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection

Inspection Results: Conditions evaluated were found to be satisfactory at the time of

the inspection.

A copy of the NPDES Industrial Facility Inspection Report is enclosed for your records. Please direct any response to this letter and any questions to Miya Spratt at 219-312-1266 or by email to mcspratt@idem.IN.gov.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Amick, Director Southeast Regional Office

**Enclosure** 



# NPDES Industrial Facility Inspection Report INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

NPDES Permit Number:		Facility Type:				Facility Classification:		ŀ	TEMPO AI ID			
IN0000337		Industrial			Major		D		14435			
Date(s) of Inspection: February 06, 2024												
Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection												
Name and Location of Facility Inspected:  Receiving Waters/POTW:  Permit Expiration Date												
Us S	teel Corporation Midwe					9/30/2026						
6300	US Highway 12	County:			Burns Waterway to Lake Michigan		chigan	Design Flow:				
Porta		IN 4636	IN 46368 Porter			, ,		1	NA			
On Sit	e Representative(s): lame         Last Name	Title		Email			Dho	no				
Tim	Sullivan	Coordin	ator-		Phone (210) 762							
		Environ			van@uss.com (219) 763- 5022							
Scot	tt Kiechle	Environ Enginee		fskiech	hle@uss.com (219) 763- 5757			763-				
Bria	-					(2:	19) 7	786-				
Water Department 5188 and Energy												
100	Hanning			iohanr	oing@ucc.com		(2:	10) (	000			
Joe	Hanning	Manage Environ		Jenani	ning@uss.com		(2. 45	19) 8 nn	500-			
		Control	incircai				73	00				
Was a verbal summary of the inspection given to the on-site rep? Yes												
Certifie	ed Operator:		lass: Effective	Date: Exp	iration Date: Email:	100						
	Monique Bebley	21038	D 7-1-20		-30-2024 mdbeble	y@uss.	com					
Cybe	er Security Contact											
Name			Em	ail:								
	nsible Official:	N 4			Permittee: US Steel	Corpora	ition Midw	est F	Plant			
	oshua McConnell, Plant US Highway 12	wanager			Email: jlmcconne	ell@uss.	com					
0000	OO Highway 12				Phone:				Contacted?			
Portage, Indiana 46368					Fax:			No				
			INSPEC	CTION FI	NDINGS							
	<ul><li>Conditions evaluated v</li></ul>	were found to	be satisfactory	at the tir	me of the inspection. (	5)						
	O Violations were discov	ered but corr	ected during the	e inspecti	on. (4)							
	O Potential problems we	re discovered	d or observed. (	3)								
O Violations were discovered and require a submittal from you and/or a follow-up inspection by IDEM. (2)												
O Violations were discovered and may subject you to an appropriate enforcement response. (1)												
					RING INSPECTION satisfactory, N = Not Eve	aluated						
S	Receiving Waters	S	Facility/Site	s S	Self-Monitoring	N	Enforcer	nent				
S	Effluent/Discharge	S	Operation	N	Flow Measurement		211101001					
	Permit	N	Maintenance	N	Laboratory	N	Effluent	Limite	s Compliance			
- 14	1 Gillin				· · · · · ·			LIIIII	3 Compliance			
N Sludge N Records/Reports N Other:												
DETAILED AREA EVALUATIONS  This issue at ion forward on the Chrome Treatment plant												
This inspection focused on the Chrome Treatment plant.												
Receiving Waters:  Comments:												
The receiving stream was free of notable foam, algae or solids at outfalls 002, 003, and 004. Outfalls 002 and 003												
	were observed from the west side of Burns waterway and outfall 004 was observed east and west sides of Burns											

waterway.

#### Effluent/Discharge:

**Evaluation of Multiple Outfalls:** 

Outfall #	Insp. Date	Outfall Inspection Comments
002	2/6/2024	The effluent was clear and free of color at the time of the inspection.
003	2/6/2024	The effluent was clear and free of color at the time of the inspection.
004	2/6/2024	The effluent was clear and free of color at the time of the inspection.
204	2/6/2024	The effluent was clear and free of color at the time of the inspection.

Comments:

The effluent was clear and free of color at the time of the inspection. The Chrome Treatment Plant discharges to internal outfall 204 before comingling with flow from the Final Treatment Plant and discharging at outfall 004.

## Facility/Site:

Comments:

The facility grounds are well maintained. Alarms for the Chrome Treatment plant appeared to be adequate and alerts personnel if the pH or the oxidation reduction potential is out of range. Areas that were requested to be inspected were accessible and available.

### Operation:

Comments:

All units of treatment appear to be operating efficiently within the Chrome Treatment Plant.

The Chrome Treatment Plant treats rinse water used on the tin line, which is held in a 60,000-gallon EQ tank that disperses flow to four tanks that are used in a train sequence. Two trains can be used at the facility, A and B. The Environmental Coordinator mentioned that trains A and B are not used simultaneously. Sulfuric acid is added to the reduction tank to decrease the pH and begin the breakdown of hexavalent chromium to trivalent chromium. Wastewater enters the second tank where the pH is adjusted using sodium hydroxide and begins to precipitate chromium hydroxide. Wastewater then flows to a mix tank prior to entering the lamella clarifiers. Sludge from the lamella enters a sludge holding tank prior to being pressed. Water from the lamella goes through sand filters before discharging through Outfall 204.

pH in the tanks is monitored by pH probes, and turbidity probes are used at the effluent channels of the lamella.

#### Maintenance:

Comments:

Maintenance generated a satisfactory rating. The facility uses an Oracle system to generate work orders and track maintenance.

#### **Self-Monitoring:**

Comments:

The Self Monitoring Program was rated as satisfactory. The sampler for outfall 204 was observed during the inspection. The tubing on the sampler appeared to be clean.

## **Effluent Limits Compliance:**

No 1. Were DMRs reviewed as part of the inspection?

Comments:

IDEM REPRESENTATIVE									
Inspector Name:	Email:	Phone Number:							
Miya Spratt	mcspratt@idem.IN.gov	219-312-1266							
Other staff participating in the inspection:									
Name(s)	Phone Number(s)								
Nick Ream	(219) 730-1691								
IDEM MANAGER REVIEW									
IDEM Manager:		Date:							
Mark A. Amick		2/8/2024							